Review Date: 6/24/25

Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's WATER RIGHT APPLICATION REVIEW SUMMARY SHEET



This sheet provides a summary of the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's recommendations related to a water right permit application filed with the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD). Please see the full content of the review that begins on page 2 for additional details and analysis.

Water is Not Available (Section 3.1) Based on OWRD's Initial Review and/or Water Availability Reporting System, water is not available for the proposed us further reduction of flow as a result of the proposed use would impair biologically necessary flows and the public inteendangered fish species. Therefore, ODFW supports OWRD's preliminary determination that appropriation for the production regarding water availability changes, ODFW will need to re-assess the proposed use.	rest with regard to sensitive, threatened, or
Threatened and/or Endangered Species (Section 4) NOT APPLICABLE; Threatened and/or endangered fish will not be impacted by the proposed use. Upper Columbia Basin (above Bonneville Dam) Is the proposed use consistent with the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program? YES; see details in Section 4.1 NO Lower Columbia Basin (below Bonneville Dam) Is the proposed use detrimental to the protection or recovery of a threatened and/or endangered fish species? YES; see details in Section 4.2 NO Statewide Will the proposed use result in a loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species? YES; see details in Section 4.3 NO	E-RECEIVED JUN 24 2025 OWRD
Sensitive Species (Section 5) □ NOT APPLICABLE; Sensitive fish will not be impacted by the proposed use. □ Will the proposed use result in a net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive species? □ NO	
Public Interest (Section 6) Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest (in addition to that identified under Division 33)? ☐ YES; see details in Section 6	
Conditions (Section 3 and 6) ODFW recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see REVIEW SHEET for additional Section 3.2 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows "Mitigation Plan" [A]	-
☐ Site-specific condition(s), including, but not limited to, any identified in Section 6: type here	
☐ Comments: <u>type here</u>	
Mitigation (Section 7) Is ODFW recommending mitigation in addition to any conditions identified? ☐ YES; see recommended Mitigation Obligation in Section 7 ☑ YES; OWRD's caseworker should contact ODFW if the applicant is interested in pursuing mitigation ☐ NO	

Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's WATER RIGHT APPLICATION REVIEW SHEET



The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) provides the following recommendations to protect and enhance Oregon's fish and wildlife and their habitats for use and enjoyment by present and future generations. Mitigation recommendations are consistent with the goals and standards in ODFW's OAR 635-415 (Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy) and other applicable law. The information is requested by the Oregon Department of Water Resources (OWRD) for the purposes of consultation pursuant to OAR 690-33 (Additional Public Interest Standards for New Appropriations), OAR 690-310 (Water Rights Application Processing), OAR 690-400 (State Water Resources Policy), and OAR 690-410 (Statewide Water Resource Management). ODFW recommendations herein are to be utilized in coordination with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality's (ODEQ) recommendations regarding impacts to aquatic life due to impaired water quality.

Section 1: Proposed Use

Basin: Klamath

Stream: runoff<Lost R Tributary to: Klamath R TRSQQ: 40.00S-11.00E-11-NW NW (optional)

Proposed period of use (from application, if available): year round

Requested amount (cfs or AF): 6.7 AF for fish life, recreation, and wildlife uses

E-RECEIVED JUN 24 2025 OWRD

\sim	۱۱/	VR F	٦'n	Initial	Review	Detern	nination
L	, v	v ni	, ,	mmai	REVIEW	Deten	шнансн

\times	Allowable	January	1 through	May 31	and Octob	er 1 throug	h November	r 30 ((Watermaster	recommends	January	and
Ma	arch – May)	<u>)</u>		-								

□ NOT Allowable; Water is not available type months here	☐ NOT Allowable; type other reason here
---	--

Section 2: Fish Species Present

A) 🗌	No fish species will be in	npacted by the proposed	use based on parameters as	sessed by ODFW.	(Skip to Section 6)
------	----------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------	---------------------

B) \boxtimes The following fish species of primary concern are present at the location of the proposed use or will be impacted by the proposed use:

		Listir	ng Status	Life Stage Present			
Species	Sensitive	Threatened	Endangered	Not Listed*	Spawning	Rearing	Migration
Klamath Largescale Sucker				\boxtimes			
Spring Chinook	\boxtimes						
Summer Steelhead	\boxtimes						
Redband Trout	\boxtimes						
Pacific Lamprey	\boxtimes						
Fall Chinook Salmon				\boxtimes			
Lost River Sucker			\boxtimes				
Shortnose Sucker			\boxtimes				
type here							

^{*}Impacts to species not listed as sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered are addressed in Section 6.

Section 3: Potential Impacts to Fish Species

Note: Impacts identified below are determined by professional judgment and/or best available science. Recommended mitigation for identified impacts is outlined in Section 7. See Section 8 for recommended "condition" language.

3.1 Water is Not Availal	<u>ole</u>				
proposed use. Be would impair biol endangered fish s inconsistent is detrimental will result in a will result in a Therefore, ODFW	nd/or endangered fish species. endangered fish species.				
D) Commonto como min		E-RECEIVED			
B) Comments concernir	ng water availability: type here	JUN 24 2025			
(Sign and STOP here if 3	.1.A is checked)	OWRD			
ODFW Representative's	Signature:	Date: type here			
Name: type here	Phone: type here	Email: type here			
A) ODFW has not id "Measuremer However, base expected to be recommends to OWRD, which exceeded. (Sk) "Mitigation P However, base the proposed ODFW reconduring this Proposed F ODFW reconduction of the Proposed F ODFW reconduction of the Section 3.4	ed on best professional judgment, impacts to fist inconsequential. Therefore, no mitigation for the system installed to divert water include morallows water use measurement and reporting a lip to Section 3.4) an," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device" and on OWRD's Water Availability Reporting Systemse. A further reduction of flow during type here measurements the season of use be restricted to type time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed inal Order for any use outside of this period. (Sommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to a prior to an an analysis of the proposed use be mitigated prior to an an analysis of the proposed use be mitigated prior to a prior to an analysis of the proposed use be mitigated prior to an analysis of the proposed use be mitigated prior to a prior to an analysis of the proposed use be mitigated prior to a	th from the proposed reduction in flow are a reduction in flow is recommended. ODFW nitoring equipment, the type determined by and ensures the permitted amount is not em, water is not available entirely or partially for even would be harmful to fish. The here if the applicant can show beneficial use end use be mitigated prior to issuance of a skip to Section 3.4) To issuance of a Proposed Final Order. (Skip to			
•	nds the following biologically necessary flows to ter Right certificates and pending applications a	•			
	way flows intended to maintain quantities of wa				

П	Other	biologically	v necessary	/ flows:
$\overline{}$	Othici	Diologican	y ricecoour	y 110 ws.

JAN	type here cfs	APR	type here cfs	JUL	type here cfs	OCT	type here cfs
FEB	type here cfs	MAY	type here cfs	AUG	type here cfs	NOV	type here cfs
MAR	type here cfs	JUN	type here cfs	SEP	type here cfs	DEC	type here cfs

Source: ODFW Regional Flow Target Assessment	E-RECEIVED
☐ based on list BIR here	JUN 24 2025
☐ <u>type other source here</u>	OWRD

C)

"Bypass Plan" and "Bypass Flow" (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)

Per 690-410-0070 (2)(c), ODFW recommends the following biologically necessary flows, minus any amount that the applicant may provide as mitigation, be bypassed (passed through) the reservoir **during the filling season**.

JAN	type here cfs	APR	type here cfs	JUL	type here cfs	OCT	type here cfs
FEB	type here cfs	MAY	type here cfs	AUG	type here cfs	NOV	type here cfs
MAR	type here cfs	JUN	type here cfs	SEP	type here cfs	DEC	type here cfs

D) Comments concerning biologically necessary flows: type here

3.3 Biological Flow Availability

A)	Ba	sed on parameters assessed by ODFW, are the recommended biologically necessary flows (identified in Section
	3.2	2, Question B) available within the impacted reach during the period of impact?
		YES; "Maintain Flow" and "Measurement Device"
		A further reduction in flow from the proposed use will <u>not</u> impair biologically necessary flows for fish as long as
		the recommended flows remain satisfied real time within and downstream of the point of impact. ODFW
		recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD
		which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.
	\boxtimes	NO; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"
		The proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the period of
		impact.
		☐ Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during
		type months here. ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the
		applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be
		mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order</u> for any use outside of this period.
		☑ Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. ODFW
		recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.
		UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"
		There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to
		determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes
		impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order</u> unless
		the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and can be
		maintained within the impacted reach.
		NOT APPLICABLE: "Measurement Device"

☐ ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to be **inconsequential or de Minimis** in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed.

Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the type

determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting amount is not exceeded.	g and ensures the permitted
\Box The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are a	already impaired is harmful to fish
and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption	
gal/d) are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the propo	sed use is inconsequential . Until
such time as ODFW determines suitable mitigation for Human Consumptio	n uses (e.g., a payment to provide
program), other means to adequately protect instream values, or if applica	ble, the combined cumulative total
of one percent of the average daily flow or one cubic foot per second has b	een met (per ORS 390.835(8)),
ODFW will determine the use inconsequential. However, ODFW recommen	nds the system installed to divert
water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the type determin	ned by OWRD, which allows water
use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not	exceeded.
$\ \square$ Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has determined that impacts from th	e proposed reduction in flow will
not impair or be detrimental to sensitive, threatened, or endangered fish if	the use (e.g., fill period) is limited
to type months here. The proposed restoration activity is solely intended to	restore or enhance natural
processes, will provide a net ecological benefit and long-term habitat bene	fits, and ODFW has identified the
applicant as an individual, agency or restoration entity having experience o	r skills in durable habitat
restoration. Therefore, ODFW concurs with limiting the use (e.g., fill period) per OWRD's Initial Review.
Additional conditions may also apply (see other sections of this review). If (DWRD's determination regarding
water availability changes, ODFW will need to re-assess the proposed use.	
 B) Comments concerning availability of biologically necessary flows: This is a follow up application submitted to ODFW in 2024 by Derek Wright. 3.4 Fish Passage and Screening A) Would the proposed use potentially create or maintain an artificial obstruction¹ to 	
fish currently or historically present at the point of diversion per ORS 509.585?	
☐ YES; "Passage"	E-RECEIVED
□ "Egress"	JUN 24 2025
□ NO	OWRD
⋈ NO; "Maintain Passage"	
Based on available information, the proposed use does not appear to involve in create or maintain an artificial obstruction. However, if the applicant creates o to fish passage for the proposed use, the applicant will need to address Oregon diversion of water.	r maintains an artificial obstruction
B) Would fish species benefit from fish screening per ORS 498.306?	
☐ YES; "Screen"	
⊠ NO	
☐ "Future Protection"	
Fish screening will not currently benefit fish species but may be beneficial in the	e future if conditions within the
watershed change. Please describe current conditions within the watershed: ty	vpe here
C) Comments concerning fish passage or screening: type here	

Version 1.1, modified March 18, 2025

¹ "Artificial obstruction" means any dam, diversion, dike, berm, levee, tide or flood gate, road, culvert or other human-made device placed in the waters of this state that precludes or prevents the migration of native migratory fish.

<u>3.5</u>	Other	Ecologica	<u>al Functions</u>

A) Are there other impacts to ecological functions important to fish dur	ing the period of impact?
☑ YES; A "condition" will be identified below or mitigation will be real.	ecommended in Section 7.4.
Development of the proposed project may disturb the riparial	n area that provides habitat to fish. "Riparian"
and "Riparian Plan"	
$\ \square$ To have the least impact on fish and habitat resources, ODFW	recommends any in-water work related to
construction, development, or maintenance of the proposed	use be conducted during the preferred work
period of type here "In-water Work"	
	sh transport permit approved by ODFW. "Fish
Stocking"	, , , , ,
☐ Other impacts to fish: <u>type here</u>	
□ NO	
B) Other comments concerning ecological functions important to fish: $\underline{\textbf{t}}$	ype here
Section 4: ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/o	r Endangered Fish Species
□ NOT APPLICABLE; threatened and/or endangered fish will not be implementation.	pacted by the proposed use. Skip to Section 5.
4.1 ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/or Endangered Fish Spe	ocies Under OWRD's Division 33 Unner
Columbia Rules (above Bonneville Dam)	cies officer owned a physical 35 opper
□ The Upper Columbia rules do not apply for threatened and/or endar	ngered fish species
Overarching Question 1	:
Is the proposed use consistent with the Columbia River	Basin Fish and Wildlife Program?
YES; ODFW finds the use will either not impair biologically necessary	•
functions important to protection or recovery of threatened and/or	
reduction in flow is expected to be inconsequential or de Minimis b	ased on parameters assessed (Section 3.2,
Question A; Section 3.3, Question A).	
□ NO; Based on ODFW's knowledge, the proposed use is inconsistent	
Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program ² due to im	
assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instrea	-
need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.4), or to impacts to eco	ological functions important to threatened and/or
endangered fish (Section 3.5).	
	E-RECEIVED
	JUN 24 2025
	JUIN 24 2020

OWRD

 $^{^2}$ The Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program (WRD's document number 94-2) states: "In determining whether a proposed diversion or transfer would be consistent with salmon and steelhead needs, consult with fish and wildlife agencies and Indian tribes to determine whether the proposed use would cause any reduction in the quantity or productivity of salmon and steelhead habitat" (7.8G.1). In addition, the document includes a recommendation to "halt further issuance of consumptive water rights unless a finding can be made, in consultation with fish agencies and tribes, that existing instream flows meet anadromous fish needs for all life-stages" (Recommendation No.: 7-2).

Review Date: 6/24/25

Overarc		

Can the use be conditioned or mitigated to achieve consistency with the Fish an	d Wildlife Program?
 YES; ODFW recommends the conditions recommended in Section 3 to compensate for proposed use. □ ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635 Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. □ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here □ NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a threfish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a place of the specific basis (i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact the proposed use of the proposed use if impact to contract the curied of the proposed use. 	Mitigation Proposal that 6-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Inded below and in Section 3, reatened and/or endangered hysiographic province or site-
proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided.	
Comments: type here	
4.2 ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/or Endangered Fish Species Under OWRD	's Division 33 Lower Columbia
Rules (below Bonneville Dam)	
☑ The Lower Columbia rules do not apply for threatened and/or endangered fish species.	
Overarching Question 1:	
Is the proposed use detrimental to the protection or recovery of a threatened and/o	r endangered fish species?
 YES; Based on knowledge of recovery plans, the Northwest Power and Conservation Conservation Wildlife Program², and regional restoration programs, ODFW found the use to protection or recovery of a threatened and/or endangered species due to impairment or the assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow avail A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.4), or to impacts to ecological function and/or endangered fish (Section 3.5). NO; ODFW finds the use will either not impair biologically necessary flows (Section 3.3, functions important to protection or recovery of threatened and/or endangered fish (Section in flow is expected to be inconsequential or de Minimis based on parameter Question A; Section 3.3, Question A). 	be detrimental to the of biologically necessary flows lability (Section 3.3, Question tions important to threatened, Question A) and ecological ection 3.5) or the proposed
Overarching Question 2: Can the use be conditioned or mitigated to avoid the detriment to a threatened and/o	or endangered fish species?
 ☐ YES; ODFW recommends the conditions recommended in Section 3 to compensate for proposed use. ☐ ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 63 Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. 	Mitigation Proposal that 5-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat ended below and in Section 3,
☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here	E-RECEIVED

→ NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a threatened and/or endangered fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-specific basis (i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed use

would harm the species.

Comments: type here

E-RECEIVED JUN 24 2025 OWRD

Application #: R-89886 Applicant's Name: TLM LLC/MITCH GRAY/Del

Section 5: ODFW Findings Regarding Sensitive Fish Species (under OWRD's Division 33 Statewide Rules)

	Sensitive species will not be impaired by the proposed use. Skip to Section 6.	
	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use result in a net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive fis Note: For impacts to non-essential habitat for sensitive species under Habitat Categories	•
	YES; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has found impairment of biologically necessary for impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.3, Que fish passage or screening (Section 3.4), or impacts to ecological functions (Section 3.5) essent species during the period of impact.	stion A), the need for tial to sensitive fish
	NO; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW finds the use will either <u>not impair</u> biologically not 3.3, Question A) and ecological functions essential to sensitive fish species (Section 3.5) or the flow is expected to be <u>inconsequential or de Minimis</u> (Section 3.2, Question A; Section 3.3, Georgian A) and ecological functions essential to sensitive fish species (Section 3.5) or the flow is expected to be <u>inconsequential or de Minimis</u> (Section 3.2, Question A; Section 3.3, Georgian A) and ecological functions essential to sensitive fish species (Section 3.5) or the flow is expected to be <u>inconsequential or de Minimis</u> (Section 3.2, Question A).	ne proposed reduction in
	Overarching Question 2: Can the use be conditioned to result in no net loss of essential habitat of a sensit	ive fish species?
	YES; ODFW recommends the conditions and mitigation recommended in Sections 3, 4, and 7 potential impact from the proposed use. ☑ ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitig fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415- Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. ☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a sensitive population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic provi (i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposes species.	ation Proposal that -0025 (ODFW Habitat from Sections 3 and 4, e fish species, nce or site-specific basis to the proposed use or
	mments: <u>type here</u>	JUN 24 2025 OWRD
No	ection 6: ODFW's Public Interest Findings (under OWRD's Division 310) te: Comment on fish or wildlife species not already discussed in Sections 4 or 5 and impacts to E fish.	o non-essential habitat of
	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interes	t?
	YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will import the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8): identify beneficial use(s) and how they are impaired or detrimentally affected	air or be detrimental to
\boxtimes	NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in	Sections 4 and 5, will be

inconsequential from the proposed use or has not been assessed at this time. Skip to Section 7.

Application #: R-89886

Overarching Question 2:

Can the proposed use be conditioned to overcome the impairment or detriment to the public interest?

Can the proposed use be conditioned to overcome the impairment or detriment	to the public interest?
□ YES;	
\Box The same conditions and mitigation as outlined in Sections 3, 4, and 7 apply.	
\square ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): <u>type here</u>	
NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a fish population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic proposed (i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternation authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed is species.	province or site-specific basis gives to the proposed use or
Comments: <u>type here</u>	E-RECEIVED
Section 7: ODFW's Recommended Mitigation Obligation	JUN 24 2025
□ NOT APPLICABLE; ODFW is not recommending mitigation. (Sign and STOP here)	OWRD
ODFW Representative's Signature: Date:	: <u>type here</u>
Name: type here Phone: type here Email: type here	

Mitigation Obligation

ODFW's assessment reveals flows within the impacted reach are or are assumed to be entirely or partially below those essential to support the biological needs of fish, wildlife, or habitats and/or the proposed use will otherwise impact habitat, so the proposed use may diminish physical habitat and alter the flow regime to which fish and wildlife are naturally adapted. These changes will negatively affect their distribution, productivity, and abundance. Therefore, a further reduction in flow or alteration of habitat from the proposed water use would impair or be detrimental to fish, wildlife, and/or their habitat without appropriate mitigation. ODFW recommends the applicant contact the caseworker to schedule a consultation with ODFW concerning the following recommended Mitigation Obligation, if questions arise.

Choose One:

- A) Mater is not available to support biologically necessary flows at the POD and/or downstream year-round. ODFW recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order. Without appropriate mitigation and/or conditions, a further reduction in flow or alteration of habitat from the proposed water use outside this period will impair or be detrimental to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, nonlisted fish species, or wildlife. If the applicant is interested in pursuing mitigation, please contact ODFW for further information concerning appropriate conditions and a Mitigation Obligation consistent with OAR 635-415, as required under OAR 690-33, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. Mitigation is often complicated, time consuming, and expensive, and may include, but is not limited to, actions such as replacing the proposed amount of water through purchasing or transferring an existing water right.
- B) Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows at the POD and/or downstream during type months here. ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period. Without appropriate mitigation and/or conditions, a further reduction in flow or alteration of habitat from the proposed water use outside this period will impair or be detrimental to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, nonlisted fish species, or wildlife. If the applicant is interested in pursuing mitigation, please contact ODFW for

Applicant's Name: TLM LLC/MITCH GRAY/Derek Wright

	further information concerning appropriate conditions and a Mitigation Obligation consister	it with OAR 635-415,
	as required under OAR 690-33, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed u	se. Mitigation is
	often complicated, time consuming, and expensive, and may include, but is not limited to, ac	ctions such as
	replacing the proposed amount of water through purchasing or transferring an existing water	er right.
c) 🗆	There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Avail	ability Basin) to
	determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, OI	DFW recommends the
	proposed use be mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order</u> unless the applicant p	rovides sufficient
	evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and can be maintained	within the impacted
	reach. Without appropriate mitigation and/or conditions, a further reduction in flow or alte	ration of habitat from
	the proposed water use outside this period may impair or be detrimental to sensitive, threat	ened, and/or
	endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, or wildlife. If the applicant is interested in p	ursuing mitigation,
	please contact ODFW for further information concerning appropriate conditions and a Mitig	ation Obligation
	consistent with OAR 635-415, as required under OAR 690-33, to compensate for any potential	al impact from the
	proposed use. Mitigation is often complicated, time consuming, and expensive, and may inc	lude, but is not
	limited to, actions such as replacing the proposed amount of water through purchasing or tr	ansferring an existing
	water right.	
D) 🗆	Mitigation is not an option. ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternative	es to the proposed
	use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided.	
E) 🗆	Based on ODFW's knowledge of applicable Subbasin Plans, Recovery Plans, Regional Restora	tion Plans, or other
	documents, the proposed use appears inconsistent with the Northwest Power and Conserva	ition Council's
	Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program ³ , impairs essential habitat, or is otherwise de	etrimental to the
	protection and/or recovery of sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-list	ted fish species, or
	wildlife. Therefore, ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker	at WRD, a Mitigation
	Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation (consistent with the goals and standards of O	AR 635-415-0025;
	ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) as outlined in this section(s), as well as other	conditions
	recommended in Sections 3-6. ODFW recommends the Proposal include an assessment of	options using the
	following actions listed in order of priority:	E-RECEIVED
	(1) avoiding the impact altogether,	JUN 24 2025
	(2) minimizing the impact by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action,	OWRD
	(3) rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment,	
	(4) reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operation	-
	the development action and by monitoring and taking appropriate corrective measures, and	

(5) compensating for the impact by replacing or providing comparable substitute resources or environments.

Because the mitigation is site- and species-specific, ODFW recommends written approval of the Proposal by ODFW prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order (see Section 9).

7.1 Identification of Habitat Category

Habitat Category⁴ for the Primary Species of Concern During the Period of Impact:

Month	Primary Species of Concern	Habitat Category
January	type here	type here
February	type here	type here
March	type here	type here
April	type here	type here

Month	Primary Species of Concern	Habitat Category
May	type here	type here
June	type here	type here
July	type here	type here
August	type here	type here

Month	Primary Species of Concern	Habitat Category
September	type here	type here
October	type here	type here
November	type here	type here
December	type here	type here

³ Water Resources Department's document number 94-2

⁴ see ODFW Habitat Mitigation Policy, OAR 635-415-0025

7.2 Flow Mitigation

☐ If the applicant chooses to pursue water use during <u>type here</u> , water is not available, ODFW recommends the applicant provid and maintained as an instream water right for the life of the p	de water-for-water mitigation that is legally protected
☐ In lieu of mitigation, the applicant may provide evidence the can be maintained within the impacted reach.	
☐ ODFW recommends WRD's "Normal Mitigation," including any	site-specific options addressed below.
A) Water Quantity: type here (cfs or AF; equals amount requested plus a net benefit (for Habitat Category 2)	3)
B) Months: type here	E-RECEIVED JUN 24 2025
C) Location of Mitigation (based on the Habitat Category):□ at or above the point of impact	OWRD
\Box at or above the point of impact is preferred, but may occur vector population(s)	within the watershed/home range of the impacted
\square within a high priority reach 5 within the watershed/home ran	ge of the impacted species or population
\square within the watershed/home range of the impacted population	on(s)
\square benefitting the impacted population(s) and/or higher priorit	y species: <u>list species here</u>
D) Additional comments: <u>type here</u>	
7.3 Habitat Restoration Mitigation	
Does the Mitigation Goal also allow a habitat restoration project a Categories $3-6$?	s a mitigation option (i.e., impacts to Habitat
 ☐ YES; In lieu of providing "water-for water", ODFW's Habitat Mit providing mitigation through a habitat restoration project that existing prior to the development action. If the applicant is into for further information. ☐ NO; Skip to Part 4, if applicable. 	recreates similar habitat structure and function to that
7.4 Other Ecological Functions Mitigation	
☐ Not applicable	
ODFW recommends the applicant provide the following mitigat	tion, including, but not limited to, mitigation for
"Other Impacts to Ecological Functions" or impacts to wildlife. Note: Copy and paste the template below for each habitat type	in need of replacement.
A) Habitat Structure and Function in Need of Replacement: type h	ere

Version 1.1, modified March 18, 2025

⁵ see ODFW's Aquatic Habitat Priority maps

B) Describe the habitat quantity and quality to be replaced: type here	
C) Months: ☐ In Perpetuity ☐ Other: type here	E-RECEIVED JUN 24 2025 OWRD
 D) Location of Mitigation: at or above the point of impact at or above the point of impact is preferred, but may occur within the population(s) 	e watershed/home range of the impacted
 □ within a high priority reach⁵ within the home range of the impacted s □ within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s) □ anywhere benefitting the impacted population(s) and/or higher prior 	
E) Additional comments: type here	
ODFW Representative's Signature:	Date: 6/24/25
Name: Danette Faucera Phone: 971-280-3773 Email: da	nette.l.faucera@odfw.oregon.gov

Section 8: ODFW's Recommended Condition Language

List A Conditions (to be addressed by applicant prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order)

E-RECEIVED
JUN 24 2025
OWRD

Bypass Plan (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)

<u>Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order</u>, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Bypass Plan which describes the method the permittee shall bypass the recommended flows, as outlined in Section 3.2, C and how the permittee will quantify and document inflow and outflow.

Mitigation Plan

<u>Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order</u>, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations), as outlined in Section 7, to compensate for any potential impacts to fish, wildlife, or habitats from the proposed use.

Riparian Plan

If development of the point of diversion includes disturbance of the riparian area, the applicant shall be responsible for restoration and enhancement of such riparian area in accordance with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's (ODFW) Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy described in OAR 635-415. **Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order**, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Riparian Plan approved in writing by ODFW, unless ODFW provides documentation that a Riparian Plan is not necessary. The applicant is hereby directed to contact ODFW.

List B Conditions

(included in permit and "maintenance" language carried through to certificate)

Bypass Flows (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)

Per 690-410-0070 (2)(c), the following flows shall be bypassed or passed through the reservoir during the filling season:

- 1) When the biologically necessary flows identified below are not available immediately upstream of the impacted area, the permittee shall pass all live flow downstream at a rate equal to the inflow, minus the amount of mitigation water provided upstream by the permittee, if applicable, and
- 2) When the biologically necessary flows identified below are available immediately upstream of the impacted area, the permittee shall pass flow downstream at a rate **equal to** or greater than the biologically necessary flows.

Once the reservoir has reached the permitted volume, all live flow shall be passed downstream at a rate equal to the inflow.

The permittee shall quantify and document inflow and outflow and maintain the bypass flows for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Bypass Plan. The bypass flow data shall be available upon request by the Oregon Water Resources Department, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, or Oregon Department of Agriculture.

{copy table from Section 3.2, Question C}

Egress

Native migratory fish may become trapped or entrained in the reservoir(s) during or after flooding events. Therefore, the permittee shall work with ODFW and obtain, in writing, an ODFW-approved fish passage plan to address egress from the reservoir(s) or other approved methods to keep fish out of the reservoir(s) during flood events (e.g., berm construction around the reservoirs). Approval of the proposed fish passage plan shall be obtained prior to diversion of water. The permittee shall submit proof to ODFW that fish passage (egress) has been implemented per the approved plan <u>prior to diversion of water</u>.

Fish Stocking

Per ORS 498.222 and OAR 635-007-0600, all persons transporting live fish in Oregon must have a Fish Transport Permit (FTP) issued by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW). The permittee shall not stock fish in the reservoir without an FTP approved by ODFW. As part of the FTP permitting process, the permittee may be required to screen the inlet and outlet of the reservoir to ensure that fish cannot escape into public waters and/or to keep wild fish from entering the reservoir.

Future Protection

The permittee may be required in the future to install, maintain, and operate fish screening per ORS 498.306 to prevent harm to fish from the proposed diversion. The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) may require the water user to install an approved fish screen at the new point of diversion within one year after receiving written notification from ODFW that a fish screen is required. Once installed, the water user shall operate and maintain the fish screen consistent with ODFW's operation and maintenance standards.

Review Date: 6/24/25

In-Water Work

Any in-water work related to construction, development, or maintenance of the proposed use shall be conducted during the preferred work period of {insert dates identified in Section 3.5} unless an alternate time period is approved by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Maintain Flow

The biologically necessary flows shown in the following table shall be maintained real time within and downstream of the point of impact or the use may be regulated until the flows are available.

{copy table from Section 3.2, Question B}

Maintain Passage

The permittee shall maintain adequate passage of native migratory fish at all times (ORS 509.610) and shall not construct, operate, or maintain any dam or artificial obstruction to fish passage across any waters of the state that are inhabited, or were historically inhabited, by native migratory fish (ORS 509.585).

Measurement Device

The permittee shall install, maintain, and operate a water use control and/or measuring device, as identified by OWRD. The device shall be installed, functional, and approved by the local Watermaster, **prior to diversion of water**.

Mitigation

The permittee shall comply with terms of the associated Mitigation Plan to compensate for detrimental impacts to fish, wildlife, and/or their habitat. The Mitigation Plan is fully incorporated into the requirements of this permit and may only be altered by written mutual agreement of all parties. The mitigation shall be legally protected and maintained for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate.

Passage

The permittee shall not construct, operate, or maintain any dam or artificial obstruction to fish passage across any waters of the state that are inhabited, or were historically inhabited, by native migratory fish (ORS 509.585) without obtaining approval for the artificial obstruction from the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW).

The permittee shall submit a proposal for fish passage to ODFW or apply for a fish passage waiver or exemption. Approval of the proposed fish passage facility, waiver, or exemption shall be obtained <u>prior to construction</u> of any in-channel obstruction or <u>prior to diversion of water</u> that may create an artificial obstruction due to low flow. The permittee shall submit proof to ODFW that fish passage has been implemented per the plan, waiver, or exemption <u>prior to diversion of water</u>.

The permittee shall maintain adequate passage of native migratory fish at all times (ORS 509.610) as per the approved plan, waiver, or exemption. The permittee is hereby directed to schedule a consultation with an ODFW Fish Passage Coordinator.

Riparian

The permittee shall restore or enhance the riparian area per the approved Riparian Plan <u>prior to diversion of water</u> and maintain the riparian area for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Riparian Plan.

Screen

The permittee shall install, maintain, and operate fish screening consistent with current Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) standards or submit documentation that ODFW has determined fish screening is not necessary or is exempted. Fish screening is to prevent fish from entering the proposed diversion. The required screen is to be in place, functional, and approved in writing by ODFW <u>prior to diversion of water</u>. The water user shall operate and maintain the fish screen consistent with ODFW's operation and maintenance standards. The permittee is hereby directed to schedule a consultation with an ODFW Fish Screening Coordinator.

E-RECEIVED JUN 24 2025 OWRD

Section 9: ODFW's Review of the Mitigation Proposal

Because the mitigation is site- and species-specific, ODFW recommends written approval of the Proposal by ODFW prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order. ODFW finds the following:

\square ODFW supports the Mitigation Proposal with the following c	ondition(s):
☐ "Mitigation"	
☐ Site-specific condition(s): <u>type here</u>	E-RECEIVED JUN 24 2025 OWRD
Additional information:	
 A Fish Passage Waiver or Exemption has been granted for the proposed P requirements for this use. 	OD that fulfills the fish passage
☐ Comments: <u>type here</u>	
\square ODFW cannot support the Mitigation Proposal because it is	not consistent with the criteria
in OAR 635-415.	
☐ The proposed mitigation is inconsistent with the Northwest Power and Co Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program ⁶ , impairs essential habita protection and/or recovery of sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered wildlife.	t, or is otherwise detrimental to the
\square Habitat goals and standards not met: <u>list here and explain why not met</u>	
	E-RECEIVED
	JUN 24 2025
	OWRD
ODFW Representative's Signature:	Date: <u>type here</u>
Name: <u>type here</u> Phone: <u>type here</u> Email: <u>type here</u>	

Version 1.1, modified March 18, 2025

⁶ Water Resources Department's document number 94-2