

June 30, 2005

TO ALL PARTIES TO THE KLAMATH ADJUDICATION CASE NO. 55, CLAIM 256

Re:

Klamath Adjudication - Case No. 121, Claim No. 160, Contest Nos. 2043, 3154,

3401, 3829 and 4170

DOJ File No. 690-600-GN0092-03

Dear Parties:

Enclosed for your records is a fully executed copy of the Stipulation to Resolve Contests and Certificate of Service in the above-entitled matter. This agreement resolves all the remaining issues in this case.

Since all issues in this case have been resolved, there is no need to hold a hearing. Accordingly, the Oregon Water Resources Department will withdraw this case from the Office of Administrative Hearings, pursuant to OAR 137-033-0515(4).

Thank you very much for your courtesies and cooperation in resolving this matter.

Sincerely,

Jesse D. Ratcliffe

Stephen E.A. Sanders

Assistant Attorneys General

Natural Resources Section

JDR:jrs/GENN1324 Enclosure c: Service List

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS 1 STATE OF OREGON for the 2 WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 3 In the Matter of the Determination of the Relative Rights of the Waters of the Klamath River, 4 a Tributary of the Pacific Ocean STIPULATION TO RESOLVE Horsefly Irrigation District; Langell Valley CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and Irrigation District; Medford Irrigation District; Rogue River Valley Irrigation 4170 District; United States Bureau of 7 Reclamation: The Klamath Tribes; Klamath Irrigation District; Klamath Drainage Case No. 121 8 District; Tulelake Irrigation District; Klamath Basin Improvement District; Ady Claim No. 160 Ditch Improvement Company; Enterprise Irrigation District; Klamath Hills District Contest Nos. 2043, 3154¹, 3401², 3829 and 10 Improvement Co.; Malin Irrigation District; 4170 Midland District Improvement Company; 11 Pine Grove Irrigation District; Pioneer District Improvement Company; Poe Valley 12 Improvement District; Shasta View Irrigation District; Sunnyside Irrigation 13 District; Don Johnston & Son; Bradley S. Luscombe; Berlva Prichard; Don Vincent; 14 Randy Walthall; Inter-County Title Co.; Winema Hunting Lodge, Inc.; Reames Golf 15 and Country Club; Van Brimmer Ditch Co.; Plevna District Improvement Company; and 16 Collins Products, LLC, Contestants, 17 v. 18 19 JELD-WEN, inc., Claimant/Contestant. 20 21 22 23 ¹ On June 4, 2002, Horsefly Irrigation District and Langell Valley Irrigation Districts withdrew from participation in Contest No. 3154. On November 28, 2000, Contestant Don Vincent informed the Adjudicator that he had sold his interest in the property giving rise to his claims and this contest and was no longer a participant in this contested case. On June 24, 2002, Contestant Berlva Pritchard informed the Office of Administrative Hearings that she had sold her interest in the property giving rise to her claims and contests and was no longer a participant in this 26 contested case.

Page 1 - STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

- The Oregon Water Resources Department ("OWRD"), Claimant/Contestant JELD-
- 2 WEN, inc. ("Claimant"), Contestant Klamath Project Water Users ("KPWU"), Contestant
- 3 Medford Irrigation District ("MID"), Contestant Rogue River Valley Irrigation District
- 4 ("RRVID"), Contestant United States of America, and Contestant The Klamath Tribes
- 5 (collectively, "Contestants") hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

6 A. STIPULATED FACTS

- 7 1. On February 1, 1991, Claimant filed Claim 160 in the Klamath Adjudication,
- 8 claiming a total of 16.62 cubic feet per second from Upper Klamath Lake and drainage into a
- 9 canal for irrigation of 37 acres, industrial use, and fire suppression; with a claimed period of
- 10 use of May 1 through October 1 for irrigation, and a claimed period of year-round use for
- 11 industrial use and fire suppression; and with a claimed priority date of 1905.
- 2. On October 4, 1999, the Adjudicator issued his Preliminary Evaluation of
- 13 Claim 160, finding that use of water or construction of works for the application of water
- 14 under the Claim occurred in 1905, but finding that the record did not contain evidence of
- 15 completion of works within a reasonable time after commencement of construction or use of
- 6 water. On this latter basis, the Adjudicator preliminarily denied the Claim.
- On May 5, 2000, Claimant filed contest 2043 to Claim 160, and raised the
- 18 issue in said contest of whether there was sufficient evidence to support the right as claimed.
- 19 4. On May 8, 2000, the KPWU filed Contest 3401 to Claim 160, and raised the
- 20 issue in said contest of whether there was sufficient evidence to support the right as claimed
- 21 and whether the required elements were established for this Claim.
- 22 5. On May 8, 2000, Horsefly Irrigation District ("HID"), Langell Valley
- 23 Irrigation District ("LVID"), RRVID, and MID, as trustees for their affected patrons, filed
- 24 Contest 3154, and raised the issue of whether waters from Four Mile Lake and Fish Lake
- 25 should be "water available" for appropriation in the Klamath Adjudication. On June 4, 2002,
- 26 HID and LVID withdrew from participation in Contest No. 3154.
- Page 2 STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

- On May 8, 2000, the United States of America filed Contest 3829, and raised
- 2 the issue in said contest of whether there was sufficient evidence to support the right as
- 3 claimed, whether there was sufficient information on the development of water on the
- 4 claimed place of use prior to February 24, 1909 to establish a vested pre-1909 water right,
- 5 and whether the claimed diversion rate was too large for the valid number of irrigated acres
- 6 within the place of use.
- 7. On May 8, 2000, The Klamath Tribes filed Contest 4170, and raised the issue
- 8 in said contest of whether there was sufficient evidence to support the right as claimed,
- 9 whether there was sufficient information on the development of water on this place of use
- 10 prior to February 24, 1909 to establish a vested pre-1909 water right, and whether the
- 11 claimed diversion rate was too large for the valid number of irrigated acres within the place
- 12 of use.
- 8. On November 12, 2002, Claimant filed a response to United States First Set of
- 14 Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents Propounded to Claimant JELD-
- 15 WEN, inc., and a response to Klamath Project Water Users' Interrogatories and Requests for
- 16 Production of Documents to Claimant JELD-WEN, inc. The responses included materials
- 17 responsive to the earlier finding by the Adjudicator that the record at the time of the
- 18 Preliminary Evaluation did not contain evidence of completion of works within a reasonable
- 19 time after commencement of construction or use of water. In addition to these materials, the
- 20 Claimant has submitted additional materials into the official record for this case.
- 9. OWRD, Claimant, and Contestants agree that Contests 2043, 3154, 3401,
- 22 3829, and 4170 can be resolved without the need for a hearing pursuant to the terms outlined
- 23 below.

24 B. TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT

- 25 1. OWRD, Claimant, and Contestants agree that Claim 160 should be approved
- 26 by the Adjudicator as described below:
- Page 3 STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

- a. POINT OF DIVERSION LOCATION: Government Lot 6 (NE 1/4, NW 1/4),
 Section 19, T38S, R9E, W.M.
- b. SOURCE: Upper Klamath Lake and drainage into diversion canal.
- 4 c. USE: Industrial and fire suppression.
- d. AMOUNT ACTUALLY BENEFICIALLY USED: For industrial use, rate of
- 6 0.15 cfs, and for industrial use for the purpose of the maintenance of a fire suppression
- 7 system, rate of 13.13 cfs, for total rate of 13.28 cfs, measured at the point of diversion.
- 8 e. PERIOD OF USE: Year-round.
- 9 f. PRIORITY DATE: December 31, 1905.
- g. PLACE OF USE: Government Lots 1, 5, and 6 of Section 18, and
- 11 Government Lots 4, 5, 6, and 7 of Section 19, T38S, R9E W.M.
- 12 h. OTHER: The use of water for the purpose of the maintenance of a fire
- 13 suppression system cannot be transferred to any other use.
- 14 2. MID and RRVID anticipate that it will be necessary at some point in the
- 15 future to litigate the issue of whether the waters of Fourmile Lake, Cascade Canal, or the
- 16 tributaries thereto are legally and physically available to satisfy senior downstream calls in
- 17 the Klamath Basin. Claimant agrees not to seek party status or otherwise voluntarily
- 18 participate in such legal proceedings, unless the OWRD asserts that the waters of Fourmile
- 19 Lake, Cascade Canal, or the tributaries thereto are the only source of water available to
- 20 satisfy a call by Claimant based on the right claimed in Claim 160. The United States of
- 21 America, The Klamath Tribes, and the KPWU take no position on this provision of the
- 22 stipulation and such provision is not binding on the United States of America, KPWU, or The
- 23 Klamath Tribes.

26

- 24 3. OWRD, Claimant, and Contestants agree that under the terms and provisions
- 25 of this Stipulation, Contests 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170 have been satisfactorily

age 4 - STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

- 1 resolved, and such resolution ends the need for further proceedings before the Administrative
- 2 Law Judge on the Contests.
- 3 4. Based on the Stipulation of the Parties that Claim 160 and the Contests can be
- 4 resolved without the need for further proceedings, OWRD adjudication staff hereby
- 5 recommends to the Adjudicator that Claim 160 be withdrawn from the Office of
- 6 Administrative Hearings. OWRD adjudication staff further recommends that Claim 160 be
- 7 approved in the Finding of Fact and Order of Determination issued by the Adjudicator in
- 8 accordance with the terms of paragraph B.1., above.
- 9 5. If the Finding of Fact and Order of Determination issued by the Adjudicator
- 10 for Claim 160 does not conform to the terms set forth in paragraph B.1., above, Claimant and
- 11 Contestants reserve any rights they may have to file exceptions to the Finding of Fact and
- 12 Order of Determination as to Claim 160 in the Circuit Court for Klamath County, and reserve
- 13 any rights they may have to participate in any future proceedings authorized by law
- 14 concerning Claim 160.
- 15 6. Upon issuance of the Certificate by OWRD after conclusion of the
- 16 proceedings in the Circuit Court, any violation of the terms therein shall constitute a violation
- 17 of the Certificate and shall not give rise to any private cause of action by the Contestants.
- 18 Enforcement as to any violation of the Certificate shall occur pursuant to Oregon law
- The Parties agree that this Stipulation has been reached through good faith
- 20 negotiations for the purpose of resolving legal disputes, including pending administrative
- 21 action. The Parties agree that no offers and/or compromises made in the course of
- 22 negotiations shall be construed as admissions against interest. The Parties agree that this
- 23 Stipulation shall not be offered as evidence or treated as an admission regarding any matter
- 24 and may not be used in proceedings on any other claim or contest whatsoever, except that the
- 25 Stipulation may be used in any future proceeding to interpret and/or enforce the terms of this
- 26 Stipulation. Further, the Parties agree that neither the Stipulation nor any of its terms shall be

Page 5 - STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

- 1 used to establish precedent with respect to any other claim or contest in the Klamath Basin
- 2 Water Rights Adjudication.
- 3 8. This Stipulation shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the
- 4 Parties and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, trustors, trustees, beneficiaries,
- 5 predecessors, successors, affiliated and related entities, officers, directors, principals, agents,
- 6 employees, assigns, representatives and all persons, firms, associations, and/or corporations
- 7 connected with them.
- 8 9. Each Party to this Stipulation represents, warrants, and agrees that the person
- 9 who executed this Stipulation on its behalf has the full right and authority to enter into this
- 0 Stipulation on behalf of that party and bind that party to the terms of the Stipulation.
- 11 10. The terms, provisions, conditions, and covenants of this Stipulation are not
- 12 severable, except, if any term, provision, condition, or covenant of this Stipulation is held by
- 13 a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remainder of the
- 14 terms, provisions, conditions, and covenants shall remain in full force and effect and shall in
- 15 no way be affected, impaired, or invalidated.
- 16 11. This Stipulation may be executed in several counterparts and all documents so
- 17 executed shall constitute one Stipulation, binding on the Parties, notwithstanding that the
- 18 Parties did not sign the same original or the same counterparts. Delivery of an executed
- 19 signature page to this Stipulation by facsimile transmission shall be as effective as delivery of
- 20 an original signed counterpart of this Stipulation.
- 21 12. This Stipulation comprises the entire agreement and no promise, inducement,
- 22 or representation other than herein set forth has been made, offered, and/or agreed upon, and
- 23 the terms of this Stipulation are contractual and not merely a recital.
- 24 13. The Parties agree to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

2526

Page 6 - STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

Page 7

STOEL RIVES LLP

Page 7 - STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

Page 7 - STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

STOEL RIVES LLP

Page 8 - STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

Page 9 - STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, and 4170

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 30th day of June 2005, I served the within LETTER TO ALL PARTIES with STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2043, 3154, 3401, 3829, AND 4170 on the parties hereto by regular first-class mail (with a courtesy copy by e-mail where an e-mail address is listed below), a true, exact and full copy thereof to:

VIA STATE SHUTTLE MAIL

Teri Hranac Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, OR 97301-1271 teri.k.hranac@wrd.state.or.us

Carl V. Ullman Water Adjudication Project The Klamath Tribes P.O. Box 957 Chiloquin, OR 97624 bullman3@earthlink.net

Steven L. Shropshire
Jordan Schrader PC
PO Box 230669
Portland, OR 97281
steve.shropshire@jordanschrader.com

Jayne T. Davis
Assistant Corporate Counsel
Jeld-Wen, Inc.
3250 Lakeport Blvd.
Klamath Falls, OR 97601
jayned@jeld-wen.com

Stephen R. Palmer U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Regional Solicitor U.S. Department of the Interior 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-1712 Sacramento, CA 95825 Paul Simmons / Andrew Hitchings Somach, Simmons & Dunn Hall of Justice Building 813 Sixth Street, Third Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 psimmons@lawssd.com ahitchings@lawssd.com

Walter Echo-Hawk
Native American Rights Fund
1506 Broadway
Boulder, CO 80302
wechohwk@narf.org
babby@narf.org

William M. Ganong Attorney at Law 514 Walnut Street Klamath Falls, OR 97601 wganong@aol.com

David E. Filippi / Krista I. Born Stoel Rives LLP 900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600 Portland, OR 97204-1268 defilippi@stoel.com kiborn@stoel.com

Jeff Eicher, Authorized Representative Rogue River Valley Irrigation District 3139 Merriman Road Medford, OR 97501 rrvid@grrtech.com

Jesse D. Ratcliffe, #04394 Stephen E.A. Sanders, #85321 Assistant Attorneys General