PUBLIC INTEDEST DEVIEW FOR CROUND WATER ADDITCATIONS

<u>1 UDI</u>		LINE	JI KEVI				AT EN ALL	LICATI	UNU	<u>)</u>						
TO:		Wate	r Rights Se	ction				Dat	e	April 23	, 2009					
FROM	I:	Grou	nd Water/H	lydrology	Section	Michael Zwart										
SUBJI	CT	Appl	igntion G	17165			Reviewer's Name									
SODI	ZC1.	Аррь	ication G	1/105		Su	perseues re				Date of Re	view(s)				
OAR 6 welfare to deten the pres	90-310-1 <i>e, safety a</i> rmine who sumption	30 (1) <i>ind heal</i> ether th criteria	<i>th as descril</i> e presumptio	<i>bent shall</i> bed in OR. on is estab w is based	presume th S 537.525. lished. OA l upon ava	at a propos Departmen R 690-310- iilable infor	<i>sed groundw</i> t staff review -140 allows rmation and	ater use will w ground wa the proposed d agency pol wakeview	ter ap l use t l icies	plications be modified in place a	under OA d or cond t the time	AR 690-3 itioned to e of evalu	10-140 o meet			
<u>н. ог</u>				<u></u>	applicant s	I vanie.	10 01 1			`	_ounty	Lan				
A1.	Applica	unt(s) se	eek(s) <u>1.114</u>	4 cfs fro	om one	well	(s) in the	Goose and	l Sum	imer Lake	es		Basin			
	r	Thoma	s Creek			subb	asin Qu	ad Map: <u>L</u>	akevi	iew NE						
A2. A3.			Geot Fer data (atta					asonality: ark propose	d wel	ls as such	under lo		r round			
Wel	-		Applicant'	Pı	roposed	Propos	ed	Location		Location	n, metes a	and boun	ds. e.g.			
1	Log	ıd	s Well #		quifer*		Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q)			2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36						
1	LAKE	2040	1	Va	lley Fill	1.114	1.114 38S/20E-33 SW-SE			330' N	. 2000' W	fr SE con	r S 33			
2				_												
3 4																
5																
* Alluvi	ium, CRB,	Bedroc	k													
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Wate ft bls	r SWL	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)		forations Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type			
1	4805	?	?		450	?	?	?	?		?					
													<u> </u>			
Lise det	from one	ligation	for proposed	walla												
A4.	Commo	ents: <u>W</u>		from 195	9 includes	good lithol	logy, but lac	cks most oth	er inf	formation	. A seco	nd well (CROO			

A5. A5. Provisions of the Goose and Summer Lakes Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water \Box are, or \boxtimes are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:

A6. Well(s) #____

Comments:

Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, or **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or c.
 - **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d.
 - The permit should contain condition #(s) 7L i.
 - The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. ii.
 - iii. \square The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- **Condition** to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface; B2. a.
 - Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface; b.
 - **Condition** to allow ground water production only from the c. _ ground water reservoir between approximately______ft. and ______ft. below land surface;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: The proposed use will be non-consumptive, except for thermal properties. The injection well is located downgradient and therefore should not result in substantial thermal alteration or substantial thermal interference.

RECOMMENDED CONDITION LANGUAGE: All water produced under this permit shall be injected into the authorized well(s). Prior to receiving a certificate of water right, the permit holder shall submit documentation affirming that any applicable additional requirements of the Department's Division 230 rules have been met.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel 1	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Interbedded gravel, sand, silt and clay	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Review of geothermal well logs and reports in the area indicated that most</u> thermal water occurs under confined conditions.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential f Subst. Inter Assumed	rfer.
			it illsi	it illsi		TES NO ASSOMED	YES	NO

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The use of water will be non-consumptive</u>. There is no potential for <u>substantial interference with any surface water sources</u>.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>Warner Creek > Thomas Creek at mouth (31300104).</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ^{1/4} mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Date: April 23, 2009

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	aution and mine	in a second	pij us in CSt						
S	SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: This section does not apply.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	Non-Distributed Wells												
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
													
Distrit	outed Well	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{a}$	otal Interf.										_		
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A})$	(C)	\checkmark											
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

11	
FS; (tal interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
]	Basis for impact evaluation: <u>This section does not apply.</u>
-	
-	
-	
-	
-	
-	
-	
_	
-	
b.	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wate
	Rights Section.
	If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water us under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain condition #(s)
5. SV	V / GW Remarks and Conditions
	ferences Used: <u>Local well logs; recent nearby reviews; regional geologic maps; Town of Lakeview Geothermal</u> ploration Well GTX-1, Construction and Testing, 2002, by ECO:LOGIC Engineering, LLC, Reno, Nevada.
124	procession of the Original Construction and resting, 2002, by ECO, EOOTO Elignitering, EEO, Reno, Devaud.

, 200____.

D. <u>WE</u>	LL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200
D1.	Well #: 1 Logid: LAKE 2040
D2.	THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by c. report of CWRE d. other: (specify)
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency: a. constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b. commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; c. permits the loss of artesian head; d. permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; e. other: (specify)
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.	THE WELL a. a. was, <i>or</i> was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.
	b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
D6.	Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
THIS S	SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL

D7. Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:

(Enforcement Section Signature)

D8.
Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

_