DUDUIC INTEDECT DEVIEW FOR CROUND WATER ADDUCATIONS

| <u>PUD</u> | LIC IN I | EKE5 | <u>i kev</u> | IEW FU | <u>k GRUU</u> | | | <u>K AFI</u> | LICAIR | JINS | <u>)</u> | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| TO: | | Water] | Rights S | Section | | | | | Date | e | October | 5, 2009 | | |
| FROM | 1 : | Ground | l Water | /Hydrology | Section | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 48004 | | | | 's Name | | | | | | |
| SUBJ | ECT: | Applica | ation G- | - 17221 | | Su | pers | sedes re | view of | | | Date of Rev | view(a) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Date of Re | view(s) | |
| OAR (welfard to dete the pre | 590-310-13 <i>e, safety an</i> rmine when sumption c | 30 (1) <i>The d health</i> ther the periteria. | e Depar as descr presump Fhis rev | tment shall ribed in OR tion is estab iew is base o | S 537.525. I blished. OAI d upon avai | at a propos Departmen R 690-310- lable info | sed g it stat -140 rma t | ff reviev allows t tion and | ater use will v ground wat the proposed l agency pol | er apj use b i cies i | plications be modified in place at | under OA d or cond t the time | AR 690-3 itioned to e of evalu | 10-140 o meet uation. |
| A. <u>G</u> | ENERAL | INFOR | RMATI | <u>ON</u> : A | Applicant's l | Name: | Issa | and Ra | agehda Kara | m | (| County: | Clackar | nas |
| A1. | Applicar | nt(s) seel | k(s) 07 | 75 cfs fr | om 4 | well | (s) ir | 1 the | Willamette | ` | | | | Basin |
| A1. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ Dasin, |
| | <u> </u> | lackam | as | | | subb | basin | Qu | ad Map: <u>R</u> | edlan | d | | | |
| A2. | Proposed | 1 116 A. | C | n Nursery | Con Ag | See | conal | litze | year-round | 4 | | | | |
| A2. A3. | | | | | | | | | rk proposed | | s as such | under lo | pid). | |
| 110. | vi en une | - | , | such und n | uniber logs | | | ens, ma | in proposet | | b ub buen | under fö | 514). | |
| Wel | Tanid | Applicant' Proposed Location Location, metes and bounds s Proposed Aquifer* Proposed (TPL S OO O) 22501 N 12001 F fs NW or S | | | | | | | | | | ds, e.g. | | |
| 1 | Logid | W | s ell # | Proposed | a Aquiter* | Rate(ct | | (T. | (T/R-S QQ-Q) | | | N, 1200' E | | |
| 1 | Unknow | | 1 | Rasin-fill | sediments | 0.75 | | 28/3 | /3E-30 SW -NW | | 460'N,100'E fr W cor DLC 53 | | | |
| 1 | n | | • | Dasm-m | scuments | 0.75 | | 25/5E-50 5 W -1W | | | | | | |
| 2 | Proposed | 1 | 2 | Basin-fill | sediments | 0.75 | | 2S/3E-30 NW-SW | | N | 40'N, 80'E fr W cor DLC 53 | | | C 53 |
| 3 | Proposed | | 3 | Basin-fill | sediments | 0.75 | | | E-30 SW -N | | 700'N, 140'W fr W cor DLC 53 | | | LC 53 |
| 4 | Proposed | 1 | 4 | Basin-fill | sediments | 0.75 | | 2S/31 | E-30 SW -NW | | 1000'N, 230'W fr W cor DLC | | | DLC 53 |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Alluv | ium, CRB, I | Bedrock | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Well | First | | | W/ 11 | C - 1 | | 7: | Liner | P | 64: | Well | Dasses | |
| Well | Elev | Water | SWL | SWL | Well Depth | Seal Interval | | Casing itervals | Liner Intervals | | forations Screens | Yield | Draw Down | Test |
| wen | ft msl | ft bls | ft bls | Date | (ft) | (ft) | | (ft) | (ft) | 01 | (ft) | (gpm) | (ft) | Туре |
| 1 | 258 | 11 015 | | | Unk. | Unk. | Ur | | (11) | | < / | (Spiii) | (11) | |
| 2 | 275 | | | | >200 | 0-200 | _ | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 260 | | | | >200 | 0-200 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 265 | | | | >200 | 0-200 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Use dat | a from appli | ication fo | r propose | d wells. | | | | | | | | | | |
| A4. | Commo | nte. No | well loo | r has been f | and for we | 1 #1 50 it | wi11 | he accur | ned that the | w_11; | s complete | d in chal | سالو سما | ium |
| <i>л</i> т. | Comme | <u>1103. 110</u> | wen iog | | | 1 m1, 30 ft | vv 111 | oc assul | | | s compien | ~ 111 511d1 | | 14111. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |

A5. **Provisions of the Willamette**

Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water \Box are, *or* \boxtimes are not, activated by this application.

(Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: Wells are not within ¹/₄ mile of the nearest surface water source, so pertinent basin rules do not apply.

Comments:

A6. Well(s) #_____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, or **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - **will not** or **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or c.
 - will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d.
 - The permit should contain condition #(s) **7B, 7N** i.
 - ii. \square The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. $\overline{\boxtimes}$ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface; B2. a.

Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface; b.

- Condition to allow ground water production only from the <u>Alluvial</u> c. _ ground ft. below land surface; water reservoir between approximately_____ft. and____
- d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury -as related to water availability- that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks:

SPECIAL CONDITION: The applicant's proposed wells (well 2, well 3, well 4) shall be continuously cased and sealed from land surface to a depth of at least 200 feet.

In the area of the applicant's wells, roughly 20 to 80 feet of coarse-grained deposits form a terrace adjacent to Clear Creek (Trimble, 1963). In the immediate area, the terrace overlies the Sandy River mudstone, a sequence of fine-grained deposits that are locally about 500 feet thick. The stream nearest the applicant's wells, Clear Creek, has incised through the coarser terrace deposits into the Sandy River mudstone. It is unknown whether the amount of water requested could be obtained from the Sandy River mudstone.

Clear Creek has incised to an elevation of 100 feet above mean sea level (msl) within a 1 mile radius of the applicant's wells. Due to the low vertical hydraulic conductivity of the Sandy River Mudstone, the applicant's wells can avoid an effective hydraulic connection with Clear Creek if they are cased and sealed below this elevation.

There is no available water level data for nearby wells, indicating a need for long term water level monitoring in the wells on the proposed permit.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

| Wel 1 | Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer | Confined | Unconfined |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|
| 1 | Alluvium (assumed) | | |
| 2 | Alluvium | \boxtimes | |
| 3 | Alluvium | \boxtimes | |
| 4 | Alluvium | \boxtimes | |
| | | | |

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: In the area of the applicant's wells, the shallow gravels of the Estacada formation are likely unconfined. The underlying fine-grained deposits of the Sandy River mudstone are likely confined. Because the construction of well #1 is unknown, the confinement is also unknown. The proposed construction of wells 2, 3 and 4 indicate that the shallow gravels will be sealed off, and the well would produce water from the confined Sandy River mudstone.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

| Well | SW # | Surface Water Name | GW Elev ft msl | SW Elev ft msl | Distance (ft) | Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED | Potentia Subst. Int Assume YES | erfer. |
|------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|--|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | Clear Creek | 150-200 | 100-145 | 2050 | | | \boxtimes |
| 2 | 1 | Clear Creek | 150-200 | 100-145 | 2100 | | | \boxtimes |
| 3 | 1 | Clear Creek | 150-200 | 100-145 | 2300 | | | \boxtimes |
| 4 | 1 | Clear Creek | 150-200 | 100-145 | 2350 | | | \boxtimes |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The applicant's existing well (well #1) is assumed to be completed in the terrace gravels of the Estacada formation which are hydraulically connected to Clear Creek. If the applicant's proposed wells (well #2, well #3, well #4) are sealed to a depth of 200 feet below land surface, they will be completed in fine grained alluvial sediments mapped by Trimble (1963) as the Sandy River Mudstone. These sediments act as a resistor to groundwater flow, significantly reducing the effective hydraulic connection between the groundwater system and Clear Creek.</u>

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>82 CLEAR CR > CLACKAMAS R - AT MOUTH</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ⊠ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

| Well | SW # | Well < ^{1/4} mile? | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|------|---------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 1 | | | 82A | 20 | \square | 5.65 | \boxtimes | Unknown | \boxtimes |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Applic | cation G- | 17 | /221 | contin | ued | | Da | ate <u>09/28</u> | /2009 | |
|--------|-----------|----|------|--------|-----|--|----|------------------|-------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

L

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

| SW # | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | |

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

| | istributed | Wells | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distrit | outed Well | ls | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}0$ | tal Interf. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) = 80 | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (C) = 1 | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A})$ | (C) | \checkmark |
| (E) = (A | / B) x 100 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |

| CFS; (I | tal interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. |
|--|---|
| k _ | Basis for impact evaluation: |
| _ | |
| - | |
| - | |
| _ | |
| - | |
| - | |
| 4b. (| 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wat |
| | Rights Section. |
| 5. 🗌 | under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: |
| | i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; |
| | |
| 26. SW | / GW Remarks and Conditions |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | ferences Used: |
| 119 | |
| <u>119</u> <u>US</u> <u>Mc</u> | 9 p., 1 pl. (1963) GS Redland 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle (1961, photorevised 1985) Farland, William D., and Morgan, David S., 1996, Description of the Groundwater Flow System in the Portland Basin, |
| <u>119</u> US Mc Ore Sw Por | 9 p., 1 pl. (1963) GS Redland 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle (1961, photorevised 1985) |
| <u>IIC</u> US <u>Mc</u> Ore <u>Sw</u> Por she <u>Ga</u> | <u>P., 1 pl. (1963)</u> <u>GS Redland 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle (1961, photorevised 1985)</u> <u>Farland, William D., and Morgan, David S., 1996, Description of the Groundwater Flow System in the Portland Basin, egon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2470-A, 58p, 7 plates.</u> <u>anson, R.D., McFarland, W.D., Gonthier, J.B., and Wilkinson, J.M. 1993, A Description of Hydrogeologic Units in the rtland Basin, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 90-4196, 56 p., 10 ets, scale 1:100,000.</u> |
| <u>IIC</u> US Mc Ore Sw Por she Ga | <u>P., 1 pl. (1963)</u> <u>GS Redland 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle (1961, photorevised 1985)</u> <u>Farland, William D., and Morgan, David S., 1996, Description of the Groundwater Flow System in the Portland Basin, egon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2470-A, 58p, 7 plates.</u> <u>anson, R.D., McFarland, W.D., Gonthier, J.B., and Wilkinson, J.M, 1993, A Description of Hydrogeologic Units in the tland Basin, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 90-4196, 56 p., 10 ets, scale 1:100,000.</u> <u>nnett, Marshall W., and Caldwell, Rodney R., 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon</u> |
| US Mc Ore Sw Por she Gau and | D. p., 1 pl. (1963) GS Redland 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle (1961, photorevised 1985) Farland, William D., and Morgan, David S., 1996, Description of the Groundwater Flow System in the Portland Basin, egon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2470-A, 58p, 7 plates. anson, R.D., McFarland, W.D., Gonthier, J.B., and Wilkinson, J.M, 1993, A Description of Hydrogeologic Units in the rtland Basin, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 90-4196, 56 p., 10 eets, scale 1:100,000. nnett, Marshall W., and Caldwell, Rodney R., 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32p, 8 plates. |
| US Mc Ore Sw Por she Gau and | <u>P., 1 pl. (1963)</u> <u>GS Redland 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle (1961, photorevised 1985)</u> <u>Farland, William D., and Morgan, David S., 1996, Description of the Groundwater Flow System in the Portland Basin, egon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2470-A, 58p, 7 plates.</u> <u>anson, R.D., McFarland, W.D., Gonthier, J.B., and Wilkinson, J.M. 1993, A Description of Hydrogeologic Units in the tland Basin, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 90-4196, 56 p., 10 ets, scale 1:100,000.</u> <u>nnett, Marshall W., and Caldwell, Rodney R., 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon</u> |

a. review of the well log;

Date 09/28/2009

- b. ____ field inspection by ______
- c. report of CWRE_____
- d. ____ other: (specify) _____

D3. THE WELL construction deficiency:

- a. Constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules;
- b. Commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir;
- c. \Box permits the loss of artesian head;
- d. permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs;
- e. conter: (specify)

D4. **THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:** Because no well log has been found for well #1, it is unknown if the well meets well construction standards. Because of the finding of PSI, no well reconstruction is recommended at this time.

D5. **THE WELL** a. **was**, *or* **was not** constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.

- b. \square I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
- D6. **Route to the Enforcement Section.** I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL

D7. Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:

(Enforcement Section Signature)

_____, 200____.

D8.
Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

| ntershe .me: 2 | ed ID #: 15:25 | 82 | Basin | : WILLAMET | ΓE | Exceedance Date: | e Level: 03/15/20 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Month | Stream | CU + Stor Prior to 1/1/93 | After | Stream | Stream | Instream Water Rights | Net Water Availabl |
| 1 | 126.00 | 1.07 | 0.07 | 125.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 125.0 |
| 2 | 128.00 | 0.99 | 0.06 | 127.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 127.0 |
| 3 | 128.00 | 0.60 | 0.05 | 127.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 127.0 |
| 4 | 131.00 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 130.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 130.0 |
| 5 | 111.00 | 2.31 | 0.08 | 109.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 109.0 |
| 6 | 48.10 | 3.37 | 0.01 | 44.70 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 4.7 |
| 7 | 19.00 | 5.89 | 0.01 | 13.10 | 0.00 | 40.00 | -26.9 |
| 8 | 8.02 | 4.79 | 0.01 | 3.22 | 0.00 | 20.00 | -16.8 |
| 9 | 5.65 | 1.99 | 0.01 | 3.65 | 0.00 | 20.00 | -16.4 |
| 10 | 6.23 | 0.73 | 0.01 | 5.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.5 |
| 11 | 21.50 | 0.70 | 0.03 | 20.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.8 |
| 12 | 103.00 | 1.13 | | | | 0.00 | 102.0 |
| Stor | 99100 | 1480 | 28 | 97500 | 0 | 7260 | 9340 |

| Vatersh Cime: | ned ID #: 15:25 | Water Availability as of 3/15/2005 for CLEAR CR > CLACKAMAS R - AT MOUTH 82 Basin: WILLAMETTE Exceedance Level Date: 03/15/ | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|--|------|------------|------|------|------|---------|--|--|
| APP # | 82A | 0 | 0 | ISWRs 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | MAXIMUI | | |
| Status | Cert. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| 6 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.0 | | |
| 7 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.0 | | |
| 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.0 | | |
| 9 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.0 | | |
| 10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| 11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | | |

DETAILED REPORT ON THE WATER AVAILABILITY CALCULATION

Well Location Map

