PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water	Rights S	ection		DateOctober 21, 2009									
FROM	[:	Groun	d Water/	Hydrology	Section _										
SUBJE	ECT:	Applio	cation G-	17238		Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of Date of Review(s)									
OAR 6 welfare to deter	90-310-1 , <i>safety a</i> mine who	30 (1) T nd healt ether the	he Depart h as descr presumpt	ribed in ORS tion is establ	resume th 537.525. ished. OA	at a propos Departmen R 690-310-	sed groundw t staff reviev -140 allows	w ground wat the proposed	ensure the present applications use be modifie	under OA d or cond	ÅR 690-3 itioned to	10-140 o meet			
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIO	<u>ON</u> : A ₁	pplicant's	Name:	Clyde & I	Edie Longfe	ellow	County:	Umatil	la			
A1.	Applica	nt(s) see	ek(s) <u>0.0</u>	cfs from	n <u>1</u>	well		Umatilla I	River tanfield & Hat	Rock		_Basin,			
A2. A3.						Seas	sonality:	March 1 –			gid):				
Wel l	Logid s		Applican s Well #	Aquifer*			Proposed Rate(cfs) (T/			Location, metes and bounds 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S					
1 2	UMAT	3784	1	All	uvium	0.037	0.037 05N/2		W 520' N	I, 440'E fr	W ¼ cor	S 31			
3															
5															
	um, CRB,	Bedrock													
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type			
1	478	50	45	10/27/92	100	0 – 18	0 – 99			40	0	bail			
Llag data	from ann	liantion f	or proposed	dwalls											
A4.	11														
Reques	sted disch	narge ra	te is 16.8	$\mathbf{gpm} = 0.03^{\circ}$	7 cfs.										
A5. 🖂		_	he <u>Umati</u>				Dogin m	ulas ralativa t	o the developm	ant alogg	ification	and/or			
A3. 🔼	manage (Not all	ment of basin rı	ground wiles contai	ater hydrauli in such provi	ically consisions.)	nected to su	ırface water	are, or	\mathbf{X} are not, active	vated by t	his applic	cation.			
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admin	istrative a	rea:				p(s) an aquif	er limited by an	administ	rative res	triction.			

Application	G-17238	continued
Application	U-1/230	Continued

Date	October 21, 2009

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

a.	
	is over appropriated, ⊠ is not over appropriated, or □ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	\square will not or \boxtimes will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	\square will not or \boxtimes will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
с.	☐ Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir overlying the Columbia River Basalts;
d.	 Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/
	senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
	ound water availability remarks: The well, UMAT 3784, develops water from the shallow alluvial material erlying the basalts. Available data from nearby alluvial wells indicates a relatively stable resource.

Version: 08/15/2003

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel 1	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Sand and gravel		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:	Ground water levels indicate an unconfined aquifer.	

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ½ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: There are no perennial streams within a one-mile radius of the well.
There is a canal, but it is not considered as part of this determination. This portion of the form is not applicable.
Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within:

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

_	
Date	October 21, 2009

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments: _	NA								

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	outed Well	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}0$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A$	A) > (C)	√	√	√	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	√	√
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Version: 08/15/2003

Application G-17238	continued	Date	October 21, 2009
	smark for each month where (A) is	w at 80% exceed. as CFS; $(C) = 1\%$ of calculated greater than (C) ; $(E) = \text{total interference divided by}$	
C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) Rights Section.	The potential to impair or de	etrimentally affect the public interest is to b	e determined by the Water
under this permit ca	nn be regulated if it is found to s	(s) can be adequately protected from interferent substantially interfere with surface water: (s)	nce, and/or ground water use
	-	intion(s) as indicated in Remarks below,	
References Used:			
	cology, structure, and thickness er-Resources Investigations Rep	of hydrostratigraphic units in part of the Coluport 86-4001, 6 sheets.	mbia Plateau Oregon: U.S.
Hogenson, G., 1964, Ge Paper 1620, 162 p.	cology and ground water of the	Umatilla River Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geologic	al survey Water-Supply
Newcomb, R.C., 1961, no. 1, p 1 – 18.	Storage of ground water behind	subsurface dams in the Columbia River basal	t: Northwest Science, v. 33,
		studies in the Umatilla structural basin – an int	egration of current

D. V	VELL CONSTRUCT	ION. OAR 690-200	
D1.	Well #:		
D2.	THE WELL does in a. review of the b. field inspect c. report of C	not meet current well construction standards based upon:	
D3.	b. commingles c. permits the d. permits the	ruction deficiency: a health threat under Division 200 rules; s water from more than one ground water reservoir; loss of artesian head; de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; cify)	
D4.	THE WELL constr	ruction deficiency is described as follows:	
D.s.			
D5.		 a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. 	
D6.		recement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstructed artment and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	struction
THI	S SECTION TO BE	COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	
D7.	☐ Well construction de	eficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	
	(Enforceme	ent Section Signature)	200

D8.

Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

Application G-17238_____continued

Date <u>October 21, 2009</u>

