Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip

Groundwater/Hydrology Section

FILE ## G-11997
ROUTED TO: Water Rights (Michale
TOWNSHIP/
RANGE-SECTION: 23 5/31 E - 24 a.a.
235/32E-18cc
CONDITIONS ATTACHED? []yes []no
REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS: Reversew - Note add.
Reversew - Note addl.
conditions

Reviewer: Mike Zwart

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights Sec	ction				Date	e	<u>Februar</u>	y 5, 200	9	
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/H	ydrology	Section_		ael Zwart						
SUBJE	ECT:	Appli	ication G	16997			iewer's Name persedes re	eview of		May 21,	2008 Date of Re	view(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to determ the pres	90-310-1 safety a mine who umption	30 (1) 2 and heal ether the criteria	th as describ e presumptio	ent shall p ed in ORS n is establi v is based	sresume th 537.525. ished. OA! upon ava	at a propos Departmen R 690-310- ilable infor	ed groundw t staff reviev 140 allows t rmation and	ater use will v ground wate he proposed l agency poli kman	er app use be icies ir	lications of modified at place at	ervation o under OA l or condi	of the pub R 690-31 tioned to of evalu	0-140 meet ation.
A1.	Applica	ant(s) se	ek(s) <u>2.98</u>	cfs from	m <u>two</u>			Malheur I		Cl- GI			_ Basin,
A2. A3.							sonality:	ad Map: <u>Po</u> March 1 to	o Octo	ber 31		gid):	
Well	Log	id	Applicant's Well #		oposed quifer*	Propos Rate(ci		Location /R-S QQ-Q)			n, metes a		
1	Propo	sed	1		sin Fill	0.535		31E-24 NE-N	NE	460' S	1120' W	fr NE cor	S 24
2	Propo	sed	2	Ba	sin Fill	2.451	23S/3	2E-18 SW-S	SW	280' N	i, 520' E f	r SW cor	S 18
3 4				-		_			-+				
5				+									
* Alluviu	ım, CRB,	Bedrocl	ζ										
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Or	forations Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
2	4141	180* 180*	20		300	0-100+ 0-100+	0-300	None None	250- 250-				
	4136	180**	20		300	0-100+	0-300	None	230-			_	
A4.	Commo	ents: <u>*I</u>	for proposed vocal well loaccurate.	gs usually				g zone at 15				ace, so th	<u>nis</u>
A5. 🗌	manage (Not all	ment of basin r	ules contain	er hydrauli such provi	cally conn	nected to sur	rface water	ales relative t ☐ are, or ∑	are	not, activ	ated by th	is applica	ation.
A6. 🗌		of admir	nistrative area	1:				p(s) an aquif					

Version: 08/15/2003

Bas	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:	
a.	is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	e over appropriated during any the over-appropriation
b.	will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescrib	or water rights. * This findinged in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water res	ource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the i. The permit should contain condition #(s)	
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than	ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than	ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below lar	ground
	occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Departm Water Section.	
	issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Departm Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well re	ent and approved by the Groun
	issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Departm Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well re	ent and approved by the Groun
	issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Departm Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well re	ent and approved by the Groun
	issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Departm Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well re	ent and approved by the Grou

Application G-16997

_____continued

Date: February 5, 2009

Application G-16997	App	lication	G-1	699
---------------------	-----	----------	-----	-----

continued

Date: February 5, 2009

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1,2	Basin-fill sediments: sand, clay and volcaniclastic seds.	\boxtimes	
	Qal and Tvs of Leonard, 1970		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Regionally the basin-fill aquifer is unconfined and discharges to Malheur Lake or more local surface water sources.</u> The deeper water-bearing zones appear to be semiconfined to confined, based on a recent aquifer test. Also, the proposed well construction condition will ensure that shallow unconfined water-bearing zones are not developed.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Poison Creek Slough	4120±	4135+	100		
2	1	Poison Creek Slough	4120±	4135-	700		
1	2	Embree Slough*	4120±	4130	5000		
2	2	Embree Slough*	4120±	4130	6800		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Results of a nearby aquifer test suggest that there is some vertical separation between the shallow and deeper water-bearing zones which leads to a finding that there is poor hydraulic connection with nearby reaches of the sloughs. *Embree Slough in this area may be channelized and called the Upper Hanley Canal. Malheur Lake is likely the regional discharge area for the aquifer.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Poison Cr Sl > Ninemile Sl at mouth (31200106).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		Щ	Ц_			<u> </u>		├ ─ <u>└</u>		
						<u> </u>				
					-					

Version: 08/15/2003

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments:T	his section does	not apply.						

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells				-							
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul_	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
Dietrib	uted Well	6											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS									7.5			
	ence CFS		_										
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												-
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
	% Nat. Q												
	% Nat. Q												
(~) . /													
(D) = (A	.) > (C)												
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	9/0	%	%	%	%	%	9/0	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

olication G-16997continued	Date: February 5, 2009
Basis for impact evaluation:	
690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or d Rights Section.	letrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the V
under this permit can be regulated if it is found to s i. The permit should contain condition #	f(s)
ii. The permit should contain special con-	dition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions	
Walker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the l	iews, particularly G-16762; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Gr Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic , 2008, Stream Assessment for Division 9 Review in the Malheur L
Basin.	The state of the s

D1.	Well #:	Logid:
D2.	a. review field c. repor	does not meet current well construction standards based upon: v of the well log; inspection by
D3.	a. const b. comn c. permi d. permi	construction deficiency: intutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; ningles water from more than one ground water reservoir; its the loss of artesian head; its the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; (specify)
D4.	THE WELL	construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.	THE WELL	 a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.
D6.		b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction e Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
THI	S SECTION TO	D BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7.	Well construct	tion deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
	(Enfo	rcement Section Signature) , 200
D8.	☐ Route to Wa	ter Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

Date: February 5, 2009

Application G-16997 continued