PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

1001			JI KLV				ILK AL	LICAIN	<u> 143</u>				
TO:		Wate	r Rights S	ection				Date	e	<u>April 13</u>	<u>, 2009</u>		
FROM	[:	Grou	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section _	Marc	Norton						
aunu					_	Revi	ewer's Name						
SUBJE	CI:	Appl	ication G-	17091		Su	persedes re	view of			U8 Date of Re	view(s)	
											Duit of he	rie m(a)	
OAR 6 welfare to deter	90-310-1. , <i>safety an</i> mine whe	30 (1) <i>nd heal</i> other th	The Depart th as descr e presumpt	<i>ibed in ORS</i> ion is establ	bresume the S 537.525. lished. OAl	<i>at a propos</i> Department R 690-310-	<i>ed groundw</i> t staff review 140 allows t	ater use will of ground wate he proposed of agency poli	er appl use be	lications u modified	inder OA or condi	R 690-31 tioned to	10-140 meet
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFC	<u>)RMATIO</u>	<u>DN</u> : A	applicant's	Name:	Rocky & J	lana Webb		(County:	Wasco	
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) <u>0.4</u>	5 cfs fro	m <u>1</u>	well	(s) in the	Hood					_ Basin,
	0	Gooseb	erry Cree	<u>k – Columb</u>	oja River	subb	asin Qu	ad Map: <u> </u>	he Da	lles Norti	<u> </u>		
A2.	Propose	d use:	Irrigation	+ Storage	+ Reservo	ir Seas	onality	March 1 to	Oct	31 for Ir	+ vear-r	baund	
A3.								rk proposed					
Well	Logi	d	Applicant Well #			Propos Rate(cf	Proposed Location			Location, metes and bounds, e.g			
1	WASC	1632	1 wein #		quifer* CRB	0.45				2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 100' N, 1905' W fr E cor S 20			
2									<u> </u>		,		
3													
4	,												······
5 * Alluvi	um, CRB,	Bedroc	L						ł				
7 110 11	uin, CRD,	beuroe	~							-			
	Well	First		SWL	Well	Seal	Casing	Liner		orations	Well	Draw	Test
Well	Elev ft msl	Wate ft bls	r f hle	Date	Depth (ft)	Interval (ft)	Intervals (ft)	Intervals (ft)		Screens (ft)	Yield (gpm)	Down (ft)	Туре
1	260	22	194	2/25/08	315	0 - 124	+1 - 124				(gpiii) 120		Air
Use data	from appl	ication	for proposed	l wells.		L	1	L			I	l	المسمود المسمون
			• •			-	. 4 . 9 .				1	4	
A4. are loc:				al model d				ology and gra	ound	water. 1	he prope	erty and	well
							and a second						
Reques	ted disch	arge r	ate is 200	gpm = 0.45	cfs								
A5. 🛛	manage	ment of basin r	ules contai		isions.)	ected to sur		tiles relative to \Box are, or \boxtimes					

A6. Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

Comments: NA

Date_____ April 13, 2009

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- **B**1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - \Box is over appropriated, \Box is not over appropriated, or \boxtimes cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or C.
 - **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d. i. \square The permit should contain condition #(s) = 7N - Annual Measurement + Large measurement and
 - reporting with totalizing flow meter ;
 - The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. ii.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface; a.
 - Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface; b.
 - Condition to allow ground water production only from the __________ft. and ________ft. below land surface; c. ____ ground
 - Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to d. occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury -as related to water availability- that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: ______ The well for this application develops water from the Columbia River Basalts. The ground water level as reported by the driller on the water well report was 194 feet below land surface which is at an elevation of about 66 feet. The water was encountered in the basalts at a depth of 283 feet or at an elevation of about -20 feet. The normal pool elevation behind Bonneville is 72 feet. The elevation of the bottom of theColumbia River is about -20 feet. There are several geologic structures in this area including a fault and an anticline; both of which trend east-west, perpendicular to the Columbia River. It appears that the basalt flows in the vicinity of the proposed development are relatively horizontal supporting determination that well WASC 51632 is hydraulically connected to the Columbia River.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
CRBG	\square	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Ground water levels rose above where encountered in the borehole.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Gooseberry Creek	66	240	450		
	2	Columbia River	66	72	3860		
	Ī						

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The well is over 1/4 mile from the Columbia River and develops water from a confined aquifer.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>Gooseberry Creek</u>

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🛛 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	sw #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	2									

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			(4.5)		(0.5)		······································	

Comments: <u>There is no WAB for the Columbia River; therefore there is not in-stream water right or 80% of natural flow values.</u> The model used to predict interference is not appropriate for use in calculating interference because of the size of the river.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	stributed												
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a	is CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
										<u> </u>			
	uted Well		F - L	Man	A) <i>(</i>	1	Test		C	0.4	Mari	Dee
Well	SW#	Jan %	Feb %	Mar %	Apr %	May %	Jun %	Jul %	Aug %	Sep %	Oct %	Nov %	Dec %
11/11/0	opo	70	<u>%</u>	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70		70
Well Q a													
Interfere	nce UFS	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	000	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70		70	70	70
Well Q a													
Interfere	nce CFS												
l		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a													
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a													
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a													
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q a													
Interfere	nce CFS												
(A) = Tot	al Interf.												
	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1 %	6 Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A})$) > (C)												
	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%


(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.


			April 13, 2009
Basis for impact evaluation	uation:		
	·····		
. 690-09-040 (5) (b) Rights Section.	The potential to impair or detrimer	ntally affect the public interest is to be	determined by the W
under this permit car	h be regulated if it is found to substanti	-	e, and/or ground water
ii. The per	mit should contain condition #(s) mit should contain special condition(s)	as indicated in "Remarks" below;	
Sw / Gw Remarks and	Conditions		
References Used:			
Sherrod, David R. and So	cott, William E., Preliminary Geologic Open File Report 95-219, 1995.	Map of the Mount Hood 30- by 60- Mi	nute Quadrangle, North
Sherrod, David R. and So Cascade Range, Oregon,	Open File Report 95-219, 1995.	Map of the Mount Hood 30- by 60- Mi , Oregon, U.S. Geological Survey Water	
Sherrod, David R. and So Cascade Range, Oregon, Grady, Stephen J., Grour Report 81-1108, 1983. Swanson, D. A. and Othe	Open File Report 95-219, 1995. nd Water Resources in the Hood Basin	, Oregon, U.S. Geological Survey Water ap of the Columbia River Basalt Group,	r Resources Investigation

Applicat	tion G-17091	continued	Date	April 13, 2009
D. <u>WE</u>	LL CONSTRUCT	<u>ION, OAR 690-200</u>		
D1.	Well #:	Logid:		
D2.	a. review of the b. field inspector. report of C	ot meet current well construction star he well log; tion by WRE ify)		
D3.	b. c. b. commingles c. permits the d. permits the	uction deficiency: a health threat under Division 200 rules; s water from more than one ground wate loss of artesian head; de-watering of one or more ground wate ify)	r reservoir; er reservoirs;	
D4.	THE WELL constr	uction deficiency is described as follow	ws:	
D5.		 a was, or . was not constructed original construction or most rec b I don't know if it met standards 	cent modification.	the time of
D6.		cement Section. I recommend withhold artment and approved by the Enforceme		
THIS S	SECTION TO BE	COMPLETED BY ENFORCEME	ENT PERSONNEL	
D7.	Well construction de	ficiency has been corrected by the follow	wing actions:	
	(Enformance)	nt Section Signature)		, 200
D8.	·	nt Section Signature)	on logs to this page).	

Water Availability Analysis

GOOSEBERRY CR> COLUMBIA R- AT MOUTH HOOD BASIN





GROUND WATER APPLICATION g-17091 - WEBB WASCO COUNTY, THE DALLES NORTH QUAD

