PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR CROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

1001			OI KLVI					LICAIN		<u>,</u>				
TO:		Wate	r Rights Se	ction				Date	e	March 3	<u>80, 2009</u>			
FROM	[:	Grou	nd Water/H	lydrology	Section _	Mich	ael Zwart							
SUBJI	CT.	Appl	ication G	17155			iewer's Name	view of						
SODI	LC1.	Аррп		1/155	<u> </u>	Su	perseues re				Date of Re	view(s)	<u> </u>	
OAR 6 welfare to deter the pres A. <u>GE</u>	990-310-1 <i>s, safety a</i> rmine who sumption NERAL	30 (1) <i>and heal</i> ether th criteria	th as describ e presumptio This revie DRMATIO	nent shall p bed in ORS on is establ w is based <u>N</u> : A	<i>presume th</i> 5 537.525. lished. OA l upon ava applicant's	at a propos Departmen R 690-310 ilable info Name:	sed groundw t staff review -140 allows rmation and T. V. Lead	eater use will w ground wat the proposed d agency pol ch	ter ap use t icies	re the press plications be modifie in place a	<i>vervation</i> under OA d or cond t the tim County:	of the pu AR 690-3 itioned to e of evalue <u>Harney</u>	10-140 o meet uation.	
A1.	Applica	cant(s) seek(s) <u>1.5</u> cfs from <u>one</u> well(s) in the <u>Malheur Lake</u> Basin,												
A2. A3.			er data (atta	ch and nu			sonality:	ad Map: <u>W</u> March 1 te ark proposed	o Oct	ober 31		gid):		
Wel 1	Logid Applicant' Well #		PI	Proposed Aquifer*		ProposedLocationRate(cfs)(T/R-S QQ-Q)			Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36					
1	Proposed 1			Va	lley fill	1.5	24S/3	3E-15 NE-S	3E-15 NE-SW 2510		N, 1385' E	fr SW co	r S 15	
2	- L				J									
3														
4 5														
-	um, CRB,	Bedroc	k											
Well	Well Elev	First Water	r SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals		forations Screens (ft)	Well Yield	Draw Down	Test Type	
1	ft msl 4116	ft bls 40	25		200	0-20	0-200	(ft) None	150	-200	(gpm)	(ft)		
-		10				0 20	0 200	Tione	100	_00				
Use data	a from app	lication	for proposed	wells.										
A4.	Comm	ents: <u>A</u>	ll proposed	construct	ion inforn	nation fron	n applicatio	n						
													<u> </u>	
A5. 🛛	manage (Not all	ment o basin 1	the <u>Malheu</u> f ground war rules contain	ter hydraul such prov	visions.)		urface water	ules relative t	to the ∑ are	developm e not , activ	ent, class vated by t	ification his appli	and/or cation.	

Comments:

A6. Well(s) #_____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, or **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or c.
 - will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d.
 - The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N i.
 - The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. ii.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. **Condition** to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface; a.
 - Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface; b.
 - **Condition** to allow ground water production only from the c. _ ground water reservoir between approximately______ft. and______ft. below land surface;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: <u>Region Manager Ivan Gall recommends use of Condition 7N in this basin.</u>

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel 1	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Interbedded clay, sand and possibly pumice		\boxtimes
	(Qal and possibly Tvs of GW Report 16)		
1			

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The basin-fill sediments are regionally unconfined, but may be locally</u> <u>semiconfined</u>. Malheur Lake is the regional discharge area for the ground-water system.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Hot Springs Slough, trib to	4091±	4109	11200		
		Malheur Slough					

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Malheur Slough is dry in most years and therefore is not considered</u> for Division 9 reviews, per memo by Ivan Gall, January 15, 2008.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>HOT SPRINGS SL > MALHEUR SL - AT MOUTH</u> (31200202).

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ^{1/4} mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Date: March 30, 2009

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

and evaluation and miniations upply as in courable to:											
SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?		

Comments: This section does not apply.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
													
Distrit	outed Wel	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
(A) = To	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A	A) > (C)	\checkmark											
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) =	total interference as CFS;	(B) = WAB calculated natura	al flow at 80% exceed.	as CFS; (C)	= 1% of calculated natura	al flow at 80% exce	eed. as
CES	(D) - highlight the check	mark for each month where ()	A) is greater than (C) :	(\mathbf{F}) – total int	terference divided by 80%	6 flow as percentag	7e

CI D ,	$(D) = \inf \inf (C), (D) = \inf (D) = \bigoplus (D) ($
	Basis for impact evaluation: <u>This section likely applies, but there is no WAB data for Malheur Lake and interference</u>
	is likely to be very diffuse. Therefore, no calculations of potential interference were attempted.

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i. The permit should contain condition #(s)_____

ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions

References Used: Local well logs; local recent reviews; GW Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Oregon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008, Stream Assessment for Division 9 Review in the Malheur Lakes Basin. D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	a. review b. field in c. report	bes not meet current well construction standards based upon: of the well log; spection by	_;;
D3.	a constit b commi c permits d permits	enstruction deficiency: utes a health threat under Division 200 rules; ngles water from more than one ground water reservoir; s the loss of artesian head; s the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; (specify)	
D4.		onstruction deficiency is described as follows:	
D5.	THE WELL	 a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. 	
D6.		nforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	n

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL

D7. Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:

(Enforcement Section Signature)

D8.
Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

_

_____, 200_____.