# Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's WATER RIGHT APPLICATION REVIEW SUMMARY SHEET



	eatened and/or Endangered Species (Section 4)
	Upper Columbia Basin (above Bonneville Dam)
	Is the proposed use consistent with the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program?
	$\square$ YES; see details in Section 4.1 $\square$ NO
	$\ \square$ NOT APPLICABLE; threatened and/or endangered fish will not be impacted by the proposed use.
	Lower Columbia Basin (below Bonneville Dam)
	Is the proposed use detrimental to the protection or recovery of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?
	$\square$ YES; see details in Section 4.2 $\square$ NO
	$\ \square$ NOT APPLICABLE; threatened and/or endangered fish will not be impacted by the proposed use.
$\boxtimes$	<u>Statewide</u>
	Will the proposed use result in a loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?
	$\ \square$ NOT APPLICABLE; threatened and/or endangered fish will not be impacted by the proposed use.
Sen	sitive Species (Section 5)
Will	the proposed use result in a net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive species?
$\boxtimes$	YES; see details in Section 5
	NOT APPLICABLE; sensitive fish will not be impacted by the proposed use.
Pub	lic Interest (Section 6)
Will	the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest (in addition to that identified under Division 33)?
	YES; see details in Section 6 🗵 NO
-	
Con	ditions (Section 3 and 6)
	ditions (Section 3 and 6) We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or
ODF	
ODF detr	W recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or
ODF detr REV	W recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or imental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):
ODF detr REV	We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):  Sign 3.1 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows
ODF detr REV Sect	We recommend the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or rimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):    Section 3.1   Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows
ODF detr REV  Sect Sect	We recommend the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or immental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):  Sion 3.1 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows  Mitigation Plan" [A]
ODF detr REV  Sect Sect	We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or imental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):  Sign 3.1 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows  Mitigation Plan" [A]
ODF detr REV  Sect Sect Sect Sect	We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or immental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):    Sion 3.1   Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows
ODF detr REV  Sect Sect Sect	We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or imental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):  Sion 3.1 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows  Mitigation Plan" [A]
Sect Sect Sect Sect	We recommend the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or imental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):    Stick of the stick of t
Sect Sect Sect Sect	We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or imental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):  Sion 3.1 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows  Mitigation Plan" [A]
Sect Sect Sect	We recommend the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or imental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):    Stick of the stick of t
ODF detri REV  Sect Sect Sect Sect	*W recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or rimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):  **ion 3.1 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows**  **Mitigation Plan" [A]
ODF detr REV Sect Sect Sect Sect	*W recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or rimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):  **Sition 3.1 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows**  **Mitigation Plan" [A]   "Mitigation" [A]   "Measurement Device" [A]   "Bypass Plan" [C]   "Bypass Flow" [C]    **Sition 3.2 Biological Flow Availability**  **Maintain Flow" [A]   "Mitigation Plan" [A]   "Mitigation" [A]   "Measurement Device" [A]    **Indianal Flow" [A]   "Maintain Passage" [A]   "Screen" [B]   "Future Protection" [B]    **Sition 3.4 Other Ecological Functions**  **Riparian Plan" [A]   "Riparian" [A]   "In-water Work" type here   [A]   "Fish Stocking" [A]    **Site-specific condition(s), including, but not limited to, any identified in Section 6: type here    **Comments:**
ODF detr REV Sect Sect Sect Sect Mitt	*W recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or rimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):  **ion 3.1 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows**  **Mitigation Plan" [A]
ODF detri REV  Sect Sect Sect Sect Sect Sect Sect Sec	We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or rimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):    Signature   Items
ODF detr REV Sect Sect Sect Sect Mittils O	We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or rimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):    Signa 3.1   Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows
ODF detr REV Sect Sect Sect Sect Mittils O	We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or rimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):    Signature   Items
ODF detr REV Sect Sect Sect Sect Sect Sect Sect Sect	We recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or rimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see IEW SHEET for additional information):    Signa 3.1   Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows

## Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's WATER RIGHT APPLICATION REVIEW SHEET



The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) provides the following recommendations to protect and enhance Oregon's fish and wildlife and their habitats for use and enjoyment by present and future generations. Mitigation recommendations are consistent with the goals and standards in ODFW's OAR 635-415 (Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy) and other applicable law. The information is requested by the Oregon Department of Water Resources (OWRD) for the purposes of consultation pursuant to OAR 690-33 (Additional Public Interest Standards for New Appropriations), OAR 690-310 (Water Rights Application Processing), OAR 690-400 (State Water Resources Policy), and OAR 690-410 (Statewide Water Resource Management). ODFW recommendations herein are to be utilized in coordination with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality's (ODEQ) recommendations regarding impacts to aquatic life due to impaired water quality.

#### Section 1: Proposed Use

Basin:	Rogue

Stream: Whetstone Creek Tributary to: Rogue River

TRSQQ: 36S 1W 30 (optional)

Proposed period of use (from application, if available): Irrigation May-October

Requested amount (cfs or AF): 0.05 cfs; 18 AF/year

OWRD's Initial Review Determin	ation:
☐ Allowable <u>type here</u>	$oxed{oxed}$ <b>NOT</b> Allowable; Water is not available $oxed{ ext{May-Octobe}}$
□ <b>NOT</b> Allowable	

#### Section 2: Fish Species Present

۸۱		ua fich	cnocios	will bo	impacted	by tho	proposed	uco baco	d on parameters	assessed by ODEV	/ /Skin to	Saction 61
Αl	1 I I	NO TISN	i species	will be	impacted	by the	proposed	use pased	n on parameters	assessed by ODEV	w. iskib to	Section 61

B)  $\boxtimes$  The following fish species of primary concern are present at the location of the proposed use or will be impacted by the proposed use:

		Listir	ng Status		Life	e Stage Pres	ent
Species	Sensitive	Threatened	Endangered	Not Listed*	Spawning	Rearing	Migration
Coastal Cutthroat Trout				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Coho Salmon		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Pacific Lamprey	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Summer Steelhead	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Spring Chinook Salmon	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Fall Chinook Salmon				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Winter Steelhead				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
type here							
type here							

<sup>\*</sup>Impacts to species not listed as sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered are addressed in Section 6.

#### **Section 3: Potential Impacts to Fish Species**

Note: Impacts identified below are determined by professional judgment and/or best available science. Recommended mitigation for identified impacts is outlined in Section 7. See Section 8 for recommended "condition" language.

3.1 Identification of	f Biologically	/ Necessary	/ Flows

A)		ODF	-W has	not identified bi	ologica	ally necessary flo	ows wit	hin the impacte	d reacl	า.	
		_ <i>"</i>	'Measu	rement Device"							
		H	Howeve	, based on best	profes	sional judgment	i, impa	cts to fish from	the pro	posed reduction	າ in flow are
		e	expected	to be <b>inconsec</b>	quentia	I or there is insu	ufficient	t information at	this ti	me to determine	if the proposed
		ι	ıse will i	mpair biologica	lly nece	essary flows for	fish. Th	nerefore, no mit	tigation	for a reduction	in flow is
		r	ecomm	ended. ODFW r	ecomn	nends the syster	m insta	lled to divert wa	ater inc	lude monitoring	equipment, the
		t	ype det	ermined by OW	RD, wh	nich allows wate	r use m	easurement an	d repo	rting and ensure	s the permitted
		а	mount	is not exceeded	. (Skip	to Section 3.3)					
		□ <b>'</b>	"Mitigat	ion Plan," "Mit	igation	," and "Measur	ement	Device"			
		H	Howeve	, based on OWI	RD's W	ater Availability	Report	ing System, wa	ter is n	<b>ot available</b> enti	irely or partially for
		t	he prop	osed use. A fur	ther re	duction of flow	during	type here would	d be ha	rmful to fish.	
				/ recommends	the sea	son of use be re	estricted	d to <u>type here</u> if	the ap	plicant can show	v beneficial use
			durin	g this time (OAF	R 690-3	00-0010(57)(b))	or the	proposed use b	e mitig	gated <u>prior to iss</u>	uance of a
			Propo	osed Final Order	for an	y use outside of	this pe	riod. <b>(Skip to S</b>	ection	3.3)	
			□ ODFV	V recommends	the pro	posed use be m	itigated	d <u>prior to issuan</u>	ce of a	Proposed Final	Order. (Skip to
			Section	on 3.3)							
ъ,		0.0	\ <b>\</b> \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		. 11	- 1-1-1111		. fl t	ا مالد ما		of field and at an
B)							•	• •		biological needs	·
				_				•		impact and/or do	
								ies of water ne	cessary	for fish uses pe	r ORS 390.835.
		Ш				lows at type her					
			JAN	type here cfs		type here cfs	JUL	type here cfs	OCT	type here cfs	
			FEB	type here cfs	MAY	type here cfs	AUG	type here cfs	NOV	type here cfs	
			MAR	type here cfs	JUN	type here cfs	SEP	type here cfs	DEC	type here cfs	
			C	□ ODEW P		T					
			Source:			ow Target Asses	sment				
				□ based on		<del></del>					
				☐ type other:	<u>source</u>	<u>here</u>					
C)		"By	macc Di	an" and "Bunas	s Elow"	(for reservoirs	that di	ractly divart fra	m curf	aco water)	
C)	Ш	-	-			-		-		-	any amount that
				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						ervoir <b>during th</b>	•
		_									e minig season.
		J	AN ty	pe here cfs A	PR   ty	ype here cfs   Jl	JL   ty	pe here cfs O	CIIty	pe here cfs	

type here cfs

type here cfs

NOV

DEC

AUG

SEP

D) Comments concerning biologically necessary flows: type here

MAY

JUN

type here cfs

type here cfs

type here cfs

type here cfs

FEB

MAR

type here cfs

type here cfs

#### 3.2 Biological Flow Availability

A)	Bas	sed on parameters assessed by ODFW, are the recommended biologically necessary flows (identified in Section						
	3.1	., Question B) available within the impacted reach during the period of impact?						
		YES; "Maintain Flow" and "Measurement Device"						
		A further reduction in flow from the proposed use will <u>not</u> impair biologically necessary flows for fish as long as the recommended flows remain satisfied real time within and downstream of the point of impact. ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD, which allows we to use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.						
		which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.						
		NO; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"						
The proposed use <b>will impair</b> biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the per impact.								
		☐ Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during						
		type months here. ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the						
		applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be						
		mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order</u> for any use outside of this period.						
		☑ Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach (Rogue River) year-						
		round. ODFW recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.						
	$\boxtimes$	UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"						
		There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) in						
		Whetstone Creek to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore,						
		ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a Proposed Final</u>						
		Order unless the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are						
		available and can be maintained within the impacted reach.						
	Ш	NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"						
		ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to be						
		inconsequential or de Minimis based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend						
		mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water						
		include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and						
		reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.						
B)	Со	mments concerning availability of biologically necessary flows: type here						
3.3	Fis	sh Passage and Screening						
		buld the proposed use potentially create or maintain an artificial obstruction to fish passage for native migratory						
•		h currently or historically present <i>at the point of diversion</i> per ORS 509.585?						
		YES; "Passage"						
	$\boxtimes$	NO						
		NO; "Maintain Passage"						
		Based on available information, the proposed use does not appear to involve instream structures that would create or maintain an artificial obstruction. However, if the applicant creates or maintains an artificial obstruction to fish passage for the proposed use, the applicant will need to address Oregon's fish passage laws prior to						

diversion of water.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Artificial obstruction" means any dam, diversion, dike, berm, levee, tide or flood gate, road, culvert or other human-made device placed in the waters of this state that precludes or prevents the migration of native migratory fish.

the
rian"
to ork
"Fish
ion 5.
ogical osed
ion r the
i to i

Applicant's Name: Brandon Taaffe

Application #: G-19417

Review Date: 6/6/2025

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program (WRD's document number 94-2) states: "In determining whether a proposed diversion or transfer would be consistent with salmon and steelhead needs, consult with fish and wildlife agencies and Indian tribes to determine whether the proposed use would cause any reduction in the quantity or productivity of salmon and steelhead habitat" (7.8G.1). In addition, the document includes a recommendation to "halt further issuance of consumptive water rights unless a finding can be made, in consultation with fish agencies and tribes, that existing instream flows meet anadromous fish needs for all life-stages" (Recommendation No.: 7-2).

assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.2, Question A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.3), or to impacts to ecological functions important to threatened and/or endangered fish (Section 3.4).

	Overarching Question 2: Can the use be conditioned or mitigated to achieve consistency with the Fish and Wildlife Program?
	YES; ODFW recommends the conditions recommended in Section 3 to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.
	□ ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended below and in Section 3, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.
	☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here
	NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a threatened and/or endangered fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-specific basis (i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided.
Cc	omments: <u>type here</u>
1.2	2 ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/or Endangered Fish Species Under OWRD's Division 33 Lower Columbia
	Iles (below Bonneville Dam)  The Lower Columbia rules do not apply for threatened and/or endangered fish species.
	Overarching Question 1: Is the proposed use detrimental to the protection or recovery of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?
	YES; Based on knowledge of recovery plans, the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program <sup>2</sup> , and regional restoration programs, ODFW found the use to be detrimental to the protection or recovery of a threatened and/or endangered species due to impairment of biologically necessary flows or the assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.2, Question A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.3), or to impacts to ecological functions important to threatened and/or endangered fish (Section 3.4).  NO; ODFW finds the use will either <b>not impair</b> biologically necessary flows (Section 3.2, Question A) and ecological functions important to protection or recovery of threatened and/or endangered fish (Section 3.4) or the proposed reduction in flow is expected to be <b>inconsequential or de Minimis</b> based on parameters assessed (Section 3.1, Question A; Section 3.2, Question A).
	Overarching Question 2:
	Can the use be conditioned or mitigated to avoid the detriment to a threatened and/or endangered fish species?
	YES; ODFW recommends the conditions recommended in Section 3 to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.

Application #: G-19417 Applicant's Name: Brandon Taaffe Review Date: 6/6/2025 fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended below and in Section 3, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. ☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here ☐ NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a threatened and/or endangered fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or sitespecific basis (i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Comments: type here 4.3 ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/or Endangered Fish Species Under OWRD's Division 33 Statewide Rules ☐ The Statewide rules do not apply for threatened and/or endangered fish species. **Overarching Question 1:** Will the proposed use result in a loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species? Note: For impacts to non-essential habitat for threatened and/or endangered species under Habitat Categories 3-6, skip to Section 6. ☑ YES; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has found impairment of biologically necessary flows or the assumption. of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.2, Question A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.3), or impacts to ecological functions (Section 3.4) essential to threatened and/or endangered fish species during the period of impact. ☐ NO; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW finds the use will either not impair biologically necessary flows (Section 3.2, Question A) and ecological functions essential to threatened and/or endangered fish species (Section 3.4) or the proposed reduction in flow is expected to be inconsequential or de Minimis (Section 3.1, Question A; Section 3.2, Question A). **Overarching Question 2:** Can the use be conditioned to result in no loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species? X YES; ODFW recommends the conditions recommended in Section 3 to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended from Sections 3, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. ☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here  $\square$  NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a threatened and/or endangered fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-

specific basis (i.e., **Category 1 Habitat**). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed use

Comments: type here

would harm the species.

## Section 5: ODFW Findings Regarding Sensitive Fish Species (under OWRD's Division 33 Statewide Rules)

	Sensitive species will not be impaired by the proposed use. Skip to Section 6.
	Overarching Question 1:  Will the proposed use result in a net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive fish species?  Note: For impacts to non-essential habitat for sensitive species under Habitat Categories 3-6, skip to Section 6.
	YES; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has found impairment of biologically necessary flows or the assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.2, Question A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.3), or impacts to ecological functions (Section 3.4) essential to sensitive fish species during the period of impact.
	NO; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW finds the use will either <u>not impair</u> biologically necessary flows (Section 3.2, Question A) and ecological functions essential to sensitive fish species (Section 3.4) or the proposed reduction in flow is expected to be <u>inconsequential or de Minimis</u> (Section 3.1, Question A; Section 3.2, Question A).
	Overarching Question 2:  Can the use be conditioned to result in no net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive fish species?
	YES; ODFW recommends the conditions and mitigation recommended in Sections 3, 4, and 7 to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.  ☑ ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended from Sections 3 and 4, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.  ☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here  NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a sensitive fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-specific basis (i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed use would harm the species.
Со	mments: type here
No	ection 6: ODFW's Public Interest Findings (under OWRD's Division 310) te: Comment on fish or wildlife species not already discussed in Sections 4 or 5 and impacts to non-essential habitat of E fish.
	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?
	YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8): type here

☑ NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, will be

inconsequential from the proposed use or has not been assessed at this time. Skip to Section 7.

Can the pro		rching Question 2: come the impairment or detriment to the public interest?
☐ YES;		
$\square$ The same cond	litions and mitigation as outlined in	Sections 3, 4, and 7 apply.
$\square$ ODFW recomm	nends the following site-specific co	ndition(s): type here
$\square$ NO; ODFW found	the proposed use will impact irrep	laceable, essential habitat for a fish or wildlife species,
• •	• •	s limited on either a physiographic province or site-specific basis
	•	ance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or
species.	of the proposed use if impacts can	not be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed use would harm the
species.		
Comments: type here	<u>-</u>	
Section 7: ODFW	V's Recommended Mitigation	on Obligation
□ NOT APPLICABLE;	ODFW is not recommending mitig	ation. (Sign and STOP here)
ODFW Representativ	e's Signature:	Date: type here
Name: type here	Phone: type here Em	ail: type here
Mitigation Obligation	1	
□ ODFW's assessment     □ ODFW's assessment	ent reveals flows within the impact	ed reach are or are assumed to be entirely or partially below
those essential to sup	oport the biological needs of fish, w	vildlife, or habitats and/or the proposed use will otherwise
		cal habitat and alter the flow regime to which fish and wildlife
•		fect their distribution, productivity, and abundance. Therefore, a ne proposed water use would impair or be detrimental to fish,
		tion. ODFW recommends the applicant contact the caseworker
to schedule a consult	ation with ODFW concerning the fo	ollowing recommended Mitigation Obligation, if questions arise.
Choose One:		
A) 🗵 Water is not av	vailable to support biologically nece	essary flows at the POD and/or downstream (Rogue River) year-
round. ODFW	recommends the proposed use be	mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order</u> . Without
appropriate mi		
		er reduction in flow or alteration of habitat from the proposed
	side this period will impair or be de	er reduction in flow or alteration of habitat from the proposed trimental to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish applicant is interested in pursuing mitigation, please contact

- ODFW for further information concerning appropriate conditions and a Mitigation Obligation consistent with OAR 635-415, as required under OAR 690-33, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. Mitigation is often complicated, time consuming, and expensive, and may include, but is not limited to, actions such as replacing the proposed amount of water through purchasing or transferring an existing water right.
- B) Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows at the POD and/or downstream during type months here. ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period. Without appropriate mitigation and/or conditions, a further reduction in flow or alteration of habitat from the proposed water use outside this period will impair or be detrimental to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, nonlisted fish species, or wildlife. If the applicant is interested in pursuing mitigation, please contact ODFW for

further information concerning appropriate conditions and a Mitigation Obligation consistent with OAR 635-415, as required under OAR 690-33, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. Mitigation is often complicated, time consuming, and expensive, and may include, but is not limited to, actions such as replacing the proposed amount of water through purchasing or transferring an existing water right.

- C) Mathematical There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) in Whetstone Creek to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unless the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and can be maintained within the impacted reach. Without appropriate mitigation and/or conditions, a further reduction in flow or alteration of habitat from the proposed water use outside this period may impair or be detrimental to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, or wildlife. If the applicant is interested in pursuing mitigation, please contact ODFW for further information concerning appropriate conditions and a Mitigation Obligation consistent with OAR 635-415, as required under OAR 690-33, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. Mitigation is often complicated, time consuming, and expensive, and may include, but is not limited to, actions such as replacing the proposed amount of water through purchasing or transferring an existing water right.
- D) 

  Mitigation is not an option. ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided.
- E) Based on ODFW's knowledge of applicable Subbasin Plans, Recovery Plans, Regional Restoration Plans, or other documents, the proposed use appears inconsistent with the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program<sup>3</sup>, impairs essential habitat, or is otherwise detrimental to the protection and/or recovery of sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, or wildlife. Therefore, ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation (consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025; ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) as outlined in this section(s), as well as other conditions recommended in Sections 3-6. ODFW recommends the Proposal include an assessment of options using the following actions listed in order of priority:
  - (1) avoiding the impact altogether,
  - (2) minimizing the impact by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action,
  - (3) rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment,
  - (4) reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the development action and by monitoring and taking appropriate corrective measures, and
  - (5) compensating for the impact by replacing or providing comparable substitute resources or environments.

Because the mitigation is site- and species-specific, ODFW recommends written approval of the Proposal by ODFW prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order (see Section 9).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Water Resources Department's document number 94-2

#### 7.1 Identification of Habitat Category

Habitat Category<sup>4</sup> for the Primary Species of Concern During the Period of Impact:

Habitat Category for the Primary Speci			
Month	Primary Species	Habitat	
	of Concern	Category	
January	type here	type here	
February	type here	type here	
March	type here	type here	
April	type here	type here	

es of concern burning the remote of		
Month	Primary Species	Habitat
	of Concern	Category
May	type here	type here
June	type here	type here
July	type here	type here
August	type here	type here

acı	act.		
	Month	Primary Species	Habitat
		of Concern	Category
	September	type here	type here
	October	type here	type here
	November	type here	type here
	December	type here	type here

#### 7.2 Flow Mitigation

	If the applicant chooses to pursue water use during type here, when biologically necessary flows are not met or water is not available, ODFW recommends the applicant provide water-for-water mitigation that is <b>legally protected</b> and maintained as an instream water right for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate, as outlined below.   In lieu of mitigation, the applicant may provide evidence that the biologically necessary flows are available and can be maintained within the impacted reach.
	ODFW recommends WRD's "Normal Mitigation," including any site-specific options addressed below.
A)	Water Quantity: <a href="type-here">type here</a> (cfs or AF; equals amount requested)  ☐ plus a net benefit (for Habitat Category 2)
B)	Months: type here
	Location of Mitigation (based on the Habitat Category):  ☐ at or above the point of impact ☐ at or above the point of impact is preferred, but may occur within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s) ☐ within a high priority reach <sup>5</sup> within the watershed/home range of the impacted species or population ☐ within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s) ☐ benefitting the impacted population(s) and/or higher priority species: list species here  Additional comments: type here
<u>7.3</u>	B Habitat Restoration Mitigation
	tes the Mitigation Goal also allow a habitat restoration project as a mitigation option (i.e., impacts to Habitat tegories $3-6$ )?
	YES; In lieu of providing "water-for water", ODFW's Habitat Mitigation Policy allows the applicant the option of providing mitigation through a habitat restoration project that recreates similar habitat structure and function to that existing prior to the development action. If the applicant is interested in pursuing this option, please contact ODFW for further information.  NO; Skip to Part 4, if applicable.

#### 7.4 Other Ecological Functions Mitigation

 $<sup>^{4}\,</sup>$  see ODFW Habitat Mitigation Policy, OAR 635-415-0025

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> see ODFW's Aquatic Habitat Priority maps

☐ Not applicable
□ ODFW recommends the applicant provide the following mitigation, including, but not limited to, mitigation for "Other Impacts to Ecological Functions" or impacts to wildlife.  Note: Copy and paste the template below for each habitat type in need of replacement.
A) Habitat Structure and Function in Need of Replacement: <u>type here</u>
B) Describe the habitat quantity and quality to be replaced: type here
C) Months:  ☐ In Perpetuity ☐ Other: type here
<ul> <li>D) Location of Mitigation:</li> <li>□ at or above the point of impact</li> <li>□ at or above the point of impact is preferred, but may occur within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s)</li> <li>□ within a high priority reach<sup>5</sup> within the home range of the impacted species or population</li> <li>□ within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s)</li> <li>□ anywhere benefitting the impacted population(s) and/or higher priority species: list species here</li> </ul>
E) Additional comments: <u>type here</u>
ODFW Representative's Signature: Date: 6/6/2025
Name: <u>Jason Brandt</u> Phone: <u>541-315-6222</u> Email: <u>Jason.r.brandt@odfw.oregon.gov</u>

#### Section 8: ODFW's Recommended Condition Language

### List A Conditions (to be addressed by applicant prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order)

#### Bypass Plan (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)

<u>Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order</u>, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Bypass Plan which describes the method the permittee shall bypass the recommended flows, as outlined in Section 3.1, C and how the permittee will quantify and document inflow and outflow.

#### Mitigation Plan

<u>Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order</u>, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations), as outlined in Section 7, to compensate for any potential impacts to fish, wildlife, or habitats from the proposed use.

#### Riparian Plan

If development of the point of diversion includes disturbance of the riparian area, the applicant shall be responsible for restoration and enhancement of such riparian area in accordance with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's (ODFW) Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy described in OAR 635-415. Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Riparian Plan approved in writing by ODFW, unless ODFW provides documentation that a Riparian Plan is not necessary. The applicant is hereby directed to contact ODFW.

#### **List B Conditions**

#### (included in permit and "maintenance" language carried through to certificate)

#### Bypass Flows (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)

Per 690-410-0070 (2)(c), the following flows shall be bypassed or passed through the reservoir during the filling season:

- 1) When the biologically necessary flows identified below are not available immediately upstream of the impacted area, the permittee shall pass all live flow downstream at a rate equal to the inflow, minus the amount of mitigation water provided upstream by the permittee, if applicable, and
- 2) When the biologically necessary flows identified below are available immediately upstream of the impacted area, the permittee shall pass flow downstream at a rate **equal to** or greater than the biologically necessary flows.

Once the reservoir has reached the permitted volume, all live flow shall be passed downstream at a rate equal to the inflow.

The permittee shall quantify and document inflow and outflow and maintain the bypass flows for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Bypass Plan. The bypass flow data shall be available upon request by the Oregon Water Resources Department, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, or Oregon Department of Agriculture.

#### {copy table from Section 3.1, Question C}

#### **Egress**

Native migratory fish may become trapped or entrained in the reservoir(s) during or after flooding events. Therefore, the permittee shall work with ODFW and obtain, in writing, an ODFW-approved fish passage plan to address egress from the reservoir(s) or other approved methods to keep fish out of the reservoir(s) during flood events (e.g., berm construction around the reservoirs). Approval of the proposed fish passage plan shall be obtained prior to diversion of water. The permittee shall submit proof to ODFW that fish passage (egress) has been implemented per the approved plan prior to diversion of water.

#### Fish Stocking

Per ORS 498.222 and OAR 635-007-0600, all persons transporting live fish in Oregon must have a Fish Transport Permit (FTP) issued by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW). The permittee shall not stock fish in the reservoir without an FTP approved by ODFW. As part of the FTP permitting process, the permittee may be required to screen the inlet and outlet of the reservoir to ensure that fish cannot escape into public waters and/or to keep wild fish from entering the reservoir.

#### **Future Protection**

The permittee may be required in the future to install, maintain, and operate fish screening per ORS 498.306 to prevent harm to fish from the proposed diversion. The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) may require the water user to install an approved fish screen at the new point of diversion

within one year after receiving written notification from ODFW that a fish screen is required. Once installed, the water user shall operate and maintain the fish screen consistent with ODFW's operation and maintenance standards.

#### In-Water Work

Any in-water work related to construction, development, or maintenance of the proposed use shall be conducted during the preferred work period of {type here unless an alternate time period is approved by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

#### Maintain Flow

The biologically necessary flows shown in the following table shall be maintained real time within and downstream of the point of impact or the use may be regulated until the flows are available.

{copy table from Section 3.1, Question B}

#### Maintain Passage

The permittee shall maintain adequate passage of native migratory fish at all times (ORS 509.610) and shall not construct, operate, or maintain any dam or artificial obstruction to fish passage across any waters of the state that are inhabited, or were historically inhabited, by native migratory fish (ORS 509.585).

#### **Measurement Device**

The permittee shall install, maintain, and operate a water use control and/or measuring device, as identified by OWRD. The device shall be installed, functional, and approved by the local Watermaster, **prior to diversion of water**.

#### Mitigation

The permittee shall comply with terms of the associated Mitigation Plan to compensate for detrimental impacts to fish, wildlife, and/or their habitat. The Mitigation Plan is fully incorporated into the requirements of this permit and may only be altered by written mutual agreement of all parties. The mitigation shall be legally protected and maintained for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate.

#### Passage

The permittee shall not construct, operate, or maintain any dam or artificial obstruction to fish passage across any waters of the state that are inhabited, or were historically inhabited, by native migratory fish (ORS 509.585) without obtaining approval for the artificial obstruction from the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW).

The permittee shall submit a proposal for fish passage to ODFW or apply for a fish passage waiver or exemption. Approval of the proposed fish passage facility, waiver, or exemption shall be obtained <u>prior to construction</u> of any in-channel obstruction or <u>prior to diversion of water</u> that may create an artificial obstruction due to low flow. The permittee shall submit proof to ODFW that fish passage has been implemented per the plan, waiver, or exemption <u>prior to diversion of water</u>.

The permittee shall maintain adequate passage of native migratory fish at all times (ORS 509.610) as per the approved plan, waiver, or exemption. The permittee is hereby directed to schedule a consultation with an ODFW Fish Passage Coordinator.

#### Riparian

The permittee shall restore or enhance the riparian area per the approved Riparian Plan <u>prior to diversion of water</u> and maintain the riparian area for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Riparian Plan.

#### Screen

The permittee shall install, maintain, and operate fish screening consistent with current Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) standards or submit documentation that ODFW has determined fish screening is not necessary or is exempted. Fish screening is to prevent fish from entering the proposed diversion. The required screen is to be in place, functional, and approved in writing by ODFW <u>prior to diversion of water</u>. The water user shall operate and maintain the fish screen consistent with ODFW's operation and maintenance standards. The permittee is hereby directed to schedule a consultation with an ODFW Fish Screening Coordinator.

#### Section 9: ODFW's Review of the Mitigation Proposal

Because the mitigation is site- and species-specific, ODFW recommends written approval of the Proposal by ODFW prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order. ODFW finds the following:

□ o	DFW supports the Mitigation Proposal with the following condition(s):
	"Mitigation"
	Site-specific condition(s): type here
<u>A</u>	dditional information:
	A Fish Passage Waiver or Exemption has been granted for the proposed POD that fulfills the fish passage requirements for this use.
	Comments: type here
	DFW cannot support the Mitigation Proposal because it is not consistent with the criteria
ir	n OAR 635-415.
	The proposed mitigation is inconsistent with the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program <sup>6</sup> , impairs essential habitat, or is otherwise detrimental to the protection and/or recovery of sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, or wildlife.
	Habitat goals and standards not met: <u>list here and explain why not met</u>
ODFW	V Representative's Signature:Date: type here
Name	e: type here Phone: type here Email: type here

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Water Resources Department's document number 94-2