

Groundwater Transfer Review Summary Form

Transfer/PA # T- LL-1982 Forbearance Mitigation Review

GW Reviewer J. Hackett Date Review Completed: January 12, 2026

Summary of Same Source Review:

- The proposed change in point of appropriation is not within the same aquifer as per OAR 690-380-2110(2).

Summary of Water Level Decline Condition Review:

- Water levels at the original point(s) of appropriation have exceeded the allowed decline threshold defined by conditions in the originating water right.

Summary of Injury Review:

- The proposed transfer will result in another, existing water right not receiving previously available water to which it is legally entitled or result in significant interference with a surface water source as per 690-380-0100(3).

Summary of GW-SW Transfer Similarity Review:

- The proposed SW-GW transfer doesn't meet the definition of "similarly" as per OAR 690-380-2130.

This is only a summary. Documentation is attached and should be read thoroughly to understand the basis for determinations.



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Ground Water Review Form:

- Water Right Transfer**
- Permit Amendment**
- GR Modification**
- Other**

Application: LL-1982, Forbearance Evaluation

Proposed Changes: POA APOA SW→GW RA
 USE POU OTHER

Reviewer(s): J. Hackett

Applicant Name: Keven Haguewood

Date of Review: 1/12/2026

Date Reviewed by GW Mgr. and Returned to WRSD: _____

The information provided in the application is insufficient to evaluate whether the proposed transfer may be approved because:

- The water well reports provided with the application do not correspond to the water rights affected by the transfer.
- The application does not include water well reports or a description of the well construction details sufficient to establish the ground water body developed or proposed to be developed.
- Other _____

1. Basic description of the changes proposed in this transfer: This is a forbearance mitigation review for Limited License application LL-1982. Applicant proposes to mitigate for the proposed use of 140 acre-feet annually for road construction or maintenance by forbearing 47 acres of irrigation authorized by certificate 54111. Authorized POA under certificate 54111, GILL 45, is also the proposed POA under this limited license application.
2. Will the proposed POA develop the same aquifer (source) as the existing authorized POA?
 Yes No Comments: Proposed POA is also the authorized POA.
3. a) Is the existing authorized POA subject to a water level decline condition?
 Yes No Comments: _____
b) If yes, for each POA identify the reference level, most recent spring-high water level, and whether an applicable permit decline condition has been exceeded: _____
4. a) Is there more than one source developed under the right (e.g., basalt and alluvium)?
 Yes No Comments: Proposed POA is also the authorized POA.
b) If yes, estimate the portion of the right supplied by each of the sources and describe any limitations that will need to be placed on the proposed change (rate, duty, etc.): _____

5. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another ground water right**?

Yes No Comments: Annual water use will not change because applicant is mitigating with forbearance of 47 acres of irrigation. Additionally, the proposed POA on this application is the authorized POA on the certificate used for mitigation, so interference with existing groundwater users will not increase.

b) If yes, would this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in another groundwater right not receiving the water to which it is legally entitled?

Yes No If yes, explain: _____

6. a) Will this proposed change, at its maximum allowed rate of use, likely result in an increase in interference with **another surface water source**?

Yes No Comments: No change in POA or use, so interference will not increase.

b) If yes, at its maximum allowed rate of use, what is the expected change in degree of interference with any **surface water sources** resulting from the proposed change?

Stream: _____ Minimal Significant

Stream: _____ Minimal Significant

Provide context for minimal/significant impact: _____

7. For SW-GW transfers, will the proposed change in point of diversion affect the surface water source similarly (as per OAR 690-380-2130) to the authorized point of diversion specified in the water use subject to transfer?

Yes No Comments: _____

8. What conditions or other changes in the application are necessary to address any potential issues identified above: _____

9. Any additional comments: _____

Well Location Map

LL-1982, Haguewood

1:48,000 scale



