## PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water Rights Section						Date	e June 5,	2009		
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/l	Hydrology	Section _		ael Zwart					
SUBJE	ECT:	Appli	cation G-	17198			ewer's Name persedes re	view of		Date of Re	view(s)	
OAR 6 welfare to deter	<b>90-310-1</b> , <i>safety a</i> mine who	<b>30 (1)</b> <i>I nd heal</i> ether th	The Depart th as descr e presumpt	<i>ibed in ORS</i> ion is establ	oresume the 537.525. Iished. OA	at a propos Departmen R 690-310-	red groundw t staff reviev 140 allows	wground wat the proposed	ensure the prester applications use be modified icies in place a	under OA ed or cond	AR 690-3 itioned to	10-140 o meet
<b>A.</b> <u><b>GE</b></u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIC	<u><b>N</b>:</u> A	pplicant's	Name:	Jason Wil	liams		County:	Baker	
A1.	Applica	ınt(s) se	eek(s) <u>3.3</u> 4	<b>12</b> cfs from	m <u>one</u>	well	(s) in the	Powder ad Map: H	aines			_Basin,
A2. A3.									o October 31 d wells as such	under lo	gid):	
Wel 1	Log	id	Applicans s Well #	PI	oposed quifer*	Propose Rate(cf		Location /R-S QQ-Q)		n, metes a N, 1200' E		
1 2	Propo Propo		1 1A		edrock edrock	3.342		39E-4 NE-SI 39E-4 SE-SI		N, 1275' V N, 1275' V		
3	тторо	seu	IA	В	CUIUCK	3.342	131.	37 <u>L-4</u> SL-SI	1000	11, 12/3	VII SE CC	<i>1</i> 5 4
4												
5 * Alluvi	um, CRB,	Bedrocl	k									
Well  1 1A	ft msl         ft bls         It bls         Date         (ft)           3400         ?         1000±					Seal Interval (ft) 0-100 0-100	Casing Intervals (ft)  0-1000±  0-1000±	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)  Below seal  Below seal	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
Use data  A4.			for proposed	l wells. <b>proposed at</b>	t two alter	nate locati	ons.					
A5. 🖂	manage (Not all	ment of	ules contai	ater hydraul n such prov	ically conr isions.)	nected to su	rface water	ules relative t	to the developm⊠ <b>are not</b> , acti	nent, class	ification his applic	and/or cation.
A6. 🗌	Well(s) Name of	f admir	istrative ar	, rea:		,	, ta	p(s) an aquif	er limited by ar	ı administ	rative res	triction.

Application G-17198	continued	Date: June 5, 2009
---------------------	-----------	--------------------

B. <u>GR</u>	OUN	D WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070
B1.	Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b.	□ will not <i>or</i> □ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	$\square$ will not or $\square$ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:  i.   The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N;  ii.  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.  iii.  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
B2.	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir;
	d.	<ul> <li>■ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.</li> <li>■ Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):</li> </ul>
В3.	in a well the	und water availability remarks:The geology in the area is complex. The proposed well depth will likely result bedrock aquifer being developed since valley-fill deposits are thin or absent here. There are few high production s currently developing the bedrock aquifer. Two recently constructed wells for Inman Ranch about one mile to southeast have reported much less production than was desired. It is therefore possible that the applicant will d to construct additional wells to produce the desired quantity here as well.

Date: June 5, 2009

Application G-17198 continued

horizoi assume	ntal dis ed to be	tance less t	than ¼ mi ally conn	ile from a su	and hydraulic or face water so surface water	urce that p	roduce water	from a	an unco	onfined a	quifer sh	all be	
Well	SW #	Su	ırface Wa	iter Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)		Hydraul Connec NO A		Sub	otentia ost. In Assum Y <b>ES</b>	terf
1	1	Powder	River		3250±	3273	8700		$\boxtimes$				
1	1A	Powder	River		3250±	3273	8300						
	1												
	1							<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
<u> </u>	1							-H	-H	-			
	+					1	-	-	+	<del></del>	_	<del>                                     </del>	
									1 1			l I	
								$\dashv$					
with all	uvial d		hich ove		ation: <u>Hydra</u>								at b
with all connect Water A 590-09- connect that are Compar	Availal  O40 (4)  ted and pertine te the re	th the rive bility Basin Evaluat less than not to that sequested ra	n the well ion of stre 1 mile fr urface wa te against	I(s) are loca eam impacts om a surface ater source, a t the 1% of 8		POWDER that has be Limit eva SW source ow for the	R R > SNAK  een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Wa	ER-A ed or as stream ae strea ater Av	AB UN ssumed rights a m unde	N STR ( to be hy and mining er evaluat ty Basin	72191).  rdraulication is tri (WAB).	ally am flo butary	ows y. is n
with all connect Water A 590-09-connect that are Compar distribut	Availal  O40 (4)  ted and pertine te the re	th the rive bility Basin Evaluat less than not to that sequested ra	n the well ion of stre 1 mile fr urface wa te against	I(s) are loca eam impacts om a surface ater source, a t the 1% of 8	ted within:  for each well water source and not lower to now natural fle	POWDER that has be Limit eva SW source ow for the	R R > SNAK  een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Wa	E R - A ed or as stream he strea hter Av ll is as	AB UN ssumed rights a m unde	N STR ( to be hy and mining er evaluat ty Basin	72191).  rdraulica mum stretion is tri (WAB). he potente days	ally am flo butary	ows y. is n cau
with all connect Water A 190-09-connect that are Compar distribut PSI.	Availal ded and pertine ted by v	eposits, we the the rive bility Basin it to that sequested rawell, use full well < 1/4	n the well ion of street 1 mile frurface watte againstall rate for	eam impacts om a surface ater source, at the 1% of 8 r each well.  Instream Water Right	ted within:  for each well water source and not lower to 0% natural fl Any checked  Instream Water Right Q	POWDER  that has be Limit eva SW source ow for the box ind  Qw > 1%	een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Waicates the week week week week week week week we	E R - A ed or as stream he strea hter Av ll is as	assumed silabili sumed > 1% 80% ural	N STR ( to be hy and mining er evaluat ty Basin to have t  Interfer @ 30 0	72191).  rdraulica mum stretion is tri (WAB). he potente days	ally eam flo butary If Q tial to  Poter	ows y. is n cau
with all connect Water A 190-09-connect that are Compar distribut PSI.	Availal ded and pertine ted by v	eposits, we the the rive bility Basin it to that sequested rawell, use full well < 1/4	n the well ion of street 1 mile frurface watte againstall rate for	eam impacts om a surface ater source, at the 1% of 8 r each well.  Instream Water Right	ted within:  for each well water source and not lower to 0% natural fl Any checked  Instream Water Right Q	POWDER  that has be Limit eva SW source ow for the box ind  Qw > 1%	een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Waicates the week week week week week week week we	E R - A ed or as stream he strea hter Av ll is as	assumed silabili sumed > 1% 80% ural	N STR ( to be hy and mining er evaluat ty Basin to have t  Interfer @ 30 0	72191).  rdraulica mum stretion is tri (WAB). he potente days	ally eam flo butary If Q tial to  Poter	ows y. is n cau
with all connect Water A 190-09-connect that are Compar distribut PSI.	Availal ded and pertine ted by v	eposits, we the the rive bility Basin it to that sequested rawell, use full well < 1/4	n the well ion of street 1 mile frurface watte againstall rate for	eam impacts om a surface ater source, at the 1% of 8 r each well.  Instream Water Right	ted within:  for each well water source and not lower to 0% natural fl Any checked  Instream Water Right Q	POWDER  that has be Limit eva SW source ow for the box ind  Qw > 1%	een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Waicates the week week week week week week week we	E R - A ed or as stream he strea hter Av ll is as	assumed silabili sumed > 1% 80% ural	N STR ( to be hy and mining er evaluat ty Basin to have t  Interfer @ 30 0	72191).  rdraulica mum stretion is tri (WAB). he potente days	ally eam flo butary If Q tial to  Poter	ows y. is n cau
with all connect Water A 190-09-connect that are Compar distribut PSI.	Availal ded and pertine ted by v	eposits, we the the rive bility Basin it to that sequested rawell, use full well < 1/4	n the well ion of street 1 mile frurface watte againstall rate for	eam impacts om a surface ater source, at the 1% of 8 r each well.  Instream Water Right	ted within:  for each well water source and not lower to 0% natural fl Any checked  Instream Water Right Q	POWDER  that has be Limit eva SW source ow for the box ind  Qw > 1%	een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Waicates the week week week week week week week we	E R - A ed or as stream he strea hter Av ll is as	assumed silabili sumed > 1% 80% ural	N STR ( to be hy and mining er evaluat ty Basin to have t  Interfer @ 30 0	72191).  rdraulica mum stretion is tri (WAB). he potente days	ally eam flo butary If Q tial to  Poter	ows y. is n cau

Date: June 5, 2009

Unconfined

Confined

 $\boxtimes$ 

Application G-17198 continued

well logs

Wel

1,

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer

Likely plutonic rocks (Kji) often described as granite in

Application G- <u>17198</u>	continued	Date: June 5, 2009
	_	

Application (	G-17198	continued	Date: June 5, 2009

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw : 5 cfs		Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments: _	This section do	es not apply.						

Comments: _	This section does not apply.		

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	Distributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
			l						l				
Distril	buted Wel	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
,	rence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	) % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
( <b>D</b> ) = (A	A) > (C)	<b>√</b>	<b>/</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>						
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	(A / B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Version: 08/15/2003

Rights Section.	Application G- <u>17198</u>	continued	Date: <u>June 5, 2009</u>
Rights Section.    If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water us under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:	CFS; (D) = highlight the check	smark for each month where (A)	is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
Rights Section.    If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water us under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:			
Rights Section.    If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water us under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:			
Rights Section.    If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water us under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:			
Rights Section.    If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water us under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:			
under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:  i.		The potential to impair or	detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water
References Used:Geology of the Oregon Part of the Baker 1° by 2° Quad, Brooks, 1976; OWRD Ground Water Repo#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with	under this permit ca	n be regulated if it is found to mit should contain condition	o substantially interfere with surface water: #(s)
References Used: Geology of the Oregon Part of the Baker 1° by 2° Quad, Brooks, 1976; OWRD Ground Water Repo #6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with	ii.   The per	mit should contain special co	ondition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with	C6. SW / GW Remarks and	d Conditions	
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
#6; Ground Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with			
DOGANII Regional Geologist Mark Lerns and other OwnD stair, nearby reviews.	#6; Ground Water Re Baker Valley, Baker C	sources of Baker Valley, Ba ounty, Oregon, by Lystrom.	ker County, Oregon, by Frederick D. Trauger; Ground Water of , Nees and Hampton, 1967; past personal communications with
	DOGAMII Regional Go	vivgist mai k i ci iis and Uli	O 11 AD SHILL, HOULD, LOTHING.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200  D1. Well #: Logid:  D2. THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:  a review of the well log;  b field inspection by  c report of CWRE  d other: (specify)	
D1. Well #: Logid:  D2. THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:  a review of the well log;  b field inspection by  c report of CWRE	
D2. THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:  a. review of the well log;  b. field inspection by  c. report of CWRE	
<ul> <li>a. review of the well log;</li> <li>b. field inspection by report of CWRE</li> </ul>	
<del>-</del>	
D3. THE WELL construction deficiency:  a.	
D4. THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:	
D5. <b>THE WELL</b> a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.  b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.	
D6. Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well a is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	reconstruction
THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	
D7.  Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	
	, 200
(Enforcement Section Signature)	,
D8.	