PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR CROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights Se	ection				Date	e	Decemb	er 30, 20	08	
FROM	1:	Grou	nd Water/H	łydrology	Section _	Michael Zwart							
SUBJI	ECT	Appli	cation G-	17110		Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of							
00000	2011	1 ippi		1/110		54	perseues re	· ie w oi			Date of Rev	view(s)	
PURI	IC INTI	EREST	Γ PRESUN	APTION	GROUN	IDWATE	R						
OAR 6 welfare to deter	5 90-310-1 e, safety a rmine whe	30 (1) <i>I nd heal</i> ether th	<i>The Departr th as descri</i> e presumpti	nent shall j bed in ORS on is estab	<i>presume th</i> S 537.525. lished. OA	<i>at a propos</i> Departmen R 690-310-	<i>ed groundw</i> t staff reviev 140 allows t	ater use will v ground wate he proposed l agency poli	er apj use b	plications e modifie	under OA d or cond	R 690-3	10-140 o meet
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIO	<u>N</u> : A	applicant's	Name:	Shawn Bir	ngaman		(County:	Union	
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) 2.05	cfs fro	om one	well	(s) in the	Grande Ro	onde				Basin
	II ···		() <u> </u>			subb		ad Map: El					_
							-	-	-				
A2. A3.	Propose	ed use:	Irri	gation, 164	4.6 acres	Seas	sonality:	March 1 to rk proposed) Octo	ober 31	undorlo	aid).	
AJ.		u aquii			iniber logs		ig wens, ma	ik proposed	i wen	s as such		giu).	
Wel	Log	id	Applicant s	PI	oposed	Propos		Location			n, metes a		
1	LUG	ia.	Well #	A	quifer*	Rate(ct	Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q)			2250' N	N, 1200' E	fr NW co	r S 36
1	Propo	sed	1		CRB	2.05	2.05 1S/39E-3SE-SE			4545' S, 4798' E fr NW cor			or S 3
23													
4													
5													
* Alluvi	ium, CRB,	Bedrocl	k										
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	r SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)		forations Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	2810	300±	Flow		300- 1500	To basalt	300-1500						
Use dat	a from ann	lication	for proposed	wells									
			for proposed	wells.									
A4.	Comme	ents:											
A5. 🖂	Provis	ions of	the <u>Grand</u>	e Ronde			Basin ru	les relative to	o the	developm	ent, class	ification	and/or
			f ground wa rules contair			nected to su	rface water	are, or [2]	🛾 are	e not , activ	vated by t	his appli	cation.

Comments: _____

A6. Well(s) #____

Comments:

Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, or **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or c.
 - **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d.
 - The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N i.
 - ii. \square The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \square The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- **Condition** to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface; B2. a.
 - Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface; b.
 - Condition to allow ground water production only from the _____ basalt c. __ ground water reservoir;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: The nearest basalt well with a long-term record is about five miles southwest. This well has displayed some water-level declines in the recent past, but themost recent measurements indicate that levels have rebounded somewhat. Other nearby wells with measurement requirements currently have insufficient records to determine water-level stability. Condition 7N should provide needed data in this area.

Recommended language for well construction follows: The well shall be cased and sealed in such a manner to develop a single basalt aquifer.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel 1	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basalt of the Columbia River Basalt Group	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Basalt aquifers are typically confined; some nearby basalt wells flow.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential Subst. Inter Assumed YES	rfer.
1	1	Grande Ronde River	2850±	2670	3100			\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: It is unknown to what extent, if any, that ground water in the deep Columbia River Basalt rocks contributes to surface water.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: 30810406 GRANDE RONDE R> SNAKE R- AB GORDON CR

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖂 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ^{1/4} mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Date: December 30, 2008

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	1% Flow		Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	

Comments: This section does not apply.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
													
Distrit	outed Wel	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
(A) = To	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A	A) > (C)	\checkmark											
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

continued	Date: December 30, 2008
; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month	and natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; $(C) = 1\%$ of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. In where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to i Rights Section.	mpair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W
under this permit can be regulated if it i.	vater source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water is found to substantially interfere with surface water: condition #(s) 7J
ii. 🗌 The permit should contain	special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions	
	reviews; Development Potential of Ground Water in the Grande Ronde Valle logy and Ground-Water Resources of the Upper Grande Ronde River Basin,

D. <u>W</u>	D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200							
D1.	Well #:	Logid:						
D2.	a. review of b. field ins c. report of	es not meet current well construction standards based upon: f the well log; pection by						
D3.	a constitut b commin c permits d permits	struction deficiency: es a health threat under Division 200 rules; gles water from more than one ground water reservoir; he loss of artesian head; he de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; pecify)						
D4.	THE WELL con	struction deficiency is described as follows:						
D5.	THE WELL	a. was , <i>or</i> was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.						
		b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.						
D6.		forcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.						

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL

D7. Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:

(Enforcement Section Signature)

D8. **Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).**

_____, 200_____.