PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

FROM SUBJE			nd Water/	TT				Dat		Septemb			
	ECT:			Hydrology	Section _	Micha	ael Zwart						
	CT:	ADDI	instian C	17223		Revi	ewer's Name						
DUDU		- pp.	ication G-	17223	<u> </u>	Jerseues re	view oi				Date of Rev	view(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to deter the pres	90-310-1 , <i>safety an</i> mine whe sumption	30 (1) <i>I</i> <i>nd heal</i> ether th criteria	The Depart th as descr e presumpt This revi	<i>ibed in ORS</i> ion is establ ew is based	<i>ished.</i> OA	at a propos Departmen R 690-310- ilable infor	ed groundwit t staff review 140 allows t rmation and	ater use will v ground wat the proposed l agency pol	ter appl use be licies in	lications e modified n place at	under OA d or cond t the time	AR 690-3 itioned to e of evalu	10-140 o meet uation.
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	ORMATIO	<u>JN</u> : A	pplicant's	Name:	City of We	eston		(County:	Umatil	a
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	eek(s) <u>0.6</u>	<u>02</u> cfs from	m <u>one</u>	well	(s) in the	Umatilla					_Basin,
	I	Pine Cı	reek			subb	asin Qu	ad Map: <u>A</u>	thena				
A2. A3.			Mu Fer data (at t		mber logs	Seas for existin	onality: ng wells; ma	Year Roun ork proposed	nd d wells	as such	under log	gid):	
Wel	Logi	d	Applican	Pro	oposed	Propos		Location		Location	, metes a	and boun	ds, e.g.
1	Logi	u	s Well #	Ac	luifer*	Rate(cf	cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q)			2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36			
1	UMAT 5	56260	1	(CRB	0.602	4N/3	5E-16 NW-N	NE	25' S, 1400' W fr NE cor 16			
2 3													
4													
5													
* Alluvi	um, CRB,	Bedrocl	k	1									
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	r ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Or S	orations Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	1792	135	185	11/13/08	570	0-198	0-196	None	None	e	350		Air
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	l wells.									<u> </u>
A4.					zone is ca	ased and se	ealed off. T	he aquifer t	argetee	d is belov	v 455 fee	t	

A5. Provisions of the <u>Umatilla</u>

Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water \Box are, or \boxtimes are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments:

A6. Well(s) #____

Comments:

Well(s) #_____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, or **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or c.
 - will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d.
 - The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N i.
 - \boxtimes The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. ii.
 - iii. \square The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface; B2. a.
 - Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface; b.
 - Condition to allow ground water production only from the _____ basalt c. __ ground water reservoir;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: The well shall be constructed in a manner to produce water from a single basalt aquifer.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel l	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basalt of the Columbia River Basalt Group	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Basalt aquifers are typically confined.</u>

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential Subst. Inte Assumed YES	erfer.
1	1	Pine Creek	1607	1690	1400			\boxtimes
1	2	Wildhorse Creek	1607	1725	14200			\boxtimes
1	3	Little Dry Creek	1607	1670	10200			\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The targeted aquifer is well below the elevation of the creeks here.</u> There may be ground water discharge to more distant downstream reaches of the creeks at undetermined distances.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>Pine Cr > Walla Walla R ab Dry Cr: 30710205.</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ^{1/4} mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Date: September 1, 2009

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	ation and mint	in a second second		a above.					
SV #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: This section does not apply.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	Non-Distributed Wells												
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
													
Distrit	outed Well	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{a}$	otal Interf.										_		
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A})$	(C)	\checkmark											
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

	exckmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); $(E) = total interference divided by 80\%$ flow as percentage.
Basis for impact ev	valuation:
. <u> </u>	
690-09-040 (5) (b Rights Section) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W .
under this permit i. The r	itioned , the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: permit should contain condition #(s)
ii. 🗌 The p	permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW / GW Remarks a	and Conditions
	acal CW raviews: local well logs: regional geologic mans: CW Reports 30 & 35
	ocal GW reviews; local well logs; regional geologic maps; GW Reports 30 & 35.
References Used: <u>I</u>	ocal GW reviews; local well logs; regional geologic maps; GW Reports 30 & 35.
References Used:	ocal GW reviews; local well logs; regional geologic maps; GW Reports 30 & 35.
References Used:	ocal GW reviews; local well logs; regional geologic maps; GW Reports 30 & 35.
References Used: I	Local GW reviews; local well logs; regional geologic maps; GW Reports 30 & 35.

D. <u>W</u>	VELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200
D1.	Well #: 1 Logid: UMAT 56260
D2.	THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency: a. constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b. commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; c. permits the loss of artesian head; d. permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; e. other: (specify)
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.	 THE WELL a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
D6.	Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL

D7. Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:

(Enforcement Section Signature)

D8. **Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).**

_____, 200_____.