# Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's WATER RIGHT APPLICATION REVIEW SUMMARY SHEET



This sheet provides a summary of the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's recommendations related to a water right permit application filed with the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD). Please see the full content of the review that begins on page 2 for additional details and analysis.

Water is Not Available (Section 3.1)  Based on OWRD's Initial Review and/or Water Availability Reporting System, water is not available for the proposed use. Because water is already not available, a further reduction of flow as a result of the proposed use would impair biologically necessary flows and the public interest with regard to sensitive, threatened, or endangered fish species. Therefore, ODFW supports OWRD's preliminary determination that appropriation for the proposed use is not allowable. If OWRD's determination regarding water availability changes, ODFW will need to re-assess the proposed use.
Threatened and/or Endangered Species (Section 4)  □ NOT APPLICABLE; Threatened and/or endangered fish will not be impacted by the proposed use.  □ Upper Columbia Basin (above Bonneville Dam)
Is the proposed use consistent with the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program?  YES; see details in Section 4.1
<ul> <li>□ Lower Columbia Basin (below Bonneville Dam)</li> <li>Is the proposed use detrimental to the protection or recovery of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?</li> <li>□ YES; see details in Section 4.2</li> <li>□ NO</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ Statewide</li> <li>Will the proposed use result in a loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?</li> <li>✓ YES; see details in Section 4.3</li> <li>□ NO</li> </ul>
Sensitive Species (Section 5)  □ NOT APPLICABLE; Sensitive fish will not be impacted by the proposed use.  ☑ Will the proposed use result in a net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive species?  ☑ YES; see details in Section 5 □ NO
Public Interest (Section 6)  Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest (in addition to that identified under Division 33)?  □ YES; see details in Section 6 □ NO
Conditions (Section 3 and 6)  ODFW recommends the following conditions, along with any mitigation outlined in Section 7, to overcome impairment or detrimental impacts to sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, wildlife, or habitat (see REVIEW SHEET for additional information):  Section 3.2 Identification of Biologically Necessary Flows  Mitigation Plan" [A]
□ "Riparian Plan" [A] □ "Riparian" [A] ☑ "In-water Work" June 15-Sept 15 [A] ☑ "Fish Stocking" [A]
☐ Site-specific condition(s), including, but not limited to, any identified in Section 6: <u>type here</u>
☐ Comments: <u>type here</u>
Mitigation (Section 7)  Is ODFW recommending mitigation in addition to any conditions identified?  YES; see recommended Mitigation Obligation in Section 7
<ul><li> ☑ YES; OWRD's caseworker should contact ODFW if the applicant is interested in pursuing mitigation </li><li> ☐ NO </li></ul>

# Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's WATER RIGHT APPLICATION REVIEW SHEET



The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) provides the following recommendations to protect and enhance Oregon's fish and wildlife and their habitats for use and enjoyment by present and future generations. Mitigation recommendations are consistent with the goals and standards in ODFW's OAR 635-415 (Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy) and other applicable law. The information is requested by the Oregon Department of Water Resources (OWRD) for the purposes of consultation pursuant to OAR 690-33 (Additional Public Interest Standards for New Appropriations), OAR 690-310 (Water Rights Application Processing), OAR 690-400 (State Water Resources Policy), and OAR 690-410 (Statewide Water Resource Management). ODFW recommendations herein are to be utilized in coordination with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality's (ODEQ) recommendations regarding impacts to aquatic life due to impaired water quality.

# **Section 1: Proposed Use**

Basin:	Rogue
--------	-------

Stream: West Fork Illinois River Tributary to: Illinois River

TRSQQ: 40S 9W 25 (optional)

Proposed period of use (from application, if available): Irrigation, Frost Protection, Fire, and Recreation January-

**December** 

Requested amount (cfs or AF): 17.12 AF

OWRD's Initial Review Determination	า:
⊠ Allowable <u>December-February</u>	$\ \square$ <b>NOT</b> Allowable; Water is not available
□ <b>NOT</b> Allowable; type other reaso	n here

# **Section 2: Fish Species Present**

A) 🗌	No fish species will be impacted	y the proposed use base	d on parameters assessed by	ODFW. (Skip to Section 6)
------	----------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------

B)  $\boxtimes$  The following fish species of primary concern are present at the location of the proposed use or will be impacted by the proposed use:

		Listir	ng Status		Life Stage Present				
Species	Sensitive	Threatened	Endangered	Not Listed*	Spawning	Rearing	Migration		
Summer Steelhead	$\boxtimes$					$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
Pacific Lamprey	$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
Winter Steelhead				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
Spring Chinook Salmon	$\boxtimes$					$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
Coastal Cutthroat Trout				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
Coho Salmon		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
<u>Fall Chinook Salmon</u>				$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
type here									
type here									

<sup>\*</sup>Impacts to species not listed as sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered are addressed in Section 6.

# **Section 3: Potential Impacts to Fish Species**

Note: Impacts identified below are determined by professional judgment and/or best available science. Recommended mitigation for identified impacts is outlined in Section 7. See Section 8 for recommended "condition" language.

3.1 Water is Not Availabl	<u>e</u>							
proposed use. Because water is already not available, a further reduction of flow as a result of the proposed us would impair biologically necessary flows and the public interest with regard to sensitive, threatened, or endangered fish species. In addition, the proposed use:  is inconsistent with the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program.  is detrimental to the protection or recovery of a threatened and/or endangered fish species.  will result in a loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species.  will result in a net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive species.  Therefore, ODFW supports OWRD's preliminary determination that appropriation for the proposed use is not allowable. If OWRD's determination regarding water availability changes, ODFW will need to re-assess the proposed use.								
B) Comments concerning	water availability: type here							
(Sign and STOP here if 3.	1.A is checked)							
ODFW Representative's	Signature:	Date: type here						
Name: type here	Phone: type here	Email: type here						
3.2 Identification of Biolo								
A) UDFW has not ide	ntified biologically necessary flows within	the impacted reach.						
However, based expected to be recommends the	I on best professional judgment, impacts inconsequential. Therefore, no mitigatio e system installed to divert water include	to fish from the proposed reduction in flow are in for a reduction in flow is recommended. ODFW monitoring equipment, the type determined by ging and ensures the permitted amount is not						
	to Section 3.4)	ing and ensures the permitted amount is not						
	n," "Mitigation," and "Measurement De	vice"						
However, based	l on OWRD's Water Availability Reporting	System, water is not available entirely or partially fo						
the proposed u	se. A further reduction of flow during <u>typ</u>	<u>e here</u> would be harmful to fish.						
		type here if the applicant can show beneficial use						
· ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	oposed use be mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a</u>						
	nal Order for any use outside of this perion mends the proposed use he mitigated by	rior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order. (Skip to						
Section 3.4)	interior the proposed use be intigated <u>pr</u>	TOT TO ISSUANCE OF A FTOPOSCA FINAN OTACE. (SKIP to						
B) ⊠ ODFW recommen	ds the following biologically necessary flo	ows to support the biological needs of fish species:						
		ons at the point of impact and/or downstream						
		of water necessary for fish uses per ORS 390.835.						

# ☑ Other biologically necessary flows: W FK ILLINOIS R > ILLINOIS R - AB ROUGH AND READY CR

JAN	type here cfs	APR	type here cfs	JUL	29.1 cfs	OCT	29.6 cfs
FEB	type here cfs	MAY	120 cfs	AUG	19.1 cfs	NOV	type here cfs
MAR	type here cfs	JUN	70 cfs	SEP	14.9 cfs	DEC	type here cfs

Source: ☐ ODFW Regional Flow Target Assessment

 <u>Basin, Oregon, and their water requirements, November 1970. Oregon State Game Commission, Portland OR. 26 p.</u>

□ type other source here

# ☑ Other biologically necessary flows: W FK ILLINOIS R > ILLINOIS R - AT MOUTH

JAN	type here cfs	APR	type here cfs	JUL	67 cfs	OCT	type here cfs
FEB	type here cfs	MAY	170 cfs	AUG	50.5 cfs	NOV	type here cfs
MAR	type here cfs	JUN	100 cfs	SEP	type here cfs	DEC	type here cfs

Source: ☐ ODFW Regional Flow Target Assessment

 <u>Basin, Oregon, and their water requirements, November 1970. Oregon State Game Commission, Portland OR. 26 p.</u>

#### ☑ Other biologically necessary flows: ILLINOIS R > ROGUE R - AB JOSEPHINE CR

JAN	type here cfs	APR	type here cfs	JUL	type here cfs	OCT	220 cfs
FEB	type here cfs	MAY	type here cfs	AUG	87 cfs	NOV	type here cfs
MAR	type here cfs	JUN	type here cfs	SEP	123.3 cfs	DEC	type here cfs

Source: ☐ ODFW Regional Flow Target Assessment

 <u>Basin, Oregon, and their water requirements, November 1970. Oregon State Game Commission, Portland OR. 26 p.</u>

#### ☑ Other biologically necessary flows: ILLINOIS R > ROGUE R - AT MOUTH

JAN	type here cfs	APR	type here cfs	JUL	type here cfs	ОСТ	850 cfs
FEB	type here cfs	MAY	type here cfs	AUG	326 cfs	NOV	type here cfs
MAR	type here cfs	JUN	500 cfs	SEP	406.8 cfs	DEC	type here cfs

Source: ODFW Regional Flow Target Assessment

 <u>Based on Lauman J. 1972. Supplement to the Fish and wildlife resources of the Rogue River Basin, Oregon, and their water requirements, November 1970. Oregon State Game Commission, Portland OR. 26 p.</u>

<b>C</b> ) □	"Bypass Plan" and "Bypass Flow" (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)
	Per 690-410-0070 (2)(c), ODFW recommends the following biologically necessary flows, minus any amount that
	the applicant may provide as mitigation, be bypassed (passed through) the reservoir during the filling season.

JAN	type here cfs	APR	type here cfs	JUL	type here cfs	OCT	type here cfs
FEB	type here cfs	MAY	type here cfs	AUG	type here cfs	NOV	type here cfs
MAR	type here cfs	JUN	type here cfs	SEP	type here cfs	DEC	type here cfs

D) Comments concerning biologically necessary flows: type here

3.	3	Bio	log	ical	Flow	Avail	ability

the recommended flows remain satisfied real time within and downstream of the point of impact. ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by ON which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.  NO; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  The proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the period of impact.  Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during Februar ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issu of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.  Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.  UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unl the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and camintained within the impacted reach.  NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"  ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, wh			
3.2, Question B) available within the impacted reach during the period of impact?  □ YES; "Maintain Flow" and "Measurement Device"  A further reduction in flow from the proposed use will not impair biologically necessary flows for fish as long the recommended flows remain satisfied real time within and downstream of the point of impact. ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OV which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.  ☑ NO; "fultigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  The proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the period of impact.  ☑ Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during Februar ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issue of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.  □ Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.  □ UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unit the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and camaintained within the impacted reach.  □ NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"  □ ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent,	3.3	3 Bio	ological Flow Availability
<ul> <li>YES; "Maintain Flow" and "Measurement Device"         A further reduction in flow from the proposed use will not impair biologically necessary flows for fish as long the recommended flows remain satisfied real time within and downstream of the point of impact. ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OV which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.             NO; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"             The proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the period of impact.             Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during Februar ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issue of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.             Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.             UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"             There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unline the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and canaintained within the impacted reach.             NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"</li></ul>	A)	Bas	sed on parameters assessed by ODFW, are the recommended biologically necessary flows (identified in Section
A further reduction in flow from the proposed use will not impair biologically necessary flows for fish as long the recommended flows remain satisfied real time within and downstream of the point of impact. ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OV which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.  No; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  The proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the period of impact.  Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during rebruar ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 699-300-0010[57](b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issue of a Proposed Island Order for any use outside of this period.  Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.  UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unit the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and camaintained within the impacted reach.  NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"  ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW reco		3.2	, Question B) available within the impacted reach during the period of impact?
the recommended flows remain satisfied real time within and downstream of the point of impact. ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by ON which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.  NO; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  The proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the period of impact.  Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during Februar ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issu of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.  Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.  UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unl the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and camintained within the impacted reach.  NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"  ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, wh			YES; "Maintain Flow" and "Measurement Device"
The proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the period of impact.  Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during Februar ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issue of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.  Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.  UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unit the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and can maintained within the impacted reach.  NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"  ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.  The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW h			recommends the system installed to divert water include monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD,
impact.  ☑ Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during Februar ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issue of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.  ☐ Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.  ☐ UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unit the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and can maintained within the impacted reach.  ☐ NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"  ☐ ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.  ☐ The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the proposed use is inconsequential at this time. Until such time as ODFW dete		$\boxtimes$	NO; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"
<ul> <li>✓ Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during Februar ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issu of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.</li> <li>✓ Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.</li> <li>✓ UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"</li> <li>There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unit the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and camaintained within the impacted reach.</li> <li>✓ NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"</li> <li>✓ ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.</li> <li>✓ The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the p</li></ul>			The proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish entirely or partially during the period of
ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.  Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.  UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unit the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and can maintained within the impacted reach.  NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"  ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.  The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW determines suitable mitigation for Human Consumption uses (e.g., a payment to provide program), other means to adequately protect instream valor if applicable, the combined cumulative total of one percent of the average da			impact.
<ul> <li>□ Water is not available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach year-round. OD recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.</li> <li>□ UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"</li> <li>□ There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unit the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and camaintained within the impacted reach.</li> <li>□ NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"</li> <li>□ ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.</li> <li>□ The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the proposed use is inconsequential at this time. Until such time as ODFW determines suitable mitigation for Human Consumption uses (e.g., a payment to provide program), other means to adequately protect instream value or if applicable, the combined cumulative total of one percent of the average daily flow or one cubic fool.</li> </ul>			Water is only available to support biologically necessary flows within the impacted reach during <u>February</u> . ODFW recommends the season of use be restricted to coincide with this period if the applicant can show beneficial use during this time (OAR 690-300-0010(57)(b)) or the proposed use be mitigated <u>prior to issuance</u> of a Proposed Final Order for any use outside of this period.
recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order.  UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"  There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unl the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and ca maintained within the impacted reach.  NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"  ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed.  Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.  The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the proposed use is inconsequential at this time. Until such time as ODFW determines suitable mitigation for Human Consumption uses (e.g., a payment to provide program), other means to adequately protect instream valor or if applicable, the combined cumulative total of one percent of the average daily flow or one cubic foo			
<ul> <li>□ UNKNOWN; "Mitigation Plan," "Mitigation," and "Measurement Device"         There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unit the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and camaintained within the impacted reach.         □ NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"         □ ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.         □ The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the proposed use is inconsequential at this time. Until such time as ODFW determines suitable mitigation for Human Consumption uses (e.g., a payment to provide program), other means to adequately protect instream value or if applicable, the combined cumulative total of one percent of the average daily flow or one cubic fool     </li> </ul>			
There is insufficient information on instream flow availability (e.g., no applicable Water Availability Basin) to determine if the proposed use will impair biologically necessary flows for fish. Therefore, ODFW assumes impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order unl the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and camaintained within the impacted reach.  NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"  ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.  The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the proposed use is inconsequential at this time. Until such time as ODFW determines suitable mitigation for Human Consumption uses (e.g., a payment to provide program), other means to adequately protect instream valor if applicable, the combined cumulative total of one percent of the average daily flow or one cubic foo			
<ul> <li>□ ODFW has determined that impacts to fish habitat from the proposed reduction in flow are expected to inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed. Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.</li> <li>□ The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the proposed use is inconsequential at this time. Until such time as ODFW determines suitable mitigation for Human Consumption uses (e.g., a payment to provide program), other means to adequately protect instream valor if applicable, the combined cumulative total of one percent of the average daily flow or one cubic foo</li> </ul>			impairment and recommends the proposed use be mitigated <u>prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order</u> unless the applicant provides sufficient evidence to ODFW that the biologically necessary flows are available and can be
<ul> <li>inconsequential or de Minimis in either nature, extent, or duration based on parameters assessed.         Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded.         The cumulative impacts of new uses when biologically necessary flows are already impaired is harmful to and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the proposed use is inconsequential at this time. Until such time as ODFW determines suitable mitigation for Human Consumption uses (e.g., a payment to provide program), other means to adequately protect instream valor if applicable, the combined cumulative total of one percent of the average daily flow or one cubic foo     </li> </ul>			NOT APPLICABLE; "Measurement Device"
and wildlife. However, because individual impacts of Human Consumption at a rate of 0.005 cfs and furt limited to 500 gal/d are generally not measurable, ODFW has determined that the proposed use is <b>inconsequential</b> at this time. Until such time as ODFW determines suitable mitigation for Human Consumption uses (e.g., a payment to provide program), other means to adequately protect instream valor if applicable, the combined cumulative total of one percent of the average daily flow or one cubic foo			Therefore, ODFW does not recommend mitigation for a reduction in flow at this time. However, ODFW recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted
·			

recommends the system installed to divert water include measurement and monitoring equipment, the type determined by OWRD, which allows water use measurement and reporting and ensures the permitted amount is not exceeded. ☐ Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has determined that impacts from the proposed reduction in flow will not impair or be detrimental to sensitive, threatened, or endangered fish if the use (e.g., fill period) is limited to type months here. The proposed restoration activity is solely intended to restore or enhance natural processes, will provide a net ecological benefit and long-term habitat benefits, and ODFW has identified the applicant as an individual, agency or restoration entity having experience or skills in durable habitat restoration. Therefore, ODFW concurs with limiting the use (e.g., fill period) per OWRD's Initial Review. Additional conditions may also apply (see other sections of this review). If OWRD's determination regarding water availability changes, ODFW will need to re-assess the proposed use. B) Comments concerning availability of biologically necessary flows: type here 3.4 Fish Passage and Screening A) Would the proposed use potentially create or maintain an artificial obstruction to fish passage for native migratory fish currently or historically present at the point of diversion per ORS 509.585?  $\square$  NO □ NO; "Maintain Passage" Based on available information, the proposed use does not appear to involve instream structures that would create or maintain an artificial obstruction. However, if the applicant creates or maintains an artificial obstruction to fish passage for the proposed use, the applicant will need to address Oregon's fish passage laws prior to diversion of water. B) Would fish species benefit from fish screening per ORS 498.306?  $\square$  NO ☐ "Future Protection" Fish screening will not currently benefit fish species but may be beneficial in the future if conditions within the watershed change. Please describe current conditions within the watershed: type here C) Comments concerning fish passage or screening: type here 3.5 Other Ecological Functions A) Are there other impacts to ecological functions important to fish during the period of impact? YES; A "condition" will be identified below or mitigation will be recommended in Section 7.4. Development of the proposed project may disturb the riparian area that provides habitat to fish. "Riparian" and "Riparian Plan" ☑ To have the least impact on fish and habitat resources, ODFW recommends any in-water work related to construction, development, or maintenance of the proposed use be conducted during the preferred work

period of June 15-Sept 15 "In-water Work"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Artificial obstruction" means any dam, diversion, dike, berm, levee, tide or flood gate, road, culvert or other human-made device placed in the waters of this state that precludes or prevents the migration of native migratory fish.

Application #: R-89939 Applicant's Name: Reggie Boltz Review Date: 11/12/2025 The permittee shall not stock fish in the reservoir without a fish transport permit approved by ODFW. "Fish Stocking" ☐ Other impacts to fish: type here  $\square$  NO B) Other comments concerning ecological functions important to fish: type here Section 4: ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/or Endangered Fish Species □ NOT APPLICABLE; threatened and/or endangered fish will not be impacted by the proposed use. Skip to Section 5. 4.1 ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/or Endangered Fish Species Under OWRD's Division 33 Upper Columbia Rules (above Bonneville Dam) ☑ The Upper Columbia rules do not apply for threatened and/or endangered fish species. **Overarching Question 1:** Is the proposed use consistent with the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program? ☐ YES; ODFW finds the use will either **not impair** biologically necessary flows (Section 3.3, Question A) and ecological functions important to protection or recovery of threatened and/or endangered fish (Section 3.4) or the proposed reduction in flow is expected to be inconsequential or de Minimis based on parameters assessed (Section 3.2, Question A; Section 3.3, Question A). ☐ NO; Based on ODFW's knowledge, the proposed use is **inconsistent** with the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program<sup>2</sup> due to impairment of biologically necessary flows or the assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.3, Question A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.4), or to impacts to ecological functions important to threatened and/or endangered fish (Section 3.5). **Overarching Question 2:** Can the use be conditioned or mitigated to achieve consistency with the Fish and Wildlife Program? YES; ODFW recommends the conditions recommended in Section 3 to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.  $\square$  ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended below and in Section 3, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.

□ NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a threatened and/or endangered fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-

☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program (WRD's document number 94-2) states: "In determining whether a proposed diversion or transfer would be consistent with salmon and steelhead needs, consult with fish and wildlife agencies and Indian tribes to determine whether the proposed use would cause any reduction in the quantity or productivity of salmon and steelhead habitat" (7.8G.1). In addition, the document includes a recommendation to "halt further issuance of consumptive water rights unless a finding can be made, in consultation with fish agencies and tribes, that existing instream flows meet anadromous fish needs for all life-stages" (Recommendation No.: 7-2).

specific basis (i.e., **Category 1 Habitat**). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided.

Comments: type here

# 4.2 ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/or Endangered Fish Species Under OWRD's Division 33 Lower Columbia Rules (below Bonneville Dam)

☐ The Lower Columbia rules do not apply for threatened and/or endangered fish species.

#### Overarching Question 1:

Is the proposed use detrimental to the protection or recovery of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?

- □ YES; Based on knowledge of recovery plans, the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program², and regional restoration programs, ODFW found the use to be detrimental to the protection or recovery of a threatened and/or endangered species due to impairment of biologically necessary flows or the assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.3, Question A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.4), or to impacts to ecological functions important to threatened and/or endangered fish (Section 3.5).
   □ NO; ODFW finds the use will either **not impair** biologically necessary flows (Section 3.3, Question A) and ecological
  - NO; ODFW finds the use will either **not impair** biologically necessary flows (Section 3.3, Question A) and ecological functions important to protection or recovery of threatened and/or endangered fish (Section 3.5) or the proposed reduction in flow is expected to be **inconsequential or de Minimis** based on parameters assessed (Section 3.2, Question A; Section 3.3, Question A).

#### **Overarching Question 2:**

Can the use be conditioned or mitigated to avoid the detriment to a threatened and/or endangered fish species?

- ☐ YES; ODFW recommends the conditions recommended in Section 3 to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.
  - □ ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended below and in Section 3, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.
  - ☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here
- □ NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a threatened and/or endangered fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-specific basis (i.e., **Category 1 Habitat**). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided.

Comments: type here

# 4.3 ODFW Findings Regarding Threatened and/or Endangered Fish Species Under OWRD's Division 33 Statewide Rules

☐ The Statewide rules do not apply for threatened and/or endangered fish species.

#### **Overarching Question 1:**

Will the proposed use result in a loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species?

Note: For impacts to non-essential habitat for threatened and/or endangered species under Habitat Categories 3-6,

skip to Section 6.

Application #: R-89939 Applicant's Name: Reggie Boltz Review Date: 11/12/2025 YES; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has found impairment of biologically necessary flows or the assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.3, Question A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.4), or impacts to ecological functions (Section 3.5) essential to threatened and/or endangered fish species during the period of impact. □ NO; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW finds the use will either **not impair** biologically necessary flows (Section 3.3, Question A) and ecological functions essential to threatened and/or endangered fish species (Section 3.5) or the proposed reduction in flow is expected to be inconsequential or de Minimis (Section 3.1, Question A; Section 3.3, Question A). **Overarching Question 2:** Can the use be conditioned to result in no loss of essential habitat of a threatened and/or endangered fish species? YES; ODFW recommends the conditions recommended in Section 3 to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended from Sections 3, to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use. ☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a threatened and/or endangered fish species, population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or sitespecific basis (i.e., Category 1 Habitat). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed use would harm the species. Comments: type here Section 5: ODFW Findings Regarding Sensitive Fish Species (under OWRD's Division 33 Statewide Rules) Sensitive species will not be impaired by the proposed use. Skip to Section 6. **Overarching Question 1:** Will the proposed use result in a net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive fish species? Note: For impacts to non-essential habitat for sensitive species under Habitat Categories 3-6, skip to Section 6. YES; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW has found impairment of biologically necessary flows or the assumption of impairment due to insufficient information on instream flow availability (Section 3.3, Question A), the need for fish passage or screening (Section 3.4), or impacts to ecological functions (Section 3.5) essential to sensitive fish species during the period of impact. ☐ NO; Based on parameters assessed, ODFW finds the use will either **not impair** biologically necessary flows (Section

3.3, Question A) and ecological functions essential to sensitive fish species (Section 3.5) or the proposed reduction in

flow is expected to be inconsequential or de Minimis (Section 3.2, Question A; Section 3.3, Question A).

### **Overarching Question 2:**

# Can the use be conditioned to result in no net loss of essential habitat of a sensitive fish species?

	YES; ODFW recommends the conditions and mitigation recommended in Sections 3, 4, and 7 to compensate for any					
	potential impact from the proposed use.					
	☑ ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that					
	fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat					
	Mitigation Recommendations) outlined in Section 7, and other conditions recommended from Sections 3 and 4,					
	to compensate for any potential impact from the proposed use.					
	☐ In addition, ODFW recommends the following site-specific condition(s): type here					
	NO; ODFW found the proposed use will impact irreplaceable, essential habitat for a sensitive fish species,					
	population, or a unique assemblage of species that is limited on either a physiographic province or site-specific basis					
	(i.e., <b>Category 1 Habitat</b> ). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or					
	no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed use would harm the					
	species.					
Со	mments: <u>type here</u>					
_						
Se	Section 6: ODFW's Public Interest Findings (under OWRD's Division 310)					
	te: Comment on fish or wildlife species not already discussed in Sections 4 or 5 and impacts to non-essential habitat of					
	te: Comment on fish or wildlife species not already discussed in Sections 4 or 5 and impacts to non-essential habitat of fish.					
	fish.					
ST	Overarching Question 1:					
ST	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?					
ST	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to					
ST	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8):					
ST	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8): identify beneficial use(s) and how they are impaired or detrimentally affected					
ST	Overarching Question 1:  Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8):  identify beneficial use(s) and how they are impaired or detrimentally affected  NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, will be inconsequential from the proposed use or has not been assessed at this time. Skip to Section 7.					
ST	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8): identify beneficial use(s) and how they are impaired or detrimentally affected  NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, will be					
ST	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8): identify beneficial use(s) and how they are impaired or detrimentally affected  NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, will be inconsequential from the proposed use or has not been assessed at this time. Skip to Section 7.  Overarching Question 2:					
ST	Overarching Question 1: Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8): identify beneficial use(s) and how they are impaired or detrimentally affected  NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, will be inconsequential from the proposed use or has not been assessed at this time. Skip to Section 7.  Overarching Question 2:					
ST	Overarching Question 1:  Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8):  identify beneficial use(s) and how they are impaired or detrimentally affected  NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, will be inconsequential from the proposed use or has not been assessed at this time. Skip to Section 7.  Overarching Question 2:  Can the proposed use be conditioned to overcome the impairment or detriment to the public interest?					
ST	Overarching Question 1:  Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8): identify beneficial use(s) and how they are impaired or detrimentally affected  NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, will be inconsequential from the proposed use or has not been assessed at this time. Skip to Section 7.  Overarching Question 2:  Can the proposed use be conditioned to overcome the impairment or detriment to the public interest?  YES;					
ST	Overarching Question 1:  Will the proposed use impair or be detrimental to the public interest?  YES; In addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, the proposed use will impair or be detrimental to the following public interest(s) under ORS 537.170(8):  identify beneficial use(s) and how they are impaired or detrimentally affected  NO; Impairment or detriment to public interests, in addition to those previously identified in Sections 4 and 5, will be inconsequential from the proposed use or has not been assessed at this time. Skip to Section 7.  Overarching Question 2:  Can the proposed use be conditioned to overcome the impairment or detriment to the public interest?  YES;  The same conditions and mitigation as outlined in Sections 3, 4, and 7 apply.					

(i.e., **Category 1 Habitat**). ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided. Otherwise, the proposed use would harm the

Comments: type here

species.

# **Section 7: ODFW's Recommended Mitigation Obligation**

□ NOT APPLICABLE; ODFW is not recommending mitigation. (Sign and STOP here)					
ODFW	ODFW Representative's Signature: Date: type here				
Name	: type here	Phone: type here	Email: type here		
Mitiga	ation Obligation				
those impac are na furthe wildlif	essential to suppo t habitat, so the po turally adapted. T er reduction in flow e, and/or their hal	rt the biological needs of fis roposed use may diminish p hese changes will negativel or alteration of habitat fro pitat without appropriate m	sh, wildlife, or habitats and/o hysical habitat and alter the y affect their distribution, pro m the proposed water use w itigation. ODFW recommend	med to be entirely or partially below or the proposed use will otherwise flow regime to which fish and wildlife oductivity, and abundance. Therefore, a rould impair or be detrimental to fish, is the applicant contact the caseworker Witigation Obligation, if questions arise.	
Choos	se One:				
	recommends the mitigation and/or outside this period listed fish species, further information as required under often complicated replacing the proposition of the pr	proposed use be mitigated conditions, a further reduced will impair or be detriment or wildlife. If the applicant on concerning appropriate of OAR 690-33, to compensate distributions of water throughout the season of water throughout the season of use be restored a Proposed Final Order for a further reduction in flow or be detrimental to sensitive. If the applicant is interested in the season of water through the season of water through the season of water through the season of the water through	prior to issuance of a Proposition in flow or alteration of hatal to sensitive, threatened, at is interested in pursuing mit conditions and a Mitigation Of the for any potential impact from the proposition of the purchasing or transferring in the proposition of the proposition of the proposition of the pursuing mitigation, plans and a Mitigation Obligation or any potential impact from the proposition of the pursuing mitigation, plans and a Mitigation Obligation or any potential impact from the proposition of the proposition of the pursuing mitigation of the pursuing mitigation of the pursuing mitigation of the proposition of the pursuing mitigation of the pursuing mitigation of the pursuing mitigation of the proposition of the pursuing mitigation of the pursuing miti	ed Final Order. Without appropriate abitat from the proposed water use and/or endangered fish species, non-ligation, please contact ODFW for bligation consistent with OAR 635-415, om the proposed use. Mitigation is is not limited to, actions such as ng an existing water right. and/or downstream during February. Period or the proposed use be mitigated od. Without appropriate mitigation in the proposed water use outside this ngered fish species, non-listed fish ease contact ODFW for further in consistent with OAR 635-415, as the proposed use. Mitigation is often limited to, actions such as replacing the	
<b>c)</b> □	There is insufficient determine if the proposed use be revidence to ODFV reach. Without at the proposed wat endangered fish s	nt information on instream to proposed use will impair bio mitigated <u>prior to issuance of</u> If that the biologically necest opropriate mitigation and/of er use outside this period manager pecies, non-listed fish speci	logically necessary flows for a proposed Final Order unlessary flows are available and or conditions, a further reductory impair or be detrimental es, or wildlife. If the applican	water right. licable Water Availability Basin) to fish. Therefore, ODFW recommends the less the applicant provides sufficient can be maintained within the impacted tion in flow or alteration of habitat from to sensitive, threatened, and/or nt is interested in pursuing mitigation, ditions and a Mitigation Obligation	

consistent with OAR 635-415, as required under OAR 690-33, to compensate for any potential impact from the

proposed use. Mitigation is often complicated, time consuming, and expensive, and may include, but is not limited to, actions such as replacing the proposed amount of water through purchasing or transferring an existing water right.

- D)  $\square$  Mitigation is not an option. ODFW recommends avoidance of the impact through alternatives to the proposed use or no authorization of the proposed use if impacts cannot be avoided.
- E) Based on ODFW's knowledge of applicable Subbasin Plans, Recovery Plans, Regional Restoration Plans, or other documents, the proposed use appears inconsistent with the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program<sup>3</sup>, impairs essential habitat, or is otherwise detrimental to the protection and/or recovery of sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, or wildlife. Therefore, ODFW recommends the applicant submit, to the application caseworker at WRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation (consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025; ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations) as outlined in this section(s), as well as other conditions recommended in Sections 3-6. ODFW recommends the Proposal include an assessment of options using the following actions listed in order of priority:
  - (1) avoiding the impact altogether,
  - (2) minimizing the impact by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action,
  - (3) rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment,
  - (4) reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the development action and by monitoring and taking appropriate corrective measures, and
  - (5) compensating for the impact by replacing or providing comparable substitute resources or environments. Because the mitigation is site- and species-specific, ODFW recommends written approval of the Proposal by ODFW prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order (see Section 9).

### 7.1 Identification of Habitat Category

Habitat Category<sup>4</sup> for the Primary Species of Concern During the Period of Impact:

The state of the s			
Month	Primary Species of Concern	Habitat Category	
January	type here	type here	
February	type here	type here	
March	type here	type here	
April	type here	type here	

Month	Primary Species of Concern	Habitat Category
May	type here	type here
June	type here	type here
July	type here	type here
August	type here	type here

Month	Primary Species of Concern	Habitat Category
September	type here	type here
October	type here	type here
November	type here	type here
December	type here	type here

#### 7.2 Flow Mitigation

If the applicant chooses to pursue water use during type here, when biologically necessary flows are not met or
water is not available, ODFW recommends the applicant provide water-for-water mitigation that is legally protected
and maintained as an instream water right for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate, as outlined below.
$\Box$ In lieu of mitigation, the applicant may provide evidence that the biologically necessary flows are available and

☐ In lieu of mitigation, the applicant may provide evidence that the biologically necessary flows are available and can be maintained within the impacted reach.

☐ ODFW recommends WRD's "Normal Mitigation," including any site-specific options addressed below.

A) Water Quantity: type here (cfs or AF; equals amount requested)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Water Resources Department's document number 94-2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> see ODFW Habitat Mitigation Policy, OAR 635-415-0025

	□ plus a net benefit (for Habitat Category 2)
B)	Months: type here
C)	Location of Mitigation (based on the Habitat Category):  ☐ at or above the point of impact ☐ at or above the point of impact is preferred, but may occur within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s) ☐ within a high priority reach <sup>5</sup> within the watershed/home range of the impacted species or population ☐ within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s) ☐ benefitting the impacted population(s) and/or higher priority species: list species here
D)	Additional comments: type here
7.	3 Habitat Restoration Mitigation
	oes the Mitigation Goal also allow a habitat restoration project as a mitigation option (i.e., impacts to Habitat ategories 3 – 6)?
	YES; In lieu of providing "water-for water", ODFW's Habitat Mitigation Policy allows the applicant the option of providing mitigation through a habitat restoration project that recreates similar habitat structure and function to that existing prior to the development action. If the applicant is interested in pursuing this option, please contact ODFW for further information.
	NO; Skip to Part 4, if applicable.
7.	4 Other Ecological Functions Mitigation
	Not applicable
	ODFW recommends the applicant provide the following mitigation, including, but not limited to, mitigation for "Other Impacts to Ecological Functions" or impacts to wildlife.  Note: Copy and paste the template below for each habitat type in need of replacement.
A)	Habitat Structure and Function in Need of Replacement: <u>type here</u>
B)	Describe the habitat quantity and quality to be replaced: type here
C)	Months:  ☐ In Perpetuity ☐ Other: type here
D)	Location of Mitigation:  ☐ at or above the point of impact  ☐ at or above the point of impact is preferred, but may occur within the watershed/home range of the impacted population(s)  ☐ within a high priority reach <sup>5</sup> within the home range of the impacted species or population

Applicant's Name: Reggie Boltz

Application #: R-89939

Review Date: 11/12/2025

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> see ODFW's Aquatic Habitat Priority maps

$\square$ within the watershed/home range of the $\square$ anywhere benefitting the impacted popular	e impacted population(s) ulation(s) and/or higher priority species: <u>li</u>	st species here
E) Additional comments: type here		
	0 3	
ODFW Representative's Signature:	Da Da	ate: <u>11/12/2025</u>
	/	
Name: Jason Brandt Phone: 541-315-6222	Email: Jason.r.brandt@odfw.or	<u>regon.gov</u>

# Section 8: ODFW's Recommended Condition Language

# List A Conditions (to be addressed by applicant prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order)

#### Bypass Plan (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)

<u>Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order</u>, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Bypass Plan which describes the method the permittee shall bypass the recommended flows, as outlined in Section 3.2, C and how the permittee will quantify and document inflow and outflow.

#### **Mitigation Plan**

<u>Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order</u>, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Mitigation Proposal that fulfills the Mitigation Obligation consistent with the goals and standards of OAR 635-415-0025 (ODFW Habitat Mitigation Recommendations), as outlined in Section 7, to compensate for any potential impacts to fish, wildlife, or habitats from the proposed use.

#### Riparian Plan

If development of the point of diversion includes disturbance of the riparian area, the applicant shall be responsible for restoration and enhancement of such riparian area in accordance with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's (ODFW) Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy described in OAR 635-415. **Prior to issuance of the Proposed Final Order**, the applicant shall submit, to the application caseworker at OWRD, a Riparian Plan approved in writing by ODFW, unless ODFW provides documentation that a Riparian Plan is not necessary. The applicant is hereby directed to contact ODFW.

#### **List B Conditions**

(included in permit and "maintenance" language carried through to certificate)

#### Bypass Flows (for reservoirs that directly divert from surface water)

Per 690-410-0070 (2)(c), the following flows shall be bypassed or passed through the reservoir during the filling season:

- 1) When the biologically necessary flows identified below are not available immediately upstream of the impacted area, the permittee shall pass all live flow downstream at a rate equal to the inflow, minus the amount of mitigation water provided upstream by the permittee, if applicable, and
- 2) When the biologically necessary flows identified below are available immediately upstream of the impacted area, the permittee shall pass flow downstream at a rate **equal to** or greater than the biologically necessary flows.

Once the reservoir has reached the permitted volume, all live flow shall be passed downstream at a rate equal to the inflow.

The permittee shall quantify and document inflow and outflow and maintain the bypass flows for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Bypass Plan. The bypass flow data shall be available upon request by the Oregon Water Resources Department, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, or Oregon Department of Agriculture.

#### {copy table from Section 3.2, Question C}

#### **Egress**

Native migratory fish may become trapped or entrained in the reservoir(s) during or after flooding events. Therefore, the permittee shall work with ODFW and obtain, in writing, an ODFW-approved fish passage plan to address egress from the reservoir(s) or other approved methods to keep fish out of the reservoir(s) during flood events (e.g., berm construction around the reservoirs). Approval of the proposed fish passage plan shall be obtained prior to diversion of water. The permittee shall submit proof to ODFW that fish passage (egress) has been implemented per the approved plan <u>prior to diversion of water</u>.

#### **Fish Stocking**

Per ORS 498.222 and OAR 635-007-0600, all persons transporting live fish in Oregon must have a Fish Transport Permit (FTP) issued by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW). The permittee shall not stock fish in the reservoir without an FTP approved by ODFW. As part of the FTP permitting process, the permittee may be required to screen the inlet and outlet of the reservoir to ensure that fish cannot escape into public waters and/or to keep wild fish from entering the reservoir.

#### **Future Protection**

The permittee may be required in the future to install, maintain, and operate fish screening per ORS 498.306 to prevent harm to fish from the proposed diversion. The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) may require the water user to install an approved fish screen at the new point of diversion within one year after receiving written notification from ODFW that a fish screen is required. Once installed, the water user shall operate and maintain the fish screen consistent with ODFW's operation and maintenance standards.

#### In-Water Work

Any in-water work related to construction, development, or maintenance of the proposed use shall be conducted during the preferred work period of <u>June</u> 15-Sept 15} unless an alternate time period is approved by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

#### **Maintain Flow**

The biologically necessary flows shown in the following table shall be maintained real time within and downstream of the point of impact or the use may be regulated until the flows are available.

#### {copy table from Section 3.2, Question B}

#### **Maintain Passage**

The permittee shall maintain adequate passage of native migratory fish at all times (ORS 509.610) and shall not construct, operate, or maintain any dam or artificial obstruction to fish passage across any waters of the state that are inhabited, or were historically inhabited, by native migratory fish (ORS 509.585).

#### **Measurement Device**

The permittee shall install, maintain, and operate a water use control and/or measuring device, as identified by OWRD. The device shall be installed, functional, and approved by the local Watermaster, **prior to diversion of water**.

#### Mitigation

The permittee shall comply with terms of the associated Mitigation Plan to compensate for detrimental impacts to fish, wildlife, and/or their habitat. The Mitigation Plan is fully incorporated into the requirements of this permit and may only be altered by written mutual agreement of all parties. The mitigation shall be legally protected and maintained for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate.

#### **Passage**

The permittee shall not construct, operate, or maintain any dam or artificial obstruction to fish passage across any waters of the state that are inhabited, or were historically inhabited, by native migratory fish (ORS 509.585) without obtaining approval for the artificial obstruction from the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW).

The permittee shall submit a proposal for fish passage to ODFW or apply for a fish passage waiver or exemption. Approval of the proposed fish passage facility, waiver, or exemption shall be obtained <u>prior to construction</u> of any in-channel obstruction or <u>prior to diversion of water</u> that may create an artificial obstruction due to low flow. The permittee shall submit proof to ODFW that fish passage has been implemented per the plan, waiver, or exemption <u>prior to diversion of water</u>.

The permittee shall maintain adequate passage of native migratory fish at all times (ORS 509.610) as per the approved plan, waiver, or exemption. The permittee is hereby directed to schedule a consultation with an ODFW Fish Passage Coordinator.

#### Riparian

The permittee shall restore or enhance the riparian area per the approved Riparian Plan <u>prior to diversion of water</u> and maintain the riparian area for the life of the permit and subsequent certificate per the approved Riparian Plan.

#### Screen

The permittee shall install, maintain, and operate fish screening consistent with current Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) standards or submit documentation that ODFW has determined fish screening is not necessary or is exempted. Fish screening is to prevent fish from entering the proposed diversion. The required screen is to be in place, functional, and approved in writing by ODFW <u>prior to diversion of water</u>. The water user shall operate and maintain the fish screen consistent with ODFW's operation and maintenance standards. The permittee is hereby directed to schedule a consultation with an ODFW Fish Screening Coordinator.

# Section 9: ODFW's Review of the Mitigation Proposal

Because the mitigation is site- and species-specific, ODFW recommends written approval of the Proposal by ODFW prior to issuance of a Proposed Final Order. ODFW finds the following:

	OFW supports the Mitigation Proposal with the following condition(s):
	"Mitigation"
	Site-specific condition(s): type here
<u>Ad</u>	ditional information:
	A Fish Passage Waiver or Exemption has been granted for the proposed POD that fulfills the fish passage requirements for this use.
	Comments: type here
	OFW <b>cannot support</b> the Mitigation Proposal because it is not consistent with the criteria OAR 635-415.
	The proposed mitigation is inconsistent with the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program <sup>6</sup> , impairs essential habitat, or is otherwise detrimental to the protection and/or recovery of sensitive, threatened, and/or endangered fish species, non-listed fish species, or wildlife.
	Habitat goals and standards not met: <u>list here and explain why not met</u>
ODFW	Representative's Signature:Date: type here
Name:	<u>type here</u> Phone: <u>type here</u> Email: <u>type here</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Water Resources Department's document number 94-2