## PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water	Rights S	Section				Date	e <u>January</u>	8, 2009			
FROM:		Groun	nd Water	/Hydrolog	y Section _								
SUBJE	UBJECT: Application G- 17122						ewer's Name persedes rev	view of		Date of Rev	view(s)		
OAR 69 welfare, to determ	00-310-13 safety ar nine whe	30 (1) 7 ad healt ther the	The Depar th as descr e presump	tment shall ribed in OI tion is esta	RS 537.525. I blished. OAF	at a proposi Department R 690-310-	ed groundwa staff review 140 allows t	ground wat he proposed	ensure the prese er applications use be modified icies in place at	ervation of under OA	of the pub AR 690-3	10-140 meet	
A. GEN	ERAL IN	NFORM	ATION:	Applican	t's Name: _	J. G. Sim	pson, Inc.		County: U	matilla			
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) 1.0	625 cf	s from <u>one</u>	_well(s) in	the Uma	tilla				_Basin,	
	<u>B</u>	<u> Birch C</u>	reek			subt	oasin Qu	ad Map: <u>M</u>	cKay Reservo	ir			
A2. A3.													
Well	Logi	id	Applican		osed Aquifer*	Propose		Location		n, metes a			
1	UMAT		Well # 1	•	CRB	Rate(cfs 1.625		/R-S QQ-Q) 2E-30 SW-NI		f, 1200' E f , <b>2150' W</b>			
2 3													
4													
5 * Alli-	CDD	Dll	_										
* Alluviu	ım, CRB,	вестоск	(										
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	tt ble	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
1	1600	140	114.7	2/22/08	600	0-18	0-18	none	None	2220	( )	Air	
Use data	from appl	ication 1	for propose	d wells.									
A4.	Comme	ents:	This wel	l is measu	red every Fe	<u>bruary as</u>	part of the	Umatilla Ba	asin synoptic m	<u>leasurem</u>	ents.		
A5. 🖂	Provisi	ons of	the <u>Umat</u>	tilla	ulicelly conn	acted to su	Basin ru	lles relative t	o the developm <b>I are not</b> , activ	ent, class	ification a	and/or	
	(Not all Comme	basin r nts:	ules conta	in such pro is within f	ovisions.) <b>ive miles of</b>	the city of	Pilot Rock'	s basalt wel	ls. However, the	he city do	oes not y		
A6. 🗌	Name of	f admin	istrative a	rea:					er limited by an				

Version: 08/15/2003

0	ased upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:	
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	g an
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This fin is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	ding
c.	$\square$ will not or $\square$ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or	
d.	<ul> <li>will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:         <ol> <li>i.</li></ol></li></ul>	
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;	
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;	
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the water reservoir;	nd
d.	<ul> <li>■ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are like to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and appropriate Ground Water Section.</li> <li>Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference)</li> </ul>	oved e w/
	senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):	
	senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):	
	senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):	
res cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit quirement.	<u>ble</u>
res cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit	<u>ble</u>
res cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit	<u>ble</u>
wares cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit	<u>ble</u>
res cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit	<u>ble</u>
res cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit	<u>ble</u>
res cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit	<u>ble</u>
wares cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit	<u>ble</u>
res cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit	<u>ble</u>
res cor	round water availability remarks:   Currently measured basalt wells in the area are displaying reasonably stal ater levels. Synoptic water levels at the subject well during the late 1990s and early 2000s were not accurate as sult of a poor airline at the well. Subsequent e-tape measurements have confirmed this. The measurement andition is recommended to allow future measurements to be made by Department staff to meet the permit	<u>ble</u>

Application: G- 17122 continued

Date: January 8, 2009

2

ROUND	WA	TER/SUR	RFACE '	WATER CO	ONSIDER A	ATIONS,	OAR 690-0	<u> </u>				
90-09-04	40 (1)	: Evaluatio	n of aqui	fer confineme	ent:							
Well				er or Proposed		(	Confined	Ur	Unconfined			
1		Basal	t of the C	Columbia Riv	er Basalt G	roup						
								<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
-										<u> </u>		
1										<u> </u>		
Basis for flowing			ement ev	aluation: <u>B</u>	asalt aquife	rs are typi	cally confine	ed in this are	ea. Some near	by wells a	arc	
horizon assume	tal dis	tance less t	than ¼ mi ally conn	ile from a sur	face water so	ource that p	roduce water	r from an und	ces. All wells le confined aquife ams located be	er shall be	m	
										Potentia	1 f	
337 - 11	SW	C	£ <b></b>	4 N	GW	SW	Distance	Hydra	alically ected?	Subst. Int		
Well	#	2	urface Wa	iter Name	Elev ft msl	Elev ft msl	(ft)		ASSUMED	Assum	eď	
									ASSUMED	YES		
1	1		Birch (	Creek	1485	1375	15000			<u> </u>		
-								-   -		<u> </u>		
-								<del>                                     </del>	<del>-  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -</del>	<u> </u>		
								<del>                                     </del>	$\dashv$	-H		
									$\dashv$	$ \frac{\square}{\square}$		
								H H	T I	Ħ		
Water A 590-09-( connecte that are p Compare	vaila  240 (4  ed and  e the re	bility Basin Evaluated less than ent to that sequested ra	n the well ion of stre 1 mile fr urface wa tte against	head relation mapping industrial list are located are impacts from a surface atter source, att the 1% of 80	ed within: for each well water source and not lower 10% natural fi	220 BIRC that has been Limit evan SW sources low for the	H CR> UMA een determined luation to insect to which the pertinent Wa	ATILLA R- ed or assume stream rights a stream undater Availabi	AT MOUTH  d to be hydrau and minimum ler evaluation i lity Basin (WA l to have the po	a.  ulically stream flois tributary AB). If Q	t 7. is	
Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Water Right ID	Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	Natural Flow (cfs)	of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	for Su Inter Assun	ıbs fer	
		$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	ᅡ片			<del>                                     </del>		┼ ├		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
			ᅡ片					+		<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>	
		片片	ᅡ片			片片		+		<del>                                     </del>	1	
										<del>                                     </del>	ĺ	
											]	
			i 🖂	1		ı 🗆	1		1		1	

Application: G- 17122 continued

Version: 08/15/2003

Date: January 8, 2009

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SV #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

<b>Comments:</b>	This section does not apply.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	stributed V	Vells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
D: 4 .*I	-4 - 3 337 - 11												
Well	uted Wells SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
WCII	3 ** "	%	%	%	%	%	%	% %	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS	7.0	,,,	, ,	, •	,,	70	7.0	,,	70	, 0	70	,,,
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS	,,,		, ,			, ,	,,		,,	,,,	,,,	
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
(A) = To	otal Interf.												
· ·	% Nat. Q												
	% Nat. Q												
(0) 1													
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A$	A) > (C)	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	$\checkmark$
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: This section may apply, but it is judged that the Hunt/Wozniak analytical model will

Basis for impact evaluation: <u>This section may apply, but it is judged that the Hunt/Wozniak analytical model will</u> likely overestimate the potential interference when used with CRB aquifers and no calculations were made.

ppli	cation: G- <u>17122</u>	continued	Date: <u>January 8, 2009</u>
).	690-09-040 (5) (b) Rights Section.	The potential to impair or det	crimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wa
Γ	☐ If properly conditi	oned the surface water source(s	) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water u
L	under this permit ca	in he regulated if it is found to su	bstantially interfere with surface water:
	. — —		
	ii. The per	cmit should contain special condi	s)
	п. 🗀 тие рег	int should contain special condi	tion(s) as indicated in Remarks below,
<u>S</u>	W / GW Remarks an	d Conditions:	
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
		cal well logs; local well knowled napter 2, Hydrogeology, by Wo	dge; nearby recent reviews; GW Reports 30 & 35; Lower Umatilla
<u>n</u>	asın Kepurt, 1773, Ci	iapici 2, iljulogeology, by Wo	ZAMUA.
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			

App	licat	ion: G	17122	continued		Date: January 8, 2009	6
D. <u>V</u>	<u>WEI</u>	LL CON	STRUCTION,	OAR 690-200			
D1.		Well #: _	1	Logid:	UMAT 332		
D2.		a.	review of the wel field inspection b report of CWRE	l log; y	uction standards based upo		
D3.		a.	commingles wate permits the loss o permits the de-wa	th threat under Division r from more than one g f artesian head; ttering of one or more g			
D4.		THE WI	ELL construction	n deficiency is describ	ed as follows:		
D5.		THE WI	E <b>LL</b> a. $\square$		onstructed according to the s or most recent modification.	tandards in effect at the time of	
			b. 🗌	I don't know if it met	standards at the time of cons	struction.	
D6.					nd withholding issuance of the Enforcement Section and the	ne permit until evidence of well rece Ground Water Section.	onstruction
TH	IS S	ECTIO	N TO BE COM	PLETED BY ENFO	DRCEMENT PERSONN	EL	
D7.		Well con	struction deficien	cy has been corrected b	by the following actions:		
			(Enforce of C	dian Cianata and			_, 200
		'	(Enforcement Sec	cuon Signature)			
D8.		Route to	Water Rights S	ection (attach well re	construction logs to this pag	ge).	

6

Application: G- 17122 continued Date: January 8, 2009

## BIRCH CR> UMATILLA R- AT MOUTH UMATILLA BASIN

Water Availability as of 1/5/2009

Watershed ID #: 220

Date: 1/5/2009 Time: 8:34 PM

Exceedance Level:

 Water Availability Calculation
 Consumptive Uses and Storages
 Instream Requirements
 Reservations

 Water Rights
 Watershed Characteristics

## **Water Availability Calculation**

Monthly Streamflows in Cubic Feet per Second Storage at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirement	Net Water Available
Jan	23.40	0.66	22.70	0.00	20.00	2.74
Feb	39.40	0.93	38.50	0.00	30.00	8.47
Mar	52.20	4.95	47.30	0.00	30.00	17.30
Apr	97.00	19.70	77.30	0.00	30.00	47.30
May	64.60	47.60	17.00	0.00	30.00	-13.00
Jun	32.60	38.20	-5.57	0.00	20.00	-25.60
Jul	10.60	12.70	-2.14	0.00	12.00	-14.10
Aug	4.40	5.19	-0.79	0.00	8.00	-8.79
Sep	2.30	2.75	-0.45	0.00	8.00	-8.45
Oct	1.10	1.42	-0.32	0.00	8.00	-8.32
Nov	5.70	0.27	5.43	0.00	8.00	-2.57
Dec	19.20	0.48	18.70	0.00	20.00	-1.28
Storage Acre-Feet at 50%	39,200.00	8,170.00	31,200.00	0.00	13,500.00	20,400.00

Date: January 8, 2009

