PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

| TO: | | Wate | r Rights Sect | tion | | | | Date | | 11/28/20 | 08 | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|---------|
| FROM | [: | Grou | nd Water/Hy | drology | Section | Donn N | Ailler | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Review | ver's Name | | | | | | |
| SUBJE | ECT: | Appli | ication G | 17087 | | Supe | ersedes re | view of | | none | D . (D | • • • • | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Date of Re | view(s) | |
| PUBL | PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OAR 6 | 90-310-1 | 30 (1) 2 | The Departme | nt shall j | presume that | a proposed | d groundw | ater use will o | ensur | e the pres | ervation | of the pul | blic |
| welfare | , safety a | nd heal | th as describe | d in ORS | 5 537.525. De | epartment s | staff reviev | v ground wate | er app | lications | under OA | AR 690-3 | 10-140 |
| to deter | mine whe | ether th | e presumptior | is establ | lished. OAR | 690-310-14 | 40 allows t | the proposed | use b | e modified | d or cond | itioned to | meet |
| the pres | sumption | criteria | . This review | is based | upon availa | able inforn | nation and | l agency poli | cies i | n place at | t the time | e of evalı | lation. |
| | | INFO | | | | | . Dolfmor | and I unni | - We | ada Ca | NA NA | [u]tnom | ah |
| А. <u>GE</u> | NEKAL | INFU | UKMATION | : A | applicant s N | ame: <u>nemr</u> | y Penrey | and Lynna | | ous Co | unty: <u>Iv</u> | luition | |
| A1. | Applica | nt(s) se | ek(s) 0.0334 | cfs fro | m 2 | well(s) |) in the | Willamette | | | | | Basin. |
| | | 7. I | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | , |
| | | Jolumi | dia Sub-dasin | l | | subbas | sin Qu | ad Map: <u>Ca</u> | amas | | | | |
| Α2 | Propose | d use. | Irriga | tion and | commercia | I Seaso | nality | all vear | | | | | |
| A3. | Well an | d aquif | er data (attac | h and nu | mber logs f | or existing | wells: ma | rk proposed | well | s as such | under lo | gid): | |
| | | 1. | | | | | | I I I | | | | 8 | |
| Wel | . . | | Applicant' | Pr | oposed | Proposed | Proposed Location | | on Location, metes and bounds. | | | ds. e.g. | |
| 1 | Logi | d | S W/ - 11 # | A | quifer* | Rate(cfs) |) (T/ | /R-S QQ-Q) | | 2250' N | I, 1200' E | fr NW cor | · S 36 |
| 1 | Tobol | milt | 1 well # | Doon ' | - Frantdala? | 0.033/ | 1N/3 | E 28 NE NV | v | 175'8 1 | 100'W fr 1 | NF cor DI | C 30 |
| 2 | To be l |)unt milt | 2 | Deen ' | Froutdale? | 0.0334 | 1N/3 | E-28 NE-NV | v | 220'S. 3 | 90'W fr | NE cor DI | LC 39 |
| 3 | 10.001 | Junt | | Deep | i i outuare. | 0.0334 | 111/3 | E-20 11E-111 | • | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Alluvi | um, CRB | Bedrocl | k | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | , end, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Well | First | SWI | SWI | Well | Seal | Casing | Liner | Per | forations | Well | Draw | Test |
| Well | Elev | Water | r ft bls | Date | Depth | Interval | Intervals | Intervals | Or | Screens | Yield | Down | Type |

| Well | Elev ft msl | Water ft bls | ft bls | Date | Depth (ft) | Interval (ft) | Intervals (ft) | Intervals (ft) | Or Screens (ft) | Yield (gpm) | Down (ft) | Test Type |
|------|----------------|-----------------|--------|------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 25 | | E17 | | 200- | 18'+ | 18'+ | | | | | |
| 2 | 10 | | E2 | | <u>450</u> 200- | 18'+ | 18'+ | | | | | |
| | - | | | | 450 | - | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Use data from application for proposed wells.

| A4. | Comments: | Based on the well descriptions, the intended aquifer is the Sand and Gravel Aquifer (SGA) as detailed in |
|----------|--------------------|--|
| the US | GS Portland | asin reports. The reference to Deep Troutdale aquifer is non-standard as that term refers to an aquifer |
| in the S | andy-Boring | GWLA to the south. The well design is more than adequate to yield the desired rate. |
| The est | imated SWL | s come from data in the USGS reports. |
| It is am | biguous but | appears that the requested rate is 15gpm from either well or in combination. |
| | - | |

A5. **Provisions of the <u>Willamette</u>** Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water **are**, *or* **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: OAR 690-502-160(2)(e) does not apply since the aquifer is not unconfined alluvium.

A6. Well(s) #____

Comments: NA

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, or **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or c.
 - will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d.
 - The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C (March measurement) i.
 - \boxtimes The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. ii.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. **Condition** to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface; a.

- **Condition** to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface; b.
- **Condition** to allow ground water production only from the c. Sand and Gravel Aquifer _ ground water reservoir between approximately <u>160</u> ft. and <u>1000</u> ft. below land surface;
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury -as related to water availability- that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

Ground water availability remarks: The Sand and Gravel Aquifer (SGA) is commonly developed by local cities. B3. The demand could increase under existing rights as cities and other users grow into their water rights. In the past, large users in Clark County Washington came on line and this produced a water level decline in Oregon wells. Municipalities are very concerned. Last year the Cities of Fairview and Wood Village disputed the latter application for new ground water rights. In light of the potential for supply problems under existing rights, I am proposing a decline condition. I am aware that this is a small use in the big picture.

Date

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

| Wel 1 | Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer | Confined | Unconfined |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| 1 | SGA | \boxtimes | |
| 2 | SGA | \boxtimes | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The SGA underlies confining unit 2 locally</u>. That unit is about 25 feet thick at the proposed well sites. To the north a bit, the confining unit is absent and the SGA is overlain by the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. Under those conditions, the SGA is unconfined. This occurs at the Columbia River.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

| Well | SW # | Surface Water Name | GW Elev ft msl | SW Elev ft msl | Distance (ft) | Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO |
|------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | Fairview Lake | 8 | 8 | 450 | | |
| 2 | 1 | Fairview Lake | 8 | 8 | 150 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | Columbia River | 8 | 5 | 4600 | \square \square | |
| 2 | 2 | Columbia River | 8 | 5 | 4300 | | \Box |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The SGA is confined under Fairview Lake and unconfined under</u> the Columbia River.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>none (administratively in the Columbia Sub-basin)</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ⊠ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

| Well | SW # | Well < ¹ ⁄ ₄ mile? | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|------|---------|--|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | | | | | | ~265,000 | | 0.01% | |
| 2 | 2 | | | | | | ~265,000 | | 0.01% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

| SW # | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|-------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: _ | NA | | | | | | | |

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

| Non-D | Non-Distributed Wells | | | | | | | | D | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| NA | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distrib | outed Wel | ls | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (A) = To | tal Interf. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) = 80 | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (C) = 1 | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $(\mathbf{D}) = (A$ | (C) | \checkmark |
| (E) = (A | / B) x 100 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |

| $(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{t}$ | al interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% | 6 exceed. as |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------|
| CFS; | (A) = A = A = A = A (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as per- | centage. |

| | asis for impact evaluation: <u>NA</u> | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| - - - - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| b. | 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wat Rights Section. | | | | | | | | | |
| . [| If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water u under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: | | | | | | | | | |
| | ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| . Sv <u>or</u> m | v / GW Remarks and Conditions <u>As is almost always the case, the appropriation of ground water will have an impac</u> surface water. The pumping of the wells will have the most focused effect on the Columbia River. The effect will be iniscule, of course. The Sand and Gravel Aquifer becomes unconfined at the Columbia River. At that location, it is n | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>lo</u> to | ager overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connection the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>lo</u> to | ager overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connection the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>lo</u> to | nger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connection the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>lo</u> <u>to</u> | anger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connection the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>lo</u> to | nger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connection the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | anger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connection the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | nger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connectivity of the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | nger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | nger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | nger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connective the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | ager overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | ager overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Iger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | ger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Inger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connection the Columbia River | | | | | | | | | |
| | reer overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River | | | | | | | | | |
| | rger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River | | | | | | | | | |
| | nger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River | | | | | | | | | |
| | rger overlain by confining unit 2 but the Troutdale Sandstone aquifer. This opens the way for a more direct connecti the Columbia River | | | | | | | | | |

| Date | 11/28/08 |
|------|----------|
| | |

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

| D1. | Well #: | NA | Logid: |
|-----|--|--|---|
| D2. | THE W a. b. c. d. | ELL does not review of the field inspection report of CWH other: (specify | <pre>x meet current well construction standards based upon: well log; on by; RE; y)</pre> |
| D3. | THE W a. b. c. d. e. | ELL construct constitutes a h commingles v permits the lo permits the de other: (specify | ction deficiency: nealth threat under Division 200 rules; vater from more than one ground water reservoir; oss of artesian head; e-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; y) |
| D4. | THE W | ELL construc | ction deficiency is described as follows: |
| D5. | THE W | T ELL a.b. | was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. |
| D6. | Route t is filed w | to the Enforce with the Depart | ment Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction tment and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section. |
| THI | S SECTIO | ON TO BE CO | OMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL |

D7. Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:

(Enforcement Section Signature)

D8.
Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

_____, 200_____.