## PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water	r Rights S	ection				Date	e <u>3</u>	3/18/200	9		
FROM	<b>I</b> :	Grou	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section _	Donn	Miller						
Reviewer's Name													
SODI	<b>X</b> 1.	дррп		1/10/		Su	perseues re		1		Date of Re-	view(s)	
<b>PUBL</b> OAR 6 welfare to deten the pres	<b>IC INTI</b> <b>590-310-1</b> <i>e, safety as</i> rmine who sumption	EREST 30 (1) 7 and heal ether the criteria	<u>F PRESU</u> The Depart th as descr e presumpt . <b>This revi</b>	MPTION; ment shall p ibed in ORS ion is establ ew is based	GROUN presume th 537.525. ished. OA upon ava	<b>DWATE</b> at a propos Departmen R 690-310- <b>ilable info</b>	<b>R</b> eed groundw t staff review 140 allows rmation and	<i>ater use will</i> v ground wat the proposed <b>l agency pol</b>	<i>ensure</i> ter app use be <b>icies ir</b>	e the pres lications e modifie n place a	<i>ervation</i> under OA d or cond <b>t the time</b>	of the pu AR 690-3 itioned to e of evalu	<i>blic</i> 10-140 5 meet <b>1ation</b> .
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIC	<u>DN</u> : A	pplicant's	Name:	Brad Lind	l		(	County:	Jackso	<u>n</u>
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) 0.0	212 cfs from	m <u>one</u>	well	(s) in the	Rogue					_Basin,
		Little B	utte Creel	<u>x</u>		subb	asin Qu	ad Map: <u>E</u>	agle P	oint			
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use: d aquif	nui er data (att	rsery, irriga ach and nu	<u>ition on 1</u> mber logs	acre Seas for existir	sonality: <u>3/1</u> ng wells; ma	to 10/31, yea ark proposed	<u>ir roui</u> 1 wells	<u>nd for nu</u> as such	irsery under log	gid):	
Wel 1	Log	id	Applican s Well #	t' Pro	oposed juifer*	Propose Rate(cf	Proposed Location Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q)			Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36			
1	Jack 5	7820	1	cla	ystone	0.0202	2 36S/	1W-2 NW-S	E	338'S, 499'E fr center ¼ cor S 2			or S 2
2 3													
4													
5 * Alluvi	um CRB	Bedrocl	7										
Well	Well Elev	First Water	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth	Seal Interval	Casing Intervals	Liner Intervals	Perf Or S	orations Screens	Well Yield	Draw Down	Test Type
1	ft msl 1485	ft bls	30	12/12/05	(ft) 165	(ft) 0-24	(ft) 0-42	(ft) 0-165	40-1	(ft) 65	(gpm) 45	(ft)	B
-	1100	20		12/12/00	100	0 21	0 12	0 102	-10 1	00			
-													
Line data	from or -	liantiar	for propage	l wells									
<ul> <li>A4. Comments: <u>The well develops water from the Colestin Formation which is described as water-deposited tuffs and conglomerates with a few interbedded volcanic flows. Claystone, sandstone, and conglomerate are common driller descriptions. Claystone aquifer is extremely common in section 2 wells. Yields on logs are variable (0-100 gpm) and there are</u></li> </ul>													

several deepenings.

A5. **Provisions of the <u>Rogue</u>** 

\_\_\_\_\_Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water  $\Box$  are, or  $\boxtimes$  are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: <u>NA</u>

A6. Well(s) #\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Comments: NA

## B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water\* for the proposed use:
  - **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, or **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. \* This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. \* This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or c.
  - will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d.
    - The permit should contain condition #(s) **7C, 7F** i.
    - The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. ii.
    - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- **Condition** to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface; B2. a.
  - **Condition** to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface; b.
  - **Condition** to allow ground water production only from the c. \_ ground water reservoir between approximately\_\_\_\_\_\_ft. and \_\_\_\_\_\_ft. below land surface;
  - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

**Describe injury** –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: There is limited observation well data in this area. A well in section 1 that is also completed in claystone gives something information. The SWL's are typically about 20 feet along Stevens Road. Upland wells have deeper SWL's. The storage is probably pretty low in the claystone aquifer so I suspect that the seasonable changes with use can easily be 20+ feet. Water-bearing zones are identified as only a few feet thick. The application doesn't seek much water. I am still concerned that the use could produce problems for close neighbors with well interference and seasonal depletion being the main factors. The hydraulics of others wells is not at all clear since there may large drawdown demands to produce domestic water. Condition 7C sets decline and interference limits but I'm not sure that water level measurement annually in March can show more long-term trends on the annual peaks. In any case, the condition still provides a foothold for regulation based on seasonal conflicts.

## C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel 1	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	claystone		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>JACK 57820 and neighboring well logs</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Unnamed drainage to west	1453	1440	1500	$\boxtimes$ $\Box$ $\Box$	$\square$
1	2	Unnamed drainage to east	1453	1453	4200	$\boxtimes$ $\Box$ $\Box$	$\Box$
1	3	Little Butte Creek	1453	1310	3200		$\square$

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The water-bearing zones in the claystone are very deep and the nearby creeks are usually dry</u>. The unnamed drainages are ephemeral and it makes some sense to conclude a winter <u>connection, for the proposed year round use, when aquifer and stream heads are similar</u>. Those estimated locations provide the distance measure. The connection to Little Butte Creek is probably through these drainages since the well is in a saddle that straddles them.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Little Butte Creek>Rogue R – at mouth

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < <sup>1/4</sup> mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1						23.3-297		<1%	
1	2						23.3-297		<1%	

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?			
Comments:	Comments: <u>NA</u>										

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
NA		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Dictrik	wtod Wol	c											
Distrit		15											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. O												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A$	A) > (C)	$\checkmark$											
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) =	otal interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural f	low at 80% exceed. as
CFS;	(D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by $80\%$ f	low as percentage.

15,	(D) = nightight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation: <u>NA</u>
24b.	<b>690-09-040</b> (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wate Rights Section.
5. [	☐ <b>If properly conditioned</b> , the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
6. S <u>ea</u> <u>n</u>	W / GW Remarks and Conditions <u>The connection with surface water appears seasonal</u> . <u>The unnamed drainage to the</u> ast and west are ephemeral and carry flow during winter runoff periods. During the winter, the aquifer recharges up to ear surface levels. At such times, there is a hydraulic connection between the well and the drainages that are flowing
<u>w</u> <u>w</u> <u>P</u> a	vater. These episodes are the focus of consideration for stream depletion. It seems to me that, for the most part, ground water discharges very slowly to the drainages. The discharge may be commonly intercepted by plants in the low areas. Wumping by wells increases the seasonal depletion. The winter recharge potential then takes a little longer to fill up the auifer before devoting itself to surface flow.
<u>11</u> <u>d</u>	on't change much. The point of common head at the identified drainage doesn't get much closer.
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
R (1	References Used: <u>Well Reports, USGS Atlas HA-392, Availability and Quality of Ground Water in the Medford Area</u> 1971), WRD Water availability tables
_	
_	
_	
—	

Applic	cation G- <u>17169</u>	continued	Date	3/18/2009
DI	<b>W</b> oll #.	Locid		
D1.	wen #.			
D2.	THE WELL does r	ot meet current well construction sta	indards based upon:	
	a. review of the	ne well log;		
	b. $\Box$ field inspec	tion by		;
	d $\Box$ other: (spec	vify)		
		,iiy)		
D3.	THE WELL constr	uction deficiency:		
	a. Constitutes	a health threat under Division 200 rules	s;	
	$c$ $\Box$ permits the	loss of artesian head.		
	d. $\square$ permits the	de-watering of one or more ground wa	ter reservoirs;	
	e. d other: (spec	cify)	,	
D4	THF WFI L const	nction deficiency is described as follo	)WS•	
D4.		uction ucherency is described as ion		
D5.	THE WELL	a. 🔲 was, or 🗌 was not constructed	l according to the standards in	n effect at the time of
		original construction or most re	cent modification.	
		h 🗌 I don't know if it met standarde	at the time of construction	
		b. I don't know if it net standards	s at the time of construction.	
D6. [	<b>Route to the Enfor</b>	cement Section. I recommend withho	lding issuance of the permit u	intil evidence of well reconstruction
	is filed with the Dep	artment and approved by the Enforcem	ent Section and the Ground V	Vater Section.
THIS	SECTION TO BE	COMPLETED BY ENFORCEM	ENT PERSONNEL	
_				
D7.	Well construction de	eficiency has been corrected by the follo	owing actions:	
				, 200
	(Enforceme	ent Section Signature)		

D8. 
Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).