Applicant's Name: TBI LLC

# Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's LIMITED LICENSE



**Application Review Summary Sheet** 

Note: For proposed groundwater uses, the impacts identified in Section 3.1 and 3.2 are only applicable if OWRD determines there is the potential for substantial interference with surface water per OAR 690-009.

Threatened and/or Endangered Species (Section 4)  Will the proposed use result in a loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?  ✓ YES; see details in Section 4
Sensitive Species (Section 5)  Will the proposed use result in a net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive species?  ☑ YES; see details in Section 5 □ NO  □ NOT APPLICABLE; sensitive fish will not be impacted by the proposed use.
Public Interest (Section 6) Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest (in addition to that identified under Division 33)?  ☐ YES; see details in Section 6     NO
Conditions (Section 3 and 6)  ODFW recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or detrimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see REVIEW SHEET for additional information):
Section 3.1 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows    "Mitigation Plan" [A]
Section 3.3 Fish Passage and Screening  □ "Passage" [A] □ "Maintain Passage" [A] □ "Screen" [B] □ "Future Protection" [B]  Section 3.4 Other Ecological Functions  □ "Riparian Plan" [A] □ "Riparian" [A] □ "Fish Stocking" [A]
<ul> <li>□ Site-specific condition(s), including, but not limited to, any identified in Section 6:</li> <li>□ Comments: Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has determined that impacts from the proposed reduction in flow will not</li> </ul>
impair or be detrimental to sensitive, threatened, or endangered fish if the short-term use is limited based on the Watermaster's recommendation. The proposed restoration activity will restore or enhance natural processes, provide a net ecological benefit and long-term habitat benefits. Additional conditions may also apply (see other sections of this review) and ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded (Measurement Device). If OWRD's determination regarding water availability changes, ODFW will need to reassess the proposed use.
Mitigation (Section 7)  Is ODFW recommending mitigation in addition to any conditions identified?  ☐ YES; see recommended Mitigation Obligation in Section 7  ☐ YES; contact ODFW if the applicant is interested in pursuing mitigation  ☑ NO

# Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's LIMITED LICENSE Application Review Sheet



The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) provides the following recommendations to protect and enhance Oregon's fish and wildlife and their habitats for use and enjoyment by present and future generations. Mitigation recommendations are consistent with the goals and standards in ODFW's OAR 635-415 (Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy) and other applicable law. The information is requested by the Oregon Department of Water Resources (OWRD) for the purposes of consultation pursuant to OAR 690-33 (Additional Public Interest Standards for New Appropriations), OAR 690-310 (Water Rights Application Processing), OAR 690-400 (State Water Resources Policy), and OAR 690-410 (Statewide Water Resource Management). ODFW recommendations herein are to be utilized in coordination with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality's (ODEQ) recommendations regarding impacts to aquatic life due to impaired water quality.

# **Section 1: Proposed Use**

Basin: Rogue

Stream: <u>Bear Creek</u> Tributary to: <u>Rogue River</u> TRSQQ: 38S 1W 4 and 38S 1E 31 (optional)

Proposed period of use (from application, if available): Riparian establishment June -October

Requested amount (cfs or AF): 50 gpm

# **Section 2: Fish Species Present**

A) \( \subseteq No fish species will be impacted by the proposed use based on parameters assessed by ODFW.	. (Skip to Secti	ion 6)
--	------------------	--------

B)  $\boxtimes$  The following fish species of primary concern are present at the location of the proposed use or will be impacted by the proposed use:

		Listir	ng Status		Life	e Stage Pres	ent
Species	Sensitive	Threatened	Endangered	Not Listed*	Spawning	Rearing	Migration
Coho Salmon		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Summer Steelhead	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Spring Chinook Salmon	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Pacific Lamprey	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Winter Steelhead				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Fall Chinook Salmon				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Coastal Cutthroat Trout				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
type here							
type here							

<sup>\*</sup>Impacts to species not listed as sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered are addressed in Section 6.

# **Section 3: Potential Impacts to Fish Species**

 $\Box$  type other source here

Note: Impacts identified below are determined by professional judgment and/or best available science. Recommended mitigation for identified impacts is outlined in Section 7. See Section 8 for recommended "condition" language.

|--|

A)	s the p	roposed	d use from grou	ndwate	r?					
		YES; The	e impacts identif	ied in S	Section 3.1 and	3.2 are	only appli	cable if	OWRD det	ermines there is the
	pot	ential fo	or substantial int	erferer	nce with surface	water	per OAR 6	90-009		
	$\boxtimes$	NO								
B)	□ ODF	-W has i	not identified bi	ologica	Illy necessary flo	ows wit	hin the im	pacted	reach.	
	<b>□</b> '	'Measuı	rement Device"							
	ŀ	However	r, based on best	profes	sional judgment	t, impa	cts to fish	from th	e proposed	reduction in flow are
	e	expected	d to be <b>inconsec</b>	quentia	I or there is insu	ufficien	t informati	ion at t	his time to	determine if the proposed
	ι	ıse will i	impair biologica	lly nece	essary flows for	fish. Tl	herefore, r	no mitig	ation for a	reduction in flow is
	r	ecomm	ended. ODFW i	recomn	nends the syster	m insta	lled to dive	ert wat	er include n	nonitoring equipment, the
	t	ype det	ermined by OW	RD, wh	ich allows wate	r use m	neasureme	nt and	reporting a	nd ensures the permitted
			is not exceeded	_						
		_	ion Plan," "Mit	_						
					•	-				<b>ilable</b> entirely or partially fo
			osed use. A fur			_				
	L									t can show beneficial use
			-							orior to issuance of a
	г		osed Final Order	_	•	•	=	•	-	and Final Onder (Chin to
	L			tne pro	posea use be m	iitigate	a <u>prior to i</u>	ssuance	e ot a Propo	osed Final Order. (Skip to
		Section	on 3.3)							
C)	⊠ OD	FW rec	ommends the fo	ollowing	g biologically ne	cessary	flows to s	support	the biologi	cal needs of fish species:
	$\boxtimes$ I	nstream	n Water Right ce	ertificat	es and pending	applica	itions at th	ne point	of impact	and/or downstream
	$\boxtimes$ 9	Scenic W	Vaterway flows	intende	d to maintain q	juantitie	es of wate	r neces	sary for fish	n uses per ORS 390.835
	$\boxtimes$ (	Other bi	ologically neces	sary flo	ws: <u>Bear Creek</u>	at the	<u>Mouth</u>			
		JAN	type here cfs	APR	type here cfs	JUL	<u>56.1</u> cfs	OCT	<u>74.1</u> cfs	
		FEB	type here cfs	MAY	type here cfs	AUG	<u>30.9</u> cfs	NOV	<u>106.4</u> cfs	
		MAR	type here cfs	JUN	type here cfs	SEP	<u>93.3</u> cfs	DEC	<u>170</u> cfs	
		Source:	: □ <u>ODFW Reg</u> i	onal Flo	ow Target Asses	ssment:				
			⊠ <u>based on</u>	Laumai	n J. 1972. Supple	ement	to the Fish	and wi	ldlife resou	rces of the Rogue River
			Basin, Oregor	n, and t	heir water requ	irement	ts, Novem	ber 197	70. Oregon	State Game Commission,
			Portland OR.	26 p.						

D) "Bypass Plan" and "Bypass Flow" (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water) Per 690-410-0070 (2)(c), ODFW recommends the following biologically necessary flows, minus any amount that the applicant may provide as mitigation, be bypassed (passed through) the reservoir during the filling season. type here cfs JAN type here cfs APR type here cfs JUL type here cfs OCT type here cfs FEB type here cfs MAY type here cfs AUG type here cfs NOV type here cfs JUN type here cfs DEC type here cfs MAR type here cfs SEP D) Comments concerning biologically necessary flows: type here

# 3.2 Biological Flow Availability

A)	3.1	sed on parameters assessed by ODFW, are the recommended biologically necessary flows (identified in Section , Question B) available within the impacted reach during the period of impact?  YES; "Maintain Flow" and "Measurement Device"
		A further reduction in flow from the proposed use will <u>not</u> impair biologically necessary flows for fish as long as the recommended flows remain satisfied real time within and downstream of the point of impact. ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD,
		which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.
		NO; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"
		The proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the period of
		impact.
		$\square$ Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during type
		here. ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can
		show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to
		issuance of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.
		☐ Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. ODFW
		recommends the proposed use be mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order</u> .
		UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"
		There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no Water Availability Basin or gage) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes
		impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order</u> unless
		the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and can be maintained within the impacted reach.
		NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"
		ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to be <b>inconsequential or de Minimis</b> based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend
		mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.

B) Comments concerning availability of biologically necessary flows: Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has determined that impacts from the proposed reduction in flow will not impair or be detrimental to sensitive, threatened, or endangered fish if the short-term use is limited based on the Watermaster's recommendation. The proposed restoration activity will restore or enhance natural processes, provide a net ecological benefit and long-term habitat benefits. Additional conditions may also apply (see other sections of this review) and ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded (Measurement Device). If OWRD's determination regarding water availability changes, ODFW will need to reassess the proposed use.

Limited License #: LL-2016 Applicant's Name: TBI LLC

3.3	Fish	<b>Passage</b>	and	Screer	ing
-----	------	----------------	-----	--------	-----

A)	Would the proposed use potentially create or maintain an artificial obstruction <sup>1</sup> to fish passage for native migratory fish currently or historically present <i>at the point of diversion</i> per ORS 509.585?
	□ NO
	☑ NO; "Maintain Passage"
	Based on available information, the proposed use does not appear to involve instream structures that would create or maintain an artificial obstruction. However, if the applicant creates or maintains an artificial obstruction to fish passage for the proposed use, the applicant will need to address Oregon's fish passage laws prior to diversion of water.
B)	Would fish species benefit from fish screening per ORS 498.306?  ☑ YES; "Screen"
	□ NO
	☐ "Future Protection"
	Fish screening will not currently benefit fish species but may be beneficial in the future if conditions within the watershed change. Please describe current conditions within the watershed: <a href="type-here">type here</a>
C)	Comments concerning fish passage or screening: type here
<u>3.4</u>	Other Ecological Functions
A)	Are there other impacts to ecological functions important to fish during the period of impact?
	☑ Development of the proposed project may disturb the riparian area that provides habitat to fish. "Riparian" and "Riparian Plan"
	☑ To have the least impact on fish and habitat resources, ODFW recommends any in-water work related to construction, development, or maintenance of the proposed use be conducted during the preferred work period determined by local ODFW personnel. "In-water Work"
	☐ The permittee shall not stock fish in the reservoir without a fish transport permit approved by ODFW. <b>"Fish Stocking"</b>
	☐ Other impacts to fish: <u>type here</u>
	□ NO
B)	Other comments concerning ecological functions important to fish: type here
Se	ection 4: ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/or Endangered Fish Species (under
0	WRD's Division 33 Statewide Rules)
	NOT APPLICABLE; threatened and/or endangered fish will not be impacted by the proposed use. <b>Skip to Section 5.</b>
	Overarching Question 1:  Will the proposed use result in a loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?  Note: For impacts to pop-essential habitat for threatened and/or endangered species under Habitat Categories 3-6

Will the proposed use result in a loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?

Note: For impacts to non-essential habitat for threatened and/or endangered species under Habitat Categories 3-6

skip to Section 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Artificial obstruction" means any dam, diversion, dike, berm, levee, tide or flood gate, road, culvert or other human-made device placed in the waters of this state that precludes or prevents the migration of native migratory fish.

YES; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has found impairment of biologically necessary flows or the assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.2, Question A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.3), or impacts to ecological functions (Section 3.4) essential to threatened and/or endangered fish species during the period of impact.

NO; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW finds the use will either not impair biologically necessary flows (Section 3.2, Question A) and ecological functions essential to threatened and/or endangered fish species (Section 3.4) or the proposed reduction in flow is expected to be inconsequential or de Minimis (Section 3.1, Question A; Section 3.2, Question A).

# **Overarching Question 2:**

Can the use be conditioned to result in no loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?

$\times$	YES; ODFW recommends the conditions recommended in Section 3 to compensate for any potential impact from
	the proposed use.
	$\square$ ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that
	fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat
	Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended from Sections 3, to
	compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.
	☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s):
	NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a threatened and/or endangered
	fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-
	specific basis (i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the
	proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed use
	would harm the species.

Comments: type here

# Section 5: ODFW Findings Regarding Sensitive Fish Species (under OWRD's Division 33 Statewide Rules)

☐ Sensitive species will not be impaired by the proposed use. **Skip to Section 6.** 

# **Overarching Question 1:**

Will the proposed use result in a net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive fish species?

Note: For impacts to non-essential habitat for sensitive species under Habitat Categories 3-6, skip to Section 6.

$\times$	YES; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has found impairment of biologically necessary flows or the assumption
	of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.2, Question A), the need for
	fish passage or screening (Section 3.3), or impacts to ecological functions (Section 3.4) essential to sensitive fish
	species during the period of impact.

NO; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW finds the use will either <b>not impair</b> biologically necessary flows (Section
3.2, Question A) and ecological functions essential to sensitive fish species (Section 3.4) or the proposed reduction in
flow is expected to be inconsequential or de Minimis (Section 3.1, Question A; Section 3.2, Question A).

Limited License #: LL-2016

Applicant's Name: TBI LLC

# **Overarching Question 2:**

Can the use be conditioned to result in no net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive fish species?

$\boxtimes$	YES; ODFW recommends the conditions and mitigation recommended in Sections 3, 4, and 7 to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.
	<ul> <li>□ ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended from Sections 3 and 4, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.</li> <li>□ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here</li> </ul>
	NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a sensitive fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-specific basis (i.e., <b>Category 1 Habitat</b> ). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed use would harm the species.
Со	mments: <u>type here</u>
No	ection 6: ODFW's Public Interest Findings (under OWRD's Division 310) te: Comment on fish or wildlife species not already discussed in Sections 4 or 5 and impacts to non-essential habitat of E fish.
	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?
	YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8): type here
$\boxtimes$	NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, will be inconsequential from the proposed use or has not been assessed at this time. <b>Skip to Section 7.</b>
	Overarching Question 2: Can the proposed use be conditioned to overcome the impairment or detriment to the public interest?
	YES;  The same conditions and mitigation as outlined in Sections 3, 4, and 7 apply.
	☐ ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here
	NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a fish or wildlife species,
	population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-specific basis
	(i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or

Comments: type here

Limited License #: LL-2016

Applicant's Name: TBI LLC

# Section 7: ODFW's Recommended Mitigation Obligation

ODFW Representative's	Signature:	J~P~	<u> </u>	Date: 9/12/2025
Name: <u>Jason Brandt</u>	Phone: <u>541-315-6</u>	/ <u>5222</u> Ema	ail: Jason.r.brandt@o	odfw.oregon.gov
Mitigation Obligation				
those essential to suppo impact habitat, so the po are naturally adapted. T further reduction in flow wildlife, and/or their hal	ort the biological needs roposed use may dimin These changes will neg w or alteration of habit bitat without appropri	s of fish, wildlife, on nish physical habita gatively affect their tat from the propos ate mitigation. OD	r habitats and/or the at and alter the flow distribution, produc sed water use would FW recommends the	to be entirely or partially below e proposed use will otherwise regime to which fish and wildlife ctivity, and abundance. Therefore, a limpair or be detrimental to fish, e applicant contact the caseworker gation Obligation, if questions arise.
recommends the mitigation and/or outside this period listed fish species, further information as required under often complicated replacing the property of th	proposed use be mitigated conditions, a further of will impair or be detay, or wildlife. If the appoint concerning appropriate to support biological states are apposed amount of water illable to support biological states are apposed Final Order, a further reduction in or be detrimental to see. If the applicant is interning appropriate contact and apposed and expedict of the consuming, and expedict of the consuming, and expedict of the season of the consuming, and expedict of the consuming, and expedict or the detrimental to see.	reduction in flow of crimental to sensitive plicant is interested riate conditions and pensate for any potentially necessary flower estricted to coincide for any use out in flow or alteration sensitive, threatened the rested in pursuir inditions and a Mitigate for any potentials and may income the properties.	nce of a Proposed For alteration of habitative, threatened, and/of in pursuing mitigation of a Mitigation of a Mitigation of the proposed from the proposed	for downstream during type here.  d or the proposed use be mitigated Without appropriate mitigation e proposed water use outside this ed fish species, non-listed fish e contact ODFW for further ensistent with OAR 635-415, as proposed use. Mitigation is often ed to, actions such as replacing the
C) There is insufficient determine if the purposed use be evidence to ODFV reach. Without a the proposed wat endangered fish supplease contact OE	proposed use will impaintigated prior to issuant that the biologically propriate mitigation attenues outside this perspecies, non-listed fish DFW for further informations.	ream flow availabilar biologically necesance of a Proposed necessary flows ar and/or conditions, riod may impair or a species, or wildlife nation concerning a	ity (e.g., no Water A essary flows for fish. d Final Order unless to e available and can be a further reduction be detrimental to see. If the applicant is appropriate condition	vailability Basin or gage) to  Therefore, ODFW recommends the the applicant provides sufficient be maintained within the impacted in flow or alteration of habitat from ensitive, threatened, and/or interested in pursuing mitigation, ns and a Mitigation Obligation for any potential impact from the

Limited License #: LL-2016 Applicant's Name: TBI LLC

	proposed use. Mitigation is often complicated, time consuming, and expensive, and may include, but is not
	limited to, actions such as replacing the proposed amount of water through purchasing or transferring an existing
	water right.
D) 🗆	Mitigation is not an option. ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed
	use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided.
E) 🗆	Based on ODFW's knowledge of applicable Subbasin Plans, Recovery Plans, Regional Restoration Plans, or other
	documents, the proposed use appears inconsistent with the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's
	Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program <sup>2</sup> , impairs essential habitat, or is otherwise detrimental to the
	protection and/or recovery of sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, or
	wildlife. Therefore, ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation
	Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation (consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025;
	ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) as outlined in this section(s), as well as other conditions
	recommended in Sections 3-6. ODFW recommends the Proposal include an assessment of options using the
	following actions listed in order of priority:
	(1) avoiding the impact altogether,
	(2) minimizing the impact by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action,
	(3) rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment,
	(4) reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of
	the development action and by monitoring and taking appropriate corrective measures, and
	(5) compensating for the impact by replacing or providing comparable substitute resources or environments.
	Because the mitigation is site- and species-specific, ODFW recommends written approval of the Proposal by

# 7.1 Identification of Habitat Category

# Habitat Category<sup>3</sup> for the Primary Species of Concern During the Period of Impact:

ODFW prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order (see Section 9).

Month	Primary Species of Concern Habitat Catego	
January	type here	type here
February	type here	type here
March	type here	type here
April	type here	type here
May	type here	type here
June	type here	type here

Month	Primary Species of Concern	Habitat Category
July	type here	type here
August	type here	type here
September	type here	type here
October	type here	type here
November	type here	type here
December	type here	type here

# 7.2 Flow Mitigation

If the applicant chooses to pursue water use during type here, when biologically necessary flows are not met or
water is not available, ODFW recommends the applicant provide water-for-water mitigation that is legally protected
and maintained as an instream water right for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate, as outlined below.
$\Box$ In lieu of mitigation, the applicant may provide evidence that the biologically necessary flows are available and
can be maintained within the impacted reach.
ODFW recommends WRD's "Normal Mitigation," including any site-specific options addressed below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Water Resources Department's document number 94-2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> see ODFW Habitat Mitigation Policy, OAR 635-415-0025

Limited License #: LL-2016 Applicant's Name: TBI LLC A) Water Quantity: type here (equals amount requested) □ plus a net benefit (for Habitat Category 2) B) Months: type here C) Location of Mitigation (based on the Habitat Category): ☐ **at or above** the point of impact at or above the point of impact is preferred, but may occur within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s) ☐ within a high priority reach<sup>4</sup> within the watershed/home range of the impacted species or population ☐ within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s) ☐ benefitting the impacted population(s) and/or higher priority species: list species here D) Additional comments: type here 7.3 Habitat Restoration Mitigation Does the Mitigation Goal also allow a habitat restoration project as a mitigation option (i.e., impacts to Habitat Categories 3 - 6? ☐ YES; In lieu of providing "water-for water", ODFW's Habitat Mitigation Policy allows the applicant the option of providing mitigation through a habitat restoration project that recreates similar habitat structure and function to that existing prior to the development action. If the applicant is interested in pursuing this option, please contact ODFW for further information. □ NO; Skip to Part 4, if applicable. 7.4 Other Ecological Functions Mitigation ☐ Not applicable  $\Box$  ODFW recommends the applicant provide the following mitigation, including, but not limited to, mitigation for "Other Impacts to Ecological Functions" or impacts to wildlife. Note: Copy and paste the template below for each habitat type in need of replacement. A) Habitat Structure and Function in Need of Replacement: type here B) Describe the habitat quantity and quality to be replaced: type here C) Months: ☐ In Perpetuity ☐ Other: type here D) Location of Mitigation:

at or above the point of impact is preferred, but may occur within the watershed/home range of the impacted

☐ **at or above** the point of impact

population(s)

Page 10 | Version 1.1, updated April 26, 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> see ODFW's Aquatic Habitat Priority maps

Limited License #: LL-2016 Applicant's Name: TBI LLC

### Limited License #: LL-2016 Applicant's Name: TBI LLC

# Section 8: ODFW's Recommended Condition Language

## **List A Conditions**

(to be addressed by applicant prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order)

## Bypass Plan (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)

Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Bypass Plan which describes the method the permittee shall bypass the recommended flows, as outlined in Section 3.1, C and how the permittee will quantify and document inflow and outflow.

## Mitigation Plan

Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations), as outlined in Section 7, to compensate for any potential impacts to fish, wildlife, or habitats from the proposed use.

If development of the point of diversion includes disturbance of the riparian area, the applicant shall be responsible for restoration and enhancement of such riparian area in accordance with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's (ODFW) Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy described in OAR 635-415. Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Riparian Plan approved in writing by ODFW, unless ODFW provides documentation that a Riparian Plan is not necessary. The applicant is hereby directed to contact ODFW.

# Wetland

Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order, the applicant must submit an offsite determination request to the Oregon Department of State Lands (DSL) to determine the need for a wetland delineation. The offsite determination will identify waters of this state that are subject to regulation and authorization requirements of the Removal-Fill Law (ORS 196.800 to 196.990) that may be needed prior to disturbance or development of the point of diversion.

### List B Conditions

# (included in permit and "maintenance" language carried through to certificate)

# Bypass Flows (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)

Per 690-410-0070 (2)(c), the following flows shall be bypassed or passed through the reservoir during the filling season:

- When the biologically necessary flows identified below are not available immediately upstream of the impacted area, the permittee shall pass all live flow downstream at a rate equal to the inflow, minus the amount of mitigation water provided upstream by the permittee, if applicable, and
- When the biologically necessary flows identified below are available immediately upstream of the impacted area, the permittee shall pass flow downstream at a rate equal to or greater than the biologically necessary flows.

Once the reservoir has reached the permitted volume, all live flow shall be passed downstream at a rate equal to the inflow.

The permittee shall quantify and document inflow and outflow and maintain the bypass flows for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Bypass Plan. The bypass flow data shall be available upon request by the Oregon Water Resources Department, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, or Oregon Department of Agriculture.

# {copy table from Section 3.1, Question C}

# Fish Stocking

Per ORS 498.222 and OAR 635-007-0600, all persons transporting fish in Oregon need to have a fish transport permit issued by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW). The permittee shall not stock fish in the reservoir without a fish transport permit approved by ODFW. As part of the permitting process, the permittee must also screen the inlet and outlet of their pond to insure that fish cannot escape into public waters and/or to keep wild fish from entering the pond.

## **Future Protection**

The permittee may be required in the future to install, maintain, and operate fish screening per ORS 498.306 to prevent harm to fish from the proposed diversion. The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) may require the water user to install an approved fish screen at the new point of diversion within one year after receiving written notification from ODFW that a fish screen is required. Once installed, the water user shall operate and maintain the fish screen consistent with ODFW's operation and maintenance standards.

Limited License #: LL-2016 Applicant's Name: TBI LLC

### In-Water Work

Any in-water work related to construction, development, or maintenance of the proposed use shall be conducted during the preferred work period of {June 15-September 15} unless an alternate time period is approved by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

### **Maintain Flow**

The biologically necessary flows shown in the following table shall be maintained real time within and downstream of the point of impact or the use may be regulated until the flows are available.

{copy table from Section 3.1, Question B}

### Maintain Passage

The permittee shall maintain adequate passage of native migratory fish at all times (ORS 509.610) and shall not construct, operate, or maintain any dam or artificial obstruction to fish passage across any waters of the state that are inhabited, or were historically inhabited, by native migratory fish (ORS 509.585).

### **Measurement Device**

The permittee shall install, maintain, and operate a water use control and/or measuring device, as identified by OWRD. The device shall be installed, functional, and approved by the local Watermaster, **prior to diversion of water**.

### Mitigation

The permittee shall comply with terms of the associated Mitigation Plan to compensate for detrimental impacts to fish, wildlife, and/or their habitat. The Mitigation Plan is fully incorporated into the requirements of this permit and may only be altered by written mutual agreement of all parties. The mitigation shall be legally protected and maintained for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate.

### **Passage**

The permittee shall not construct, operate, or maintain any dam or artificial obstruction to fish passage across any waters of the state that are inhabited, or were historically inhabited, by native migratory fish (ORS 509.585) without obtaining approval for the artificial obstruction from the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW).

The permittee shall submit a proposal for fish passage to ODFW or apply for a fish passage waiver or exemption. Approval of the proposed fish passage facility, waiver, or exemption shall be obtained <u>prior to construction</u> of any in-channel obstruction or <u>prior to diversion of water</u> that may create an artificial obstruction due to low flow. The permittee shall submit proof to ODFW that fish passage has been implemented per the plan, waiver, or exemption <u>prior to diversion of water</u>.

The permittee shall maintain adequate passage of native migratory fish at all times (ORS 509.610) as per the approved plan, waiver, or exemption. The permittee is hereby directed to schedule a consultation with an ODFW Fish Passage Coordinator.

# Riparian

The permittee shall restore or enhance the riparian area per the approved Riparian Plan **prior to diversion of water** and maintain the riparian area for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Riparian Plan.

### Screen

The permittee shall install, maintain, and operate fish screening consistent with current Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) standards or submit documentation that ODFW has determined fish screening is not necessary or is exempted. Fish screening is to prevent fish from entering the proposed diversion. The required screen is to be in place, functional, and approved in writing by ODFW <u>prior to diversion of water</u>. The water user shall operate and maintain the fish screen consistent with ODFW's operation and maintenance standards. The permittee is hereby directed to schedule a consultation with an ODFW Fish Screening Coordinator.

Limited License #: LL-2016

Applicant's Name: TBI LLC

# Section 9: ODFW's Review of the Mitigation Proposal

Because the mitigation is site- and species-specific, ODFW recommends written approval of the Proposal by ODFW prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order. ODFW finds the following:

$\square$ ODFW <b>supports</b> the Mitigation Proposal with the following condition(s):
☐ "Mitigation"
☐ Site-specific condition(s): <u>type here</u>
Additional information:
☐ A Fish Passage Waiver or Exemption has been granted for the proposed POD that fulfills the fish passage requirements for this use.
☐ Comments: type here
□ ODFW <b>cannot support</b> the Mitigation Proposal because it is not consistent with the criteria
in OAR 635-415.
☐ The proposed mitigation is inconsistent with the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program <sup>5</sup> , impairs essential habitat, or is otherwise detrimental to the protection and/or recovery of sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, or wildlife.
☐ Habitat goals and standards not met: <u>list here and explain why not met</u>
ODFW Representative's Signature:Date: type here
Name: type here Phone: type here Email: type here

Page 14 | Version 1.1, updated April 26, 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Water Resources Department's document number 94-2