### PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	er Rights S	ection					Date	e Ma	rch 2	3, 2010		
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/l	Hydrology	Section	Karl V	Wozniak							
SUBJE	CT:	Appl	ication G-	17294			iewer's Nam persedes		iew of			Date of Rev	view(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to determ the pres	90-310-1 safety and mine who umption NERAL	30 (1) nd head ether the criteria	The Depart Ith as descr ie presumpt i. This revio		resume than 537.525. Eished. OAR upon avail	t a propos Departmen 2 690-310- able infor Name:	t staff reversely telegraphics that the staff reversely to the staff of the staff o	riew g ws the and a	ground wat e proposed agency poli sery Co LI	er applica use be m icies in p	ations odified lace an	under OA d or cond t <b>the time</b> County:	iR 690-3 itioned to e of evalu	10-140 o meet nation.
A1.				cfs from					Willamette d Map: <u>Da</u>					
A2. A3.				sery ach and nu				_	•	•				
Well	Logic	i	Applicant <sup>3</sup> Well #	s Propos	ed Aquifer*		oosed		Location			tion, mete		
1	YAMH:		1		lluvium	1	e(cfs) .0	5	(T/R-S QQ- 5S/3W-16 NW	//NW	2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S : 70' N, 5' E fr NW cor DLC 56			LC 56
3	YAMH 6 Propos		3		lluvium lluvium		.0		5S/3W-8 SE/5S/3W-8 SE/				O' W fr NW cor DLC 56 O' W fr NW cor DLC 56	
4 5	•											,		
_	ım, CRB,	Bedroc	k											
Well  1  2  3	Well Elev ft msl 160 150 160	First Wate ft bls 55	r SWL	SWL Date 2/27/1978 /22/1986	Well Depth (ft) 146 261 350	Seal Interval (ft) 0-20 0-22 >80	Casing Interval (ft) 0-146 -1-126 >=20	ls	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perfora Or Scro (ft) 60-6 105-1 80-10	5 35 01	Well Yield (gpm) 100	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type Air
Use data A4.	Commo	ents: ] ely onl	y 125 feet d	from 125-2- leep. Well 1 Construction	(YAMH 5	57) is grav	el packed	l froi	m 20-146 fe	eet. Well	2 (YA			
A5. 🗌	manage (Not all	ment o	rules contai	nette ater hydrauli n such provi will produce	ically conne isions.)	ected to su	ırface wat	er [		are no	t, activ	ated by the	nis applic	cation.
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admi	nistrative ar	,, ea:										triction.

### B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Bas	<b>red upon available data</b> , I have determined that <u>ground water</u> * for the proposed use:									
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
	b.	□ will not <i>or</i> □ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
	c.	$\square$ will not or $\square$ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or									
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:  i.   The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C, Large water-use reporting;  ii.  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.  iii.  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;									
B2.	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;									
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;									
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the alluvial ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;									
	d.	<ul> <li>Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.</li> <li>Describe injury —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):</li> </ul>									
B3.	Gro	ound water availability remarks:									
		er 200 feet of predominantly fine-grained sediments occur in the vicinity of the proposed wells. Productive sand and well beds are found at various depths but are generally less than 10 feet thick and rarely have a combined thickness of									
		re than 25 feet. Some sand beds occur at depths less than 80 feet. Well yields in the area are variable but large diameter									
		ls are capable of producing 150 gpm. The water table occurs at shallow depths, generally less than 20 feet. Warner and									
		mer Creeks are incised to depths of of 30-50 feet below the valley floor in the area. Wells that produce from sand or									
		vel beds near or above this level will have a direct hydraulic connection to these streams. Wells that produce from sand									
		gravel beds below this level will have an indirect hydraulic connection to these streams. Lambert Slough occurs in the									
		ocene floodplain of the Willamette River which lies about 80 below the elevation of the valley floor in the vicinity of the									
		posed POAs. Holocene gravels in the floodplain are equivalent in elevation to water-bearing sand beds that occur at									
		ths of around 80-100 feet in YAMH 557 and YAMH 6409. This geometry indicates that the sands in the wells are in ect connection with the Holocene floodplain gravels which are hydraulically connected to Lambert Slough.									
	unc	Very few water levels are available from alluvial wells in the surrounding area. Because water-level data is sparse and									
	the	aquifer is thin, water-level measurement and decline conditions are recommended if a permit is issued.									
		The well log for YAMH 6409 indicates that gravel beds found at depths of 249-261 feet contain highly mineralized									
	gro	undwater.									

#### C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel 1	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium	$\boxtimes$	
2	Alluvium	$\boxtimes$	
3	Alluvium	$\boxtimes$	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Static water levels generally occur above the producing sand and gravel beds identified on well logs in the area. This is consistent with the occurrence of relatively thin sand and gravel beds in a thick column of predominantly fine-grained sediments.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Warner Creek	140	110-150	420		
1	2	Palmer Creek	140	110-130	5000		
1	3	Lambert Slough	140	75	4800		
2	1	Warner Creek	140	110-150	10		
2	2	Palmer Creek	140	110-130	4600		
2	3	Lambert Slough	140	75	5200		
3	1	Warner Creek	140	110-150	1020		
3	2	Palmer Creek	140	110-130	3500		
3	3	Lambert Slough	140	75	5800		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Water table maps indicate that groundwater flows toward and discharges into Warner Creek, Palmer Creek, and Lambert Slough.

 $Water\ Availability\ Basin\ the\ well(s)\ are\ located\ within: \underline{ \ Yamhill\ R>Willamette\ R-At\ Mouth\ and\ WILLAMETTE\ R} > COLUMBIA\ R-AB\ MOLALLA\ R$ 

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically** connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked  $\boxtimes$  box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1	$\boxtimes$					0.565	$\square$	>25	$\boxtimes$
1	2						0.565	$\boxtimes$	>25	$\boxtimes$
1	3			MF-182A	1500		38.300			
2	1	$\boxtimes$					0.565		>25	$\boxtimes$
2	2						0.565	$\boxtimes$	>25	$\boxtimes$
2	3			MF-182A	1500		38.300			
3	1	$\boxtimes$					0.565		<<25	$\boxtimes$
3	2						0.565		<<25	$\boxtimes$

Application G-17294

Date: March 23, 2010 Page

Δ

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: The wells are located in the Yamhill River water availability basin but will also impact streams in the Willamette River WAB. Stream depletion of Warner and Palmer Creeks at 30 days was presumed to be >25% for Wells 1 and 2 because some production from shallow water-bearing zones will occur because of existing perforations or gravel packs that extend above depths of 80 feet. Stream depletion due to Well 3 is likely to be much less than 25% after 30 days if the well is constructed, as proposed, to prevent any production from water-bearing zones at depths less than 80 feet. Stream depletion of Lambert Slough cannot be readily quantified because of the change in aquifer conditions (confined to unconfined and low permeability to high permeability) at the boundary between Pleistocene sediments penetrated by the wells and the Holocene floodplain gravels to the east.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
Distrib	outed Well	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												

$(A - B) \times 100$					,		,			·		
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
total interferent (D) = highlight Basis for in However, st 1% of the 80	nt the check npact eva ream depl	kmark for e <b>luation:</b> _ letion imp	each month Proposed acts were	where (A) Well 3 is not estim	is greater hydraulicated in Ta	than (C); ( cally conn able C4a s	(E) = total in the ected, but ince the m	nterference greater th	e divided b nan 1 mile	y 80% flow from, La	v as percent mbert Slot	tage. ugh.
<u></u>	0 ( <b>5</b> ) (1)	TDI 4				4 11 - 66	4.0	11				
. 690-09-04 Rights	o (5) (b) Section.	The pot	ential to i	mpair or	detrimei	itally affe	ect tne pu	blic inter	est is to b	e determi	inea by th	ie wat
☐ If proper under this i. ☐ ii. ☐	permit call The per		lated if it d contain	is found t	o substant (s)	tially inter	fere with	surface w	ater:	nce, and/or	ground w	ater us
SW / GW Red	er than 80	) feet.										
within distanc above this leve preclude hydra that productive	es of 1 mi el. This ca aulic conn	le. To pre an be acco section wit	vent direc mplished th local st	t impacts by the ab- reams but	to stream ove condi it will de	s, Well 3 tion (30 fe crease the	should no eet was ad efficiency	t produce ded as a r y of the co	from sand margin of onnection	d and grav safety). The to the stre	el beds ne nis will no ams by en	ar or t
						•	at icast 20	30 1001			<u> </u>	
							at icast 20	30 1000			itais.	
							at least 20				iliais.	
References U Conlon, T.D., Ground-water	Wozniak, hydrolog	y of the W	illamette/	Basin, O	regon: U.S	S. Geologi	., Morgan	, D.S., Le y Scientif	ic Investi	gations Re	, S.R., 200 port 2005	<u>-5168.</u>
Conlon, T.D., Ground-water Gannett, M.W	Wozniak, hydrolog	y of the Widwell, R.,	<u>illamette</u> 1998, Geo	Basin, On ologic frame	regon: U.S mework o	S. Geologi	., Morgan	, D.S., Le y Scientif	ic Investi	gations Re	, S.R., 200 port 2005	<u>-5168.</u>
Conlon, T.D.,	Wozniak, hydrolog  and Calcal Survey  Johnson,	y of the W dwell, R., Professio N.A., 196	7illamette 1998, Geo nal Paper 5, Selecte	Basin, Orologic francisco	regon: U.S mework o 32p. water data	S. Geological States of the Will as in the Education in t	., Morgan ical Surve amette Lo	, D.S., Le y Scientif	ic Investig	gations Reem, Orego	, S.R., 200 port 2005 n and Was	-5168. shingto

### D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	<ul><li>a.  review of t</li><li>b.  field inspe</li><li>c.  report of C</li></ul>	s not meet current well construction standards based upon: The well log; ection by CWRE ectify)	; ;
D3.	a. constitutes b. commingle c. permits the d. permits the	struction deficiency: es a health threat under Division 200 rules; les water from more than one ground water reservoir; ne loss of artesian head; ne de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; necify)	
D4.	THE WELL const	struction deficiency is described as follows:	
D5.		<ul> <li>a.  was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.</li> <li>b.  I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.</li> </ul> orcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well recepartment and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	construction
THIS  D7.		E COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL  deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	
D8.		nent Section Signature)  Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).	

### Water Availability Tables

# YAMHILL R > WILLAMETTE R - AT MOUTH WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 1/19/2010

Watershed ID #: 30200801 Exceedance Level:

Date: 1/19/2010 Time: 12:10 PM

## **Water Availability Calculation**

Monthly Streamflows in Cubic Feet per Second Storage at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	1,840.00	67.60	1,770.00	0.00	31.70	1,740.00
FEB	2,070.00	65.40	2,000.00	0.00	31.70	1,970.00
MAR	1,760.00	43.00	1,720.00	0.00	31.70	1,690.00
APR	1,060.00	51.50	1,010.00	0.00	31.70	977.00
MAY	523.00	69.30	454.00	0.00	31.70	422.00
JUN	232.00	93.00	139.00	0.00	31.70	107.00
JUL	108.00	117.00	-8.84	0.00	31.70	-40.50
AUG	66.90	101.00	-34.50	0.00	31.70	-66.20
SEP	56.50	65.40	-8.87	0.00	31.70	-40.60
OCT	72.50	19.60	52.90	0.00	31.70	21.20
NOV	462.00	40.20	422.00	0.00	31.70	390.00
DEC	1,670.00	64.50	1,610.00	0.00	31.70	1,570.00
STO	1,180,000.00	48,200.00	1,130,000.00	0.00	23,000.00	1,110,000.00

# WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R - AB MOLALLA R WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 3/23/2010

Water Availability as of 3/23/2010
Watershed ID #: 182

Exceedance Level: 80% Time: 4:52 PM

Date: 3/23/2010

## **Water Availability Calculation**

Monthly Streamflows in Cubic Feet per Second Storage at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	21,400.00	2,250.00	19,100.00	0.00	1,500.00	17,600.00
FEB	23,200.00	7,440.00	15,800.00	0.00	1,500.00	14,300.00
MAR	22,400.00	7,220.00	15,200.00	0.00	1,500.00	13,700.00
APR	19,900.00	6,870.00	13,000.00	0.00	1,500.00	11,500.00
MAY	16,600.00	4,200.00	12,400.00	0.00	1,500.00	10,900.00
JUN	8,740.00	2,050.00	6,690.00	0.00	1,500.00	5,190.00
JUL	4,980.00	1,870.00	3,110.00	0.00	1,500.00	1,610.00
AUG	3,830.00	1,720.00	2,110.00	0.00	1,500.00	614.00
SEP	3,890.00	1,470.00	2,420.00	0.00	1,500.00	918.00
OCT	4,850.00	717.00	4,130.00	0.00	1,500.00	2,630.00
NOV	10,200.00	851.00	9,350.00	0.00	1,500.00	7,850.00
DEC	19,300.00	924.00	18,400.00	0.00	1,500.00	16,900.00
STO	15,200,000.00	2,250,000.00	13,000,000.00	0.00	1,090,000.00	11,900,000.00

#### **Location Map**

