PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

100									5110				
TO:		Wat	er Rights Sec	ction				Date	e <u>Ma</u>	arch 2	3, 2010		
FROI	M:	Grou	und Water/H	ydrology	Section_	Karl	Wozniak	ζ.					
					_	Revi	iewer's Name	9					
SUBJ	IECT:	App	lication G-	17293		Su	persedes	review of					
											Date of Re	view(s)	
PUBI OAR welfan to dete the pro	LIC INT 690-310-1 re, safety a ermine who esumption ENERAL	ERES 30 (1) nd hea ether ti criteri	T PRESUM The Departm alth as describ he presumptic a. This review ORMATION	IPTION; <i>bent shall p</i> <i>bed in ORS</i> on is estable w is based <u>N</u> : A	GROUN <i>presume the</i> <i>5 37.525.</i> lished. OA upon ava pplicant's	DWATE at a propos Departmen R 690-310- ilable info Name:	<u>R</u> sed ground t staff revi -140 allow rmation a Carlton	dwater use will iew ground wat vs the proposed nd agency pol Nursery Con	<i>ensure th</i> er applic use be m icies in p npany,]	<i>he pres</i> ations nodified blace a t	ervation of under OA d or cond t the time County:	of the put AR 690-3 itioned to e of evalu Yamhil	<i>blic</i> 10-140 o meet uation.
					_								
A1.	Applica	nt(s) s	seek(s) <u>1.0</u>	cfs fro	m <u>4</u>	well	(s) in the $_$	Willamette	e				_ Basin,
		Yamh	ill River			subb	asin (Quad Map: <u>D</u>	ayton				
A2.	Propose	ed use:	Nursery &	Pond Mai	intenance	Seas	sonality: _	Year Rou	nd				
A3.	Well an	id aqui	fer data (atta	ch and nu	mber logs	for existin	ng wells; r	nark proposeo	l wells as	s such	under lo	gid):	
XX / 1			A 11 (3	D	1	D	1	T		Loc	ation. me	tes and b	oounds.
wei	Logi	d	Applicant's		Proposed		Proposed Location Rate(cfs) (T/R S OO O)		n NO)		(e.g.	· · · · · ,
1	_		well #	A	quiter	Kate	e(cis)	(1/K-5 QQ	(y -	2250	'N, 1200'	E fr NW (cor S 36
1	Propos	sed	1	A	luvium	1	.0	5S/3W-5 SE	Z/NW	780)' S, 0' W f	r SE cor D	DLC 42
2	Propos	sed	2	A	luvium	1	1.0 5S/3W-5 N		V/SW	1690	"0" S, 60" W fr SE cor DLC 42		
3	Propos	sed	3	A	luvium	1	.0	5S/3W-5 NV	5S/3W-5 NW/SE		2520' S, 2230' E fr SE cor DLC 42		
4	Propos	sed	4	A	luvium	1	.0	5S/3W-5 NE/SE		1970' S, 3540' E fr SE cor DLC 42			
5	Propos	sed	DT 1	A	luvium		?	5S/3W-5 SE	Z/NW	40'	S, 310' E f	r SE cor D	DLC 42
6	Propos	sed	DT 2	A	luvium		?	5S/3W-5 SE	Z/NW	70'	S, 640' E f	r SE cor D	DLC 42
* Allu	vium, CRB,	Bedro	ck										
	Wall	Fire	+		W-11	C1	Casing	Linor	Deafean	4:	Wall	Deceri	
Wal	I Flow	Wat	SWL	SWL	Depth	Jaterval	Intervals		Or Ser	itions	Vield	Draw	Test
wen	ft msl	ft hl	ft bls	Date	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(gnm)	(ft)	Type
1	158	11 01			350	>80	>=20	(11)	100-35	<u>,</u> 0	(gpiii)	(11)	
2	158				350	>80	>=20		100-35	0			
3	162				350	>80	>=20		100-35	0			
4	162				350	>80	>=20		100-35	<u> </u>			
5	158									-			
6	158												
Use da	ta from app	licatior	n for proposed w	wells. n lists 4 pi	roposed we	ells and 2 p	roposed di	rain tiles as sou	rces of w	vater. R	ates were	e not spe	cified

A5. A5. Provisions of the <u>Willamette</u> Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water \Box are, $or \boxtimes$ are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)

Comments: _____ The wells will produce from a confined aquifer so the pertinent rules do not apply. The drain tiles produce from an unconfined aquifer (the water table in the Willamettte Silt) but are greater than ¹/₄ mile from the nearest surface water source so the pertinent rules do not apply.

A6. Well(s) #_____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: Comments:

Version: 08/15/2003

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** *or* **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
 - d. 🛛 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>7C and large water-use reporting for each well (but not the</u> <u>drain tiles).</u>;
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;
 - b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;

 - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. **Ground water availability remarks:** Over 200 feet of predominantly fine-grained sediments occur in the vicinity of the proposed wells (the upper 80-100 feet is identified by the U.S. Geological Survey as the Willamette Silt hydrogeologic unit). Productive sand and gravel beds are found at various depths but are generally less than 20 feet thick and rarely have a combined thickness of more than 50 feet. Some sand beds occur at depths less than 50 feet. Well yields in the area are variable but large diameter wells are capable of producing 200-400 gpm. The water table occurs at shallow depths, generally less than 20 feet within the Willamette Silt. Local streams are incised to depths of of 30-50 feet below the valley floor in the area. Wells that produce from sand or gravel beds near or above this level will have a direct hydraulic connection to nearby streams. Wells that produce from sand and gravel beds below this level will have an indirect hydraulic connection to local streams.

<u>Very few water levels are available from alluvial wells in the surrounding area. However, a long-term observation well located about 1.5 miles to the northeast shows no progressive declines (see attached plot). Because water-level data is sparse and the aquifer is relatively thin, water-level measurement and decline conditions are recommended if a permit is issued.</u>

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel 1	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium	\square	
2	Alluvium	\boxtimes	
3	Alluvium	\square	
4	Alluvium	\boxtimes	
5	Unconfined alluvium (Willamette Silt)		\boxtimes
6	Unconfined alluvium (Willamette Silt)		\square

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Static water levels generally occur above the producing sand and gravel beds</u> identified on well logs in the area. This is consistent with the occurrence of relatively thin sand and gravel beds in a thick column of predominantly fine-grained sediments. However, the drain tiles will produce water from the water table which by definition is unconfined.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO	
1	1	Palmer Creek	140	110-120	2800			
1	2	West Fork Palmer Creek	140	110-120	4300			
2	1	Palmer Creek	140	110-120	2000			
2	2	West Fork Palmer Creek	140	110-120	4700			
3	1	Palmer Creek	120	110-120	1130			
3	2	West Fork Palmer Creek	120	110-120	7200			
4	1	Palmer Creek	120	110-120	1200			
4	2	West Fork Palmer Creek	120	110-120	8000			
5	1	Palmer Creek	140	110-120	3300			
6	1	Palmer Creek	140	110-120	3000			

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Water table maps indicate flow towards and discharge into Palmer Creek</u> and West Palmer Creek. This indicates hydraulic connection between the aquifer and these streams. It was assumed that drain tile water, if not captured for use, would discharge into Palmer Creek which implies a direct hydraulica connection to the creek. Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>Yamhill R > Willamette R - At Mouth</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¹ ⁄ ₄ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1						.565	\boxtimes	<25	\boxtimes
1	2						.565	\boxtimes	<25	\boxtimes
2	1						.565	\boxtimes	<25	\boxtimes
2	2						.565	\boxtimes	<25	\boxtimes
3	1	\boxtimes					.565	\boxtimes	<25	\boxtimes
4	1						.565	\boxtimes	<25	\boxtimes

Page

	5	1			.565	100	\boxtimes
(6	1			.565	100	\boxtimes

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

CIV		Instream	Instream	Qw >	80%	Qw > 1%	Interference	Potential
SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Water Right	Water Right O	1%	Natural	01 80% Natural	@ 30 days	Ior Subst. Interfer
	5 015:	ID	(cfs)	ISWR?	(cfs)	Flow?	(%)	Assumed?

Comments: Because the productive sand and gravel beds are confined, stream depletion at 30 days is expected to be much less than 25% of the pumping rate for the proposed wells. This assumes that the well construction will not allow production from depths less than 80 feet as proposed in section C6 below. If not, interference could be substantially greater. Since drain tile water would discharge instantaneously to Palmer Creek if no water was diverted from the tiles, stream depletion will be 100% of the diversion rate at any time diversion occurs from the drain tiles.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	Non-Distributed Wells												
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
	4 1 3 3 7 1	•											
Distrit	buted well	lS											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A$	A) > (C)	\checkmark											
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

- (A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as
- CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
 Basis for impact evaluation: <u>Stream depletion estimates were not made for streams at greater than 1 mile as modeling in similar circumstances indicates that stream depletion within one year is likely to be much less than 1% of natural stream flow in the relevant water availability basin. This assumes that the well construction will preclude production from depths of less than 80 feet as proposed in section C6 below. If not, interference could be substantially greater.</u>

- C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.
- C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
 - i. \Box The permit should contain condition #(s)
 - ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
- C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions Special Condition: The wells shall be constructed to prevent production from water-bearing zones shallower than 80 feet.

Land surface elevation at the proposed well locations is about 160 feet. Local streams are incised to elevations as low as 110 feet within distances of 1 mile. To prevent direct impacts to streams, the wells should not produce from sand and gravel beds near or above this level. This can be accomplished by the above condition (30 feet was added as a margin of safety). This will not preclude hydraulic connection with local streams but it will greatly decrease the efficiency of the connection to the streams by ensuring that productive water-bearing beds and stream beds will be separated by at least 20-30 feet of fine-grained materials.

References Used:

Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.

Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32p.

Price, D., and Johnson, N.A., 1965, Selected ground water data in the Eola-Amity Hills area, northern Willamette Valley, Oregon: Oregon Water Resources Department Ground Water Report No. 7.

Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82p.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	V	ell #: Logid:
D2.	T a b c d	HE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: review of the well log; field inspection by report of CWRE other: (specify)
D3.	T a. b c. d e.	HE WELL construction deficiency : constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; permits the loss of artesian head; permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; other: (specify)
D4.	Т	IE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
	_	
	_	
D5.	Т	IE WELL a. was , <i>or</i> was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.
		b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
D6.	l I is	oute to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction field with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
TH	IS SE	CTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7.	□ V	ell construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
		, 200
		(Enforcement Section Signature)
D8.		oute to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

Page

Water Availability Tables

YAMHILL R > WILLAMETTE R - AT MOUTH WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 1/19/2010									
Watershed ID #: 3020080)1	Exceedance Level:	80% 🔻						
Date: 1/19/2010		Time:	12:10 PM						
Water Availability Calculation	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Instream Flow Requirements	Re <u>s</u> ervations						
Water	Right <u>s</u>	Watershed Characteristics							

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflows in Cubic Feet per Second Storage at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	1,840.00	67.60	1,770.00	0.00	31.70	1,740.00
FEB	2,070.00	65.40	2,000.00	0.00	31.70	1,970.00
MAR	1,760.00	43.00	1,720.00	0.00	31.70	1,690.00
APR	1,060.00	51.50	1,010.00	0.00	31.70	977.00
MAY	523.00	69.30	454.00	0.00	31.70	422.00
JUN	232.00	93.00	139.00	0.00	31.70	107.00
JUL	108.00	117.00	-8.84	0.00	31.70	-40.50
AUG	66.90	101.00	-34.50	0.00	31.70	-66.20
SEP	56.50	65.40	-8.87	0.00	31.70	-40.60
OCT	72.50	19.60	52.90	0.00	31.70	21.20
NOV	462.00	40.20	422.00	0.00	31.70	390.00
DEC	1,670.00	64.50	1,610.00	0.00	31.70	1,570.00
STO	1,180,000.00	48,200.00	1,130,000.00	0.00	23,000.00	1,110,000.00

Location Map



Water Levels in Nearby Wells

