## PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	ΓΟ: Water Rights Section							Date	e Novemb	er 12, 20	009		
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/H	lydrology	Section _		ael Zwart						
SUBJE	CT:	Appli	cation G	17237			iewer's Name persedes re	eview of		Date of Re			
										Date of Re	view(s)		
OAR 69 welfare, to determ	90-310-1 safety a mine who	<b>30 (1)</b> <i>I nd heal</i> ether th	<i>th as descri</i> e presumpti	nent shall p bed in ORS on is establ	oresume the 537.525. Lished. OA	<i>at a propos</i> Departmen R 690-310-	sed groundy t staff revie -140 allows	w ground wat the proposed	ensure the presser applications use be modifie icies in place a	under OA d or cond	AR 690-3 itioned to	10-140 meet	
A. GEI	NERAL	INFO	RMATIO	<u>N</u> : A	pplicant's	Name:	Treasure	Valley Man	agement	County:_	Malheu	ır	
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) <b>0.07</b>	8 cfs fro	m <u>one</u>			Malheur				_Basin,	
	-					subb	oasin Q	uad Map: <u>V</u>	ale West				
A2. A3.								April 1 to	October 31 I wells as such	under lo	oid).		
Wel l	Log	-	Applicant s Well #	Pr	oposed quifer*	Propos Rate(ct	ed	Location C/R-S QQ-Q)	Location	n, metes a	and boun		
1	Propo	sed	1	Ве	edrock	0.078	3 18S/-	45E-19 NW-	SE 745	745' S, 655' E fr Ctr S 19			
3													
4													
5 * Alluvii	um, CRB,	Bedrocl	ζ.										
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
1	2270	20	20		100	0-20	0-40				, ,		
A4. suggest	Commo	ents: <u>T</u>	sed constru	on include	not result	t in a water	r-bearing z		several local v countered. Th posed.				
A5. 🖾	manage (Not all	ment of basin r	ules contain	ter hydraul such prov	ically conr isions.)	nected to su	ırface water	ules relative t	o the developm are not, active	ent, class vated by t	ification his applic	and/or cation.	
A6. 🗌	Name o	of admir	istrative are	ea:			, ta	np(s) an aquif	er limited by an	administ	rative res	triction.	

Version: 08/15/2003

Application G-17237	continued	Date: November 12, 2009

Bas	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	☐ will not or ☒ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	$\square$ will not or $\boxtimes$ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:  i.   The permit should contain condition #(s)
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.
	<b>Describe injury</b> —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
Gro	ound water availability remarks: Groundwater use in the area is not extensive. This is within the Vale city limits

Application G- <u>17237</u>	continued	Date: <u>November 12, 2009</u>

## C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel 1	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Likely sand lenses within the Glenns Ferry Formation (Tig)	$\boxtimes$	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The SWL is typically above the depth water is first found; GW Report #34 reports evidence that, in many parts of the area, the potentiometric surface of the Glenns Ferry is above that in the overlying shallow gravel aquifer.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Bully Creek	2220±	2230	4600		
1	2	Malheur River	2220±	2228	4900		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The Glenns Ferry Formation is likely in indirect hydraulic connection with surface water sources at lower elevations. Hydraulic connection there is laterally with the overlying shallow gravel aquifer which is, in turn, directly hydraulic connected with local surface water sources. This aquifer is in very poor hydraulic connection with nearby surface water sources at higher elevations due to the depth at which water occurs and the low permeability of the overlying clays and silts.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Malheur River > Snake River at mouth (31011701).

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked  $\boxtimes$  box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Version: 08/15/2003

Application G- <u>17237</u>	continued	Date: November 12, 2009
-	_	

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts <u>by total appropriation</u> for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells**. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

Date: November 12, 2009

SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments	This section	n does	not annly						_

Comments: _	This section does not apply.		
-			

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
-	ence CFS												
		l											
Distrib	outed Wel	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
(A) = To	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A}$	A) > (C)	<b>/</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Version: 08/15/2003

-	
690-09-040 (5) (b) Rights Section.	The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the V
under this permit c	tioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water an be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
1 The pe	ermit should contain condition #(s)
ii. The per warks are nected, at best, to atter sources, but like the ainst the uplands.	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to read the read the read to read the read the read the read to read the read to read the read to read the read the read to read the read to read the	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  nd Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out lateral
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to read the sources, but like gainst the uplands.	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to read the read the read to read the read the read the read to read the read to read the read the read to read the read to read the read to read the	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to read the read the read to read the read the read the read to read the read to read the read to read the read the read to read the read to read the	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to read the read the read to read the read the read the read to read the read to read the read to read the read the read to read the read to read the	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to water sources, but like gainst the uplands.	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to read the read the read to read the read the read the read to read the read to read the read the read to read the read to read the read to read the	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to read the read the read to read the read the read the read to read the read to read the read to read the read the read to read the read to read the	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to read the read the read to read the read the read the read to read the read to read the read the read to read the read to read the read to read the	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to water sources, but like gainst the uplands.	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to water sources, but like gainst the uplands.	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to water sources, but like gainst the uplands.	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The permarks are nonnected, at best, to water sources, but like gainst the uplands.	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential
ii. The pe	and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential river will likely grossly overestimate the magnitude of that interference.
ii. The person and the person water sources, at best, to water sources, but like gainst the uplands. Interference with the person water sources, but like gainst the uplands.	ermit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;  and Conditions The aquifer developed in the Glenns Ferry Formation is indirectly hydraulically Bully Creek and the Malheur River. This formation is not itself exposed in the bed of these surely provides some recharge to the shallow alluvial aquifer which overlies and pinches out latera Based on this conceptual model, the use of use of the Hunt model to calculate the potential

Date: November 12, 2009

Application G-<u>17237</u> continued

<b>D.</b> <u>W</u>	ELL CONSTRUCTIO	N, OAR 690-200						
D1.	Well #:	Logid:						
D2.	THE WELL does not	meet current well construction standards based upon:						
	a. review of the v	<u>-</u>						
	b. [ field inspection	n by						
	c. report of CWR	KE						
	d. other: (specify							
D3.	THE WELL construct	tion deficiency:						
	a. Constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules;							
		ater from more than one ground water reservoir;						
	c. permits the los	s of artesian head;						
		watering of one or more ground water reservoirs;						
	e.  other: (specify	)						
D4.	THE WELL construct	tion deficiency is described as follows:						
<i>υ</i> τ.	THE WELL CONSTRUCT	and deficiency is described as follows.						
D5.	THE WELL a.	was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.						
	b.	☐ I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.						
D6. [		<b>nent Section.</b> I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction ment and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.						
THIS	SECTION TO BE CO	OMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL						
D7. [	Well construction defic	iency has been corrected by the following actions:						
	-							
	-							
		200						
	(Enforcement S	Section Signature), 200						

Date: November 12, 2009

Application G-<u>17237</u> continued