Groundwater Application Review Summary Form

Application # G- <u>19477</u>	
GW Reviewer <u>Gabriela Ferreira</u> Date Review Completed: <u>December 19, 2024</u>	
Summary of GW Availability and Injury Review:	
☐ Groundwater for the proposed use is either over appropriated, will not likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights, OR will not likely be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource per Section B of the attached review form.	
Summary of Potential for Substantial Interference Review:	
There is the potential for substantial interference per Section C of the attached review form.	
Summary of Well Construction Assessment:	
☐ The well does not appear to meet current well construction standards per Section D of the attach review form. Route through Well Construction and Compliance Section.	ied
This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations and for conditions that may be necessary for a permit (if one is issued).	

Version: 10/24/2023

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	0							_]	Decemb	er 19, 20	024_	
то:		Applica	tion G-	19477	-							
FROM	1:	GW: _ G	abriela I Reviewer	_	_							
SUBJI	ECT: Sc	enic Wa	aterway	Interf	erence]	Evaluat	ion					
	YES NO		source of		-	is hydr	aulically	y connec	cted to a	a State S	Scenic	
	YES NO	Use	the Scer	nic Wate	erway C	Condition	n (Cond	ition 7J)			
	interfere	S 390.8 ence with ence is d	h surfac	e water	that con					_		
	interfere Departs propose	S 390.8 ence with ment is ed use in the fr	h surfac unable will me	e water to find easurab	that cor that the ly redu	ntributes ere is a p ace the	to a sce prepone surface	enic wat derance e water	erway; e of evic	therefo lence th	re, the nat the	
Calcula per crite the Dep	te the perc eria in 390 artment is	ON OF II centage of 0.835, do n unable to	consump not fill in make a l	tive use b the table Preponde	y month o but check rance of .	k the "und Evidence	ble" optio finding.	on above,	thus info	orming W		
Waterv	way by t	he follov low is re	wing an			-		_			use by v	which
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	

Version: 10/24/2023

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO: FROM		er Rights Section		Gabriela l	Ferreira	Date _	Dece	mber 19	, 2024	
				Reviewe	r's Name	_				
SUBJE	CT: Appl	ication G- <u>1</u>	9477_	Supersedes	review o	f		Date of 1	Review	(2)
								Date of 1	KCVICW(,,
			TION; GROUN			4 :11	41		C 41	1. 1
<i>welfare,</i> to deteri	safety and hea mine whether th	lth as described ne presumption	at shall presume that I in ORS 537.525. It is established. OA is based upon ava	Department sta R 690-310-140	off review allows th	groundwater a ne proposed us	applications se be modific	under O	AR 69 dition	0-310-140 ed to meet
A. GE	NERAL INFO	ORMATION	Applicant's	Name: Sa	uvie Islan	d Wholesale	Nursery Inc	c., attn: .	Julie F	Iolmason
		_	County:	Mı	ıltnomah		<u>*</u>	•		
A1.	Applicant(s) s	eek(s) <u>0.24</u>	_cfs from1	well(s) i	n the	Willamette				Basir
A2.			y (Irrigation 9.4 ac							
A 2			- October 31 (Irri					1	الدادة	
A3.	wen and aqui		and number logs							
POA Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer	Propose Rate(cfs		Location (T/R-S QQ-Q				bounds, e.g. IW cor S 36
1	MULT 32	1	Alluvium	0.24	5)	2N / 1W 11 SE-S				W cor S 11
* Alluviu	ım, CRB, Bedroc	k								
POA	Well Depth	Seal Interval	Casing Intervals	Liner Intervals	Perforation	ons Or Screens	Well Yield	Drawo	lown	
Well	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(gpm)	(ft	:)	Test Type
1	232	20	+1 - 226	221 – 227	Scree	n 227 – 232	120	0		Bailer
POA	Land Surface E	evation at Well	Depth of First Wate	er SWL		SWL	Reference	Level	Refe	rence Level
Well	(ft a	msl)	(ft bls)	(ft bls)		Date	(ft bl	s)		Date
I Iaa data	from application		201	120		7/12/1990	TBI)		TBD
A4.	a The application although it is a nursery rate of The total requallowable Irrigof 0.24 cfs and issuance of a survival and the survival and th	on states that the clear if all the clear if all the clear of states volume of the clear if all the clear of the clear if all the clear of the clear	OA/POU is located iver converges with opposes use by one was proposed use is incree uses are proposed; which is doubled 47.0 acre-feet is bus acre-feet per acresidume of 28.2 acresided with this appliance.	'Nursery (Irrigued or solely Ne the allowable based on 5 acressor 23.5 acre-f-feet per year ication.	a River, ar onstructed ation and Jursery us description -feet per a eet total).	Agricultural Ue. The request rate (1/80 cfs acre of Nurser This review or rected rate and approximate and appr	Jse)" with 9 and rate of 0. sper acre or y use, which evaluates a mid use shou	west of two regards 4 acres to 24 cfs is 0.1175 consist also comaximuld be pro-	the Ore ing the noted a based ofs for s double m requovided	egon state e proposed as the POU, on the 9.4 acres). the uested rate
A5. 🔀		the Willamette	nated to nearest 5-f	oot interval ire						tion and/or
	management o	f groundwater	hydraulically conn	ected to surfac	e water 🛭	🛛 are, or 🗌 a	are not, acti	vated by	this ap	pplication.
	Comments: T	he proposed PC ndwater from a	ich provisions.) DA is within ½-mil n unconfined alluv							
A6. 🗆		nistrative area:	,,					n admini	istrativ	e restrictio

Version: 10/24/2023

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Bas	ed upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:
	a.	\Box is over appropriated, \boxtimes is not over appropriated, or \Box cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b.	\square will not or \boxtimes will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
	d.	 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)
B2.	a.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	d.	☐ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.
		Describe injury —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
В3.	whi unit othe dep	bundwater availability remarks: The proposed POA is located within the Unconsolidated Sedimentary Aquifer (USA), ch is approximately 125 feet thick in the vicinity of the proposed POA and underlain by an undifferentiated fine-grained. The Columbia River Basalt Group is encountered approximately 1200 feet below land surface (bls) (Swanson and ers, 1993; Gannett and Caldwell, 1998; Conlon and others, 2005; Wells and others, 2020). Sauvie Island is an alluvial osit immediately downstream of the confluence of the Willamette River and the Columbia River. The island is maintained a flood levee.
	mai	hin two miles of the POA, there are approximately 20 water rights mostly for irrigation and nursery use with some pond ntenance and storage rights. Several other domestic wells are also nearby. Most wells near the proposed POA also duce from the USA. Reported maximum yields in nearby alluvial wells, mostly domestic, range from 20 to 400 gpm with

two wells reporting yields ~700 – 1000 gpm (well statistics attached). Well deepenings are not prevalent. The well report indicates that yield was approximately 120 gpm with no drawdown (based on a bailer test), which is greater than the requested rate (~107 gpm).

The nearest groundwater user was identified as MULT 74192, a nursery well associated with Permit G-15632, located approximately 0.7 mile southwest of the proposed POA. Despite not fully penetrating the alluvial aquifer system, potential impacts on the well were modeled using the attached Theis drawdown analysis and assuming the full duty and rate of the proposed POA. Transmissivity values are based on a nearby well test (MULT 1597) and published values (Freeze and Cherry

1979; Conlon and others, 2005). It appears unlikely that interference would produce drawdown at the proposed well in excess of the typical permit condition limits

Water level data from the alluvial aquifer is provided in the attached hydrograph for MULT 1580 (1.8 miles northwest), MULT 134712 (1.8 miles northwest), COLU 50066 (6 miles northwest), and COLU 3379 (9 miles northwest). The water levels for all four wells are generally stable with seasonal variation of ~5 to 10 feet, likely correlated to precipitation. Based on the observed water level behavior, effective hydraulic connection with nearby surface water sources, and large storage capacity and permeability of the USA, the groundwater reservoir is not over-appropriated.

In order to support future understanding and management of the groundwater resource in this area, the conditions listed in Item B1(d)(i) and Item B2(c) are recommended.

Version: 07/28/2020

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvial		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The well report indicates the well is sealed to 20 feet bls and the first waterbearing zone is encountered at ~201 feet bls, with a static water level of 16 feet bls at time of drilling. Geologic mapping indicates the Unconsolidated Sedimentary Aquifer is approximately 125 feet thick in this area and the well report indicates fine sand is present from approximately 38 to 201 feet bls. Nearby well logs indicate shallow water bearing zones are present at shallow depths ranging from 20 – 50 feet bls (see attached Well Statistics). Although the aquifer tapped by the proposed POAs and some nearby wells might be under semi-confined conditions, the overlying low-permeability deposits are not laterally extensive on Sauvie Island (Conlon and others, 2005; Gannett and Caldwell, 1998). Therefore, the alluvial aquifer is considered unconfined.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ½ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

w	vell	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	YES	Čonne	dically octed? ASSUMED	Potentia Subst. Int Assum YES	terfer.
	1	1	Unnamed Slough	5 – 15 ^a	$13 - 20^{b}$	120			⊠	⊠	
	1	2	Columbia River	5 – 15 ^a	$5 - 10^{b}$	1,345	X				\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: ^a The range of groundwater elevations was estimated based on the well report and nearby groundwater level data.

Well 1 is considered in hydraulic connection with SW#1 and 2 based on the lack of aquifer confinement of the USA and similar elevations of water levels. Furthermore, hydraulic connection was assumed for SW #1 according to rules because Well 1 is less than ½ mile from the SW#1 and produces from an unconfined aquifer.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: None established

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water (SW) source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that SW source, not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \boxtimes box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	⊠		N/A	N/A					<mark>⊠</mark>
2	1			N/A	N/A					

b Estimated ranges of surface water elevations are based on LIDAR data for the surface water sources within approximately 1 mile of the proposed POA (OLC, 2016)

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

5	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: No WAB is established for the location of the proposed POAs, so potential for substantial interference was not evaluated using Division 9 criteria. The finding of "assumed potential for substantial interference" is based on the proposed POA producing from an unconfined aquifer within ½ mile of SW#1.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	s											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	Q as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{\sigma}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(7)	(A) (B)		/	/	/						/		
	$(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$	٧	V	√	V	٧	٧	٧	٧	٧	٧	٧	√
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:

,		
	•	<u> </u>

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water

Version: 07/28/2020

Rights Section.

C5.	☐ If properly conditioned , the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
C6.	SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:

References Used: Application File: G-19477

OWRD well reports and data: MULT 32, MULT 1580, MULT 1597, MULT 134712, COLU 3379, COLU 50066

- Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Groundwater hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon, Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168: U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.
- Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32 p.
- Oregon Lidar Consortium (OLC), 2016, OLC metro 2014 lidar project, Oregon Department of Geology & Mineral Industries, Portland, OR, November 30.
- Swanson, R. D., McFarland, W. D., Gonthier, J. B., and Wilkinson, J. M., 1993, A description of hydrogeologic units in the Portland Basin, Oregon and Washington, Water-Resources Investigations Report 90-4196, 56 p.: U. S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.
- United States Geological Survey, 2014, National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), 1:24,000, U. S. Department of the Interior, Reston, VA.
- Wells, R.E., Haugerud, R.A., Niem, A.R., Niem, W.A., Ma, L., Evarts, R.C., O'Connor, J.E., Madin, I.P., Sherrod, D.R., Beeson, M.H., Tolan, T.L., Wheeler, K.L., Hanson, W.B., and Sawlan, M.G., 2020, Geologic map of the greater Portland metropolitan area and surrounding region, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3443, pamphlet 55 p., 2 sheets, scale 1:63,360, https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3443.

Application G-19477

Page


Date: December 19, 2024

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

review of the wel	•		
. U other: (specify)			
	n deficiency or other comment	is described as follows:	


Route to the Well Construction and Compliance Section for a review of existing well construction.

Well Statistics




Well Location Map

Application G-19477 Sauvie Island Wholesale Nursery T2N R1W Section 11



10

Water-Level Measurements in Nearby Wells



Theis Interference Analysis

