



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer

Transfer Application: T-10275

Review Due Date: 1/12/07

Applicant Name: Baker West Inc.

Proposed Changes: POU POD POA USE OTHER

Reviewer(s): Mike McCord

Date of Review: Dec. 14, 2006

1. Do you have information suggesting that the water rights may be subject to forfeiture?
 Yes No If "Yes", describe the information and indicate if you intend to file a cancellation affidavit or if you need additional time to determine if a cancellation affidavit should be filed: _____
2. Is there a history of regulation on the source that serves the right proposed for transfer that has involved the right and downstream water rights? Yes No Generally characterize the frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation has not occurred:

3. Check here if it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows resulting from the current use of the right? If you check the box, generally characterize the locations where the return flows likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most:

4. Are there upstream water rights that would be affected by the proposed change?
 Yes No If "Yes", describe how the rights would be affected and list the rights most affected: _____
5. For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses: _____
6. Would distribution of water for the right after the proposed change result in regulation of other water rights that would not have occurred if use of the existing right was maximized?
 Yes No If "Yes", explain: I do not know if the additional wells will impact other water right holders.
7. For POU changes, would the original place of use continue to receive water from the same source? Yes No N/A If "Yes", explain: _____
8. For POU or USE changes, would use of the existing right at "full face value," result in the diversion of more water than can be used beneficially and without waste? Yes No
If "Yes", explain: _____

9. Are there other issues not identified through the above questions? Yes No If "Yes", explain: A portion of the 6.3 acres not affected by this transfer are clearly a paved parking lot. These acres should be cancelled or transfered to other lands if the parking lot has not been there for more than five years.
10. What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above: See #9
11. Have headgate notices been issued for the source that serves the right? Yes No
12. What water control and measurement conditions should be included in the transfer:

Measurement Devices	<input type="checkbox"/> Present and should be maintained.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Should be required prior to diverting water.	<input type="checkbox"/> May be required in the future.
Headgates	<input type="checkbox"/> Present and should be maintained.	<input type="checkbox"/> Should be required prior to diverting water.	<input type="checkbox"/> May be required in the future.