PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR CROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		r Rights S	ection		Date April 5, 2010										
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section _		Michael Zwart								
SUBJE	CT	Appli	ination G	17310					's Name	view of					
SODIE	λ1.	Аррп		1/510			Suj		seues le				Date of Re	view(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to detern the press	90-310-13 safety ar mine whe umption	30 (1) <i>I</i> <i>ad heal</i> ether the criteria	<i>The Depart</i> <i>th as descr</i> e presumpt	<i>ibed in ORS</i> ion is establ ew is based	<i>S 537.525.</i> Iished. OA	at a De R 6 ila	a proposi partment 590-310- ble infor	ed g sta 140 ma	ff review allows t tion and	ater use will y ground wat he proposed l agency pol alley, LLC	er apj use b icies i	plications be modified in place at	under OA d or cond t the time	AR 690-3 itioned to e of evalu	10-140 meet uation .
		III U		<u>) </u>	applicant s	140	une			ancy, LLC		、	_ounty	Maniet	
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) <u>1.0</u>	cfs fro	m <u>one</u>		well(s) i	n the	Malheur					_Basin,
							subb	asin	u Qu	ad Map: <u>M</u>	[orm	on Basin			
A2. A3.															
Wel			Applican	ť Pr	oposed		Proposed Location					Location, metes and bounds, e.g.			
1	Logi	d	s Well #		Aquifer*		Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q)			2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 3					
1	MALI						1.0		13S/42	2E-20 NE-N	W	170' S,	2550' E f	r NW con	· S 20
2															
3															
4 5															
-	um, CRB,	Bedrocl	ĸ												
r						1									
Well	Well Elev	First Water	NWI	SWL	Well Depth	1	Seal Interval		Casing ntervals	Liner Intervals		forations Screens	Well Yield	Draw Down	Test
w ch	ft msl	ft bls	ff his	Date	(ft)	1	(ft)	11	(ft)	(ft)	UI	(ft)	(gpm)	(ft)	Туре
1	4970	160	48	8/13/80	650	0)-118 0-118 None None				ne	500	350	Air	
Use data	from appl	ication	for proposed	l wells.											
A4.	Comme	ents:													
A5. 🛛	manager (Not all	ment of basin r	ules contai	ur ater hydraul n such prov	isions.)	nec	ted to su	rfac	Basin ru æ water	iles relative t	o the ∐ are	developm e not , activ	ent, class vated by t	ification his applic	and/or cation.

A6. Well(s) #____

Comments:

Well(s) # _____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: ______

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
 - **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, or **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any a. period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding b. is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or c.
 - will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: d.
 - The permit should contain condition #(s)i.
 - The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. ii.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
- B2. **Condition** to allow ground water production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface; a.
 - Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface; b.
 - **Condition** to allow ground water production only from the c. _ ground water reservoir between approximately______ft. and ______ft. below land surface;
 - d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Ground water availability remarks: The area is near the divide between the Malheur and Powder basins west of Rye Valley. There are no nearby observation wells and only one nearby user of groundwater, about 1.5 mile to the south.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel 1	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basalt, possibly CRB, but also could be older Paleozoic rx.	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>The water level is well above the level that groundwater was first</u> encountered.

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	French Gulch	4920±	4960	250		
1	2	Glengarry Gulch	4920±	4940	1200		
1	3	Tuesday Gulch nr. Miller site	4920±	4790	4600		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>The head relationship suggests a poor local hydraulic connection,</u> <u>but at greater distances downstream from the well, the head relationship reverses and suggests that groundwater</u> <u>discharges to surface water</u>. The local geology is complex, and it is not possible to make a positive finding of hydraulic <u>connection at a specific distance or range of distances from surface water</u>. All local creeks are tributaries to Basin <u>Creek</u>.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>BASIN CR > WILLOW CR - AT MOUTH (31011917).</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¹ / ₄ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Date: April 5, 2010

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

Sume e ande	ame evaluation and minimutions apply as in esa above.												
SV #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?				

Comments: This section does not apply.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
													
Distrit	outed Well	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{a}$	otal Interf.										_		
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A})$	(C)	\checkmark											
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

- (A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as
- CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. **Basis for impact evaluation:** <u>This section likely applies, but the complex local geology and potential for impacts with</u> multiple stream reaches makes use of current analytical models inadvisable.

C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.

C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

- i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
- ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions

References Used: Local well log; regional geologic mapping; Geologic Map of the Oregon Part of the Baker 1°by 2° Quadrangle, by Brooks, 1976.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200										
Well #: <u>1</u>		Logid:	MALH 7							
a. review b. field in c. report of	of the well log; spection by of CWRE					; ;				
a. □ constitution b. □ commitmed c. □ permits d. □ permits	utes a health three ngles water from the loss of artes the de-watering	eat under Division n more than one gr sian head; g of one or more g	round water reserved	ervoirs;						
THE WELL co	nstruction defi	ciency is describe	ed as follows: _							
THE WELL	orig	inal construction of	or most recent n	nodification.		ime of				
		tion. I recommen	nd withholding i	ssuance of the perr	nit until evidence o	of well reconstruction				
ECTION TO	BE COMPLE	TED BY ENFO	DRCEMENT	PERSONNEL						
Well construction	on deficiency ha	s been corrected by	y the following	actions:						
	Vell #:1 CHE WELL do	Yell #: 1 CHE WELL does not meet curation in the section by is review of the well log; . is field inspection by . is field inspection by . is report of CWRE . is other: (specify) . is other: (specify) . is constitutes a health three . is constitutes a health three . is permits the loss of arters . is permits the loss of arters . is permits the de-watering . is other: (specify) CHE WELL construction defining is other: (specify) . is other: (specify)	Well #:	Well #: 1 Logid: MALH 7 THE WELL does not meet current well construction standard	Well #: 1 Logid: MALH 7 THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:	Well #: 1 Logid:MALH 7 THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:				

_____, 200_____.

(Enforcement Section Signature)

D8.
Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).
