PUBLI		KESI I	KEVIEW FO	OK GKUU	ND WAII	LK APPLIC	LATIONS						
TO:		Water	Rights Sec	ction				Date	December	r 8, 2009			
FROM	[:	Grour	nd Water/H	ydrology S	ection	Josh Hackett							
SUBJE	SUBJECT: Application G- <u>17267</u> Supersedes review of												
Date of Review(s)													
PUBL OAR 6 <i>welfare</i> to deter the pres	IC INT 90-310-1 c, safety a mine wh sumption	EREST 130 (1) 7 and health tether the criteria.	The Department The Department thas describe presumption This review	PTION; C ent shall pro- bed in ORS 5 on is establis w is based u	GROUNE esume that 537.525. De shed. OAR spon availa	DWATER <i>a proposed</i> epartment st 690-310-14 able inform	groundwat aff review 0 allows th ation and a	er use will er ground water e proposed u agency polic	<i>usure the prese</i> applications u se be modified ies in place at	rvation of nder OAF or condit the time	f the publ R 690-31 ioned to a of evalua	<i>ic</i> 0-140 meet ation .	
A. GEN	NERAL I	NFORM	1ATION: A	pplicant's	Name:	<u>l'A Operatin</u>	ig LLC	(County: N	larion			
A1.	Applic	ant(s) se	ek(s) <u>0.17</u>	8cfs fro	om <u>1</u> we	ell(s) in the	Willar	nette				Basin,	
						subbas	sin Quae	d Map: <u>Wo</u>	odburn				
A2. A3.	Propos Well a	ed use: _ nd aquife	comr er data (atta	nercial ch and num	iber logs f	Season	ality: <u> </u>	year-round k proposed v	wells as such u	nder logi	id):	<u></u>	
Well	Lo	- bid	Applicant's	Proposed	Aquifer*	Proposed	I	ocation	Location	, metes an	d bounds,	e.g.	
1	Propos	ed well	Well # 1	CF	RB*	Rate(cfs) 0.178	(T/F 4S/1	R-S QQ-Q) W-9 NE-SE	2250' N, 475' S,	1200' E fr 2260' E fr	$\frac{NW \text{ cor } S}{C1/4 \text{ cor}}$	S 36 S 9	
2									,				
3 4													
5 * Alluvi	um CRB	Bedrock	-										
7 muvi		, Deuroer	· · · · · ·		XX7 11	G 1			D.C:	XX7 11	5		
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
1	192		75 est.		?	?	?		?				
II Jata	£	1:		11									
Use data from application for proposed wells. A4. Comments: <u>*The applicant requested reviews for both the alluvial and the basalt aquifer systems. This review examines</u> <u>only the basalt system. The review for the alluvial aquifer system is located in</u> <u>S:\groups\gwater\applications\G_17000_17999\G17267_TA_Operating_alluvial.</u> The property on this application may already be covered by a groundwater right (see permit G-11856).													
A5. Provisions of the Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water are, or 🖾 are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: The applicant's proposed well will produce from a confined aquifer, so the pertinent basin rules do not apply.													
A6. 🗌	Well(s Name o Comm) # of admin ents:	istrative area	a:,	,		, tap(s) an aquifer	limited by an a	administra	tive restr	iction.	

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** *or* **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
 - d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. **N** The permit should contain condition #(s) 7B, 7I
 - ii. \square The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. \square The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the <u>basalt</u> ground water reservoir between approximately_____ft. and _____ft. below land surface;
- d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks:

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

1) Groundwater production in the well shall be limited to a single aquifer in the Columbia River Basalt Group lavas. The well shall be cased and sealed at least 20 feet into hard, dense basalt. The open interval in the well shall be no greater than 100 feet except as noted below. Open interval means the total length of borehole that is not behind sealed casing. The borehole above the open interval shall be continuously cased and continuously sealed to land surface. A larger open interval may be approved by the Department if the applicant can demonstrate, using packer tests or other suitable methods, that the hydraulic heads of water-bearing zones in the proposed open interval are equivalent or if the applicant can demonstrate that the open interval is part of a continuous zone of interconnected porous materials such as a sequence of pillow lavas or an hyaloclastite complex.

2) During the drilling process, electrical conductivity measurements shall be collected and recorded at each waterbearing zone in the Columbia River Basalt aquifer system.

The applicant has proposed a well that will produce from water-bearing zones in the Columbia River Basalt Group (CRBG). The CRBG consists of a series of lava flows that range up to 800 feet thick in the vicinity of the proposed well. Although unconfined ground water occurs near the surface of the basalts, most water occurs in confined aquifers that occupy thin rubble zones (interflow zones) that occur at the contacts between lava flows. The interiors of the basalt flows generally have low porosity and permeability and act as confining beds. This physical geometry generally produces a stack of thin aquifers (interflow zones) separated by thick confining beds (flow interiors). This indicates a need to restrict the length of the open interval to prevent completion in multiple aquifers which would waste natural reservoir pressure through cross borehole flow.

The applicant's proposed well is located in an area that has been experiencing rising water levels in the basalt aquifer system since April of 2002 when the City of Wilsonville switched from groundwater to surface water as their primary drinking water source (Conlon and others, 2005). Prior to the changeover, groundwater levels in the basalts were declining over a broad area. Since the changeover, water levels have been rising throughout the same area. Levels have risen at least 10 feet in one nearby well, MARI 50403 (see attached hydrograph). This suggests that basalt groundwater supplies should be adequate for the new use as long as the City of Wilsonville does not fully exercise its basalt water rights in the future. Our understanding is the city plans to maintain its current basalt water rights as an emergency backup supply and as an alternative supply to meet peak summer demands. If the city begins using their basalt wells regularly in the future, groundwater levels are likely to decline in the vicinity of the applicants proposed well.

The proposed well is located about 2.5 miles outside of the Sherwood-Dammasch-Wilsonville Ground Water Limited Area. The southern boundary of the limited area was established as the middle of the channel of the Willamette River, probably based on an assumption that the Willamette River was a flow boundary in the basalt groundwater system. However, this is clearly not the case since pumping from the City of Wilsonville's basalt wells has been shown to cause impacts on both sides of the river. This indicates that pumping from the well will likely affect the basalt aquifer system on both sides of the river, including portions within the limited area.

Several nearby basalt wells produce saline water. It is uncertain if the saline water occurs throughout the entire basalt column or at discrete zones within the column. If saline water occurs at discrete zones within the basalt column, it is likely to occur at greater depths as salinity generally increases with residence time in the aquifer. Measuring electrical conductivity (as specified in Special Condition 2) will help determine where saline water locally occurs in the CRB aquifer system.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	basalt	\square	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>General experience in the CRBG indicates that most aquifers in the basalt are confined.</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Senecal Creek	145	120-150	4000		
1	2	Deer Creek	145	130	4500		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: In the vicinity of the proposed well, the basalt aquifer system is found below the lowest elevation of surface water sources. If the well is continuously cased and sealed at least 20 feet into hard, dense basalt, it will not be in hydraulic connection with local surface water sources.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>30200901: MILL CR > PUDDING R – AT MOUTH</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

4

C3b. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments:								

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Dis	stributed V	Vells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distribu	ated Wells	Ŧ	E 1				Ŧ	T 1		G	0.1	N	D
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	ana	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		1		1					1				1
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{To}$	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A	.) > (C)				~						~	~	
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
 Basis for impact evaluation:

6

lb. 69	0-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wat Rights Section.
5. 🗌 I u	f properly conditioned , the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
	ii. The permit should contain condition $\#(s)$
5. SW /	GW Remarks and Conditions:
Refei	rences Used:

Gannett, Marshall W., and Caldwell, Rodney R., 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32p, 8 plates.

Conlon and others, 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.

Woodward and others, 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B,

7

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	THE WELL a. revie b. field c. repord d. other	does not meet current well construction standards based upon: w of the well log; inspection by	? ?
D3.	THE WELL a. const b. comr c. perm d. perm e. other	construction deficiency: itutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; ningles water from more than one ground water reservoir; its the loss of artesian head; its the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; : (specify)	
D4.	THE WELL	construction deficiency is described as follows:	
D5.	THE WELL	a. was , <i>or</i> was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.	
		b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.	
D6.	Route to the is filed with th	Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction to the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	ction
THIS	S SECTION TO) BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	
D7.	Well construc	tion deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	
		. 200	
	(Enfo	prcement Section Signature)	
D8.	Route to Wa	ter Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).	

Nearby Water Levels



Well Location Map

