<u>PUBLI</u>	C INTE	REST	REVIEW	FOR GROU	JND WAT	ER APPLI	CATIONS								
TO:		Wate	r Rights S	ection		Date December 30, 2008									
FROM	•	Grou	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section										
SUBJE	СТ	Appl	ication G-	17151		Review	Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of Date of Review(s)								
DODJE		1 ppn	ication G			Bup	erseaes rev			I	Date of Rev	view(s)			
PUBL	IC INTI	RES	F PRESU	MPTION;	GROUNI	DWATER	2								
OAR 6 welfare, to deter	90-310-1 , <i>safety an</i> mine whe	30 (1) <i>I ind heal</i> ther the	<i>The Depart</i> <i>th as descr</i> e presumpt	tment shall p bed in ORS tion is establic ew is based	<i>resume that</i> 537.525. D ished. OAR	<i>t a propose</i> Department & 690-310-1	<i>d groundwa</i> staff review 40 allows th	ground wate	er appli use be	cations u modified	inder OA or condi	R 690-3 tioned to	10-140 meet		
A. GEN	IERAL II	NFORM	MATION:	Applicant's	Name:	Philip Cole	eman		Count	ty: <u>N</u>	Iarion				
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	eek(s) 1.4	<u>8-1.75**</u> cfs	from 1	well(s) in th	ne Wil l	lamette					Basin,		
	II ····							ad Map: <u>G</u> e							
A2. A3.			IRI Fer data (att	RIGATION tach and nu		Seasc	onality: g wells; ma	March 1 – (rk proposed	October	as such u					
Well	Log	id	Applicant Well #	's Propose	ed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)		Location /R-S QQ-Q)		Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36					
1	PROPC	SED	1	all	uvium	1.48- 1.75**									
2															
3															
5															
* Alluvi	um, CRB,	Bedroc	k												
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Wate ft bls	r SWL	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Or S	rations creens ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type		
1	175														
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	d wells.											
A4. for 75 a	Comme ocres of i	ents: <u> </u>	<u>** The ar</u> on. The ma	oplicant is re aximum rat	equesting a	<u>n instanta</u> ber acre of	<u>neous rate</u> irrigation i	<u>of 650 to 80</u> is 1/80 th of 1	<u>0 gallo</u> cfs. Th	<u>ns per m</u> nis corre	<u>iinute (1.</u> sponds t	. <u>48 to 1.7</u> o a rate	<u>/5 cfs)</u> of 0.94		
				s review wil											
A5. 🛛	manage (Not all	ment o basin 1	f ground w rules contai	Willamette ater hydrauli in such provi cant's propos	ically conne isions.)		face water		🛾 are n	ot, activa	ated by th	nis applic	ation.		

2

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - □ is over appropriated, □ is not over appropriated, *or* ⊠ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or c.
 - **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d. The permit should contain condition #(s) **7B**, **7C** i.
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.

 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface; B2. a.
 - **Condition** to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface; b.
 - Condition to allow ground water production only from the _________ft. and _______ft. below land surface; _____ ground c.
 - Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely d. to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

Ground water availability remarks: _____ B3.

The applicant's proposed well is located in an area that contains low-permeability saturated silt and clay from land surface to a depth of approximately 70 feet. A 60 feet thick package of sand and gravel underlies the low-permeability silt. Underlying the sand and gravel is a >600 feet thick sequence of mostly fine-grained alluvium with thin beds of sand and gravel (Gannett and Caldwell, 1998).

Water levels in nearby wells show no obvious declines (see attached hydrograph). The available data indicates that the alluvial aquifer should be capable of accommodating the additional stress without harm to the resource or to existing rights.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium	\square	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The applicant's proposed well will produce water from sands and gravels that are confined by 60 feet of saturated silt. This is confirmed by static water levels that rise above the level of the producing sand and gravel.</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential Subst. Inte Assume YES	erfer.
1	1	Patterson Creek	150	115-150	1400			\boxtimes
1	2	Unnamed Trib to Patterson Creek	150	130-160	2500			\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Water levels in nearby wells are coincident with the elevation of local</u> streams. Additionally, water table maps indicate ground water discharges to streams locally. These factors suggest the ground water system is hydraulically connected to local stream reaches.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>182: WILLAMETTE R > CLOUMBIA R – AB MOLALLA R</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			n/a			3830		<<25%	
1	2			n/a			3830		<<25%	

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

Sume ev	uluulio	in und minu	ations up	pry as in C3a	1 400 10.					
	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: <u>Modeling in similar circumstances suggests impacts due to pumping will be much less than 25% of the pumping rate after 30 days.</u>

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Well	istributed V SW#		D-h	Maa	A	M	T	T., I	A	C	0-4	N	Dee
well	SW#	Jan %	Feb	Mar %	Apr %	May %	Jun %	Jul %	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov %	Dec %
	and a	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Wells												
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A})$	(0)	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A})$		v %	°⁄o	× %	%	v %	%	%	v %	°⁄0	%	%	%
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/ B) x 100	70	70	/0	/0	70	70	/0	70	70	70	70	/

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

5

	Basis for impact evaluation:
C4b.	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.
C5. [under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
	 i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
C6. 8	W / GW Remarks and Conditions:
_	
-	
-	
-	
_	
-	
-	
_	
-	
ŀ	References Used:
	Conlon and others, 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S Geological Survey Scientific nvestigations Report 2005-5168.
	Gannett and Caldwell, 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A,
Ī	Junt, B., 1999, Unsteady stream depletion from ground water pumping: Ground Water, v. 37, no. 1, p. 98-102.
	Iunt, B., 2003, Unsteady stream depletion when pumping from semiconfined aquifer: Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, anuary/February, 2003.
	enkins, C.T., 1970, Computation of rate and volume of stream depletion by wells: U.S. Geol. Survey Techniques of Water- Resources Investigations of the Unites States Geological Survey, Chapter D1, Book 4,17 p.
	Voodward and others, 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B,

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200


D1.	Well #: Logid:
D2.	THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by; c. report of CWRE; d. other: (specify);
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency: a. constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b. commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; c. permits the loss of artesian head; d. permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; e. other: (specify)
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.	 THE WELL a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
D6. [Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
THIS	SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7. [] Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
	, 200 .
	(Enforcement Section Signature)
D8.] Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

Water Availability Tables

WILLAMETTE R> COLUMBIA R- AB MOLALLA R WILLAMETTE BASIN Water Availability as of 12/30/2008

12/30/2000												
Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirement	Net Water Available						
Jan	21,400.00	2,250.00	19,100.00	0.00	1,500.00	17,600.00						
Feb	23,200.00	7,440.00	15,800.00	0.00	1,500.00	14,300.00						
Mar	22,400.00	7,220.00	15,200.00	0.00	1,500.00	13,700.00						
Apr	19,900.00	6,870.00	13,000.00	0.00	1,500.00	11,500.00						
May	16,600.00	4,200.00	12,400.00	0.00	1,500.00	10,900.00						
Jun	8,740.00	2,050.00	6,690.00	0.00	1,500.00	5,190.00						
Jul	4,980.00	1,870.00	3,110.00	0.00	1,500.00	1,610.00						
Aug	3,830.00	1,720.00	2,110.00	0.00	1,500.00	614.00						
Sep	3,890.00	1,470.00	2,420.00	0.00	1,500.00	918.00						
Oct	4,850.00	717.00	4,130.00	0.00	1,500.00	2,630.00						
Nov	10,200.00	851.00	9,350.00	0.00	1,500.00	7,850.00						
Dec	19,300.00	924.00	18,400.00	0.00	1,500.00	16,900.00						

Water Levels in Nearby Wells



Well Location Map

