PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	O: Water Rights Section					Date April 7, 2010							
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/F	Iydrology	Section _		ael Zwart						
SUBJE	ECT:	Appli	cation G	17312			ewer's Name persedes re	view of					
		11	_			,	•			Date of Re	view(s)		
OAR 6 welfare to deter	90-310-1 , <i>safety a</i> mine who	30 (1) <i>I nd heal</i> ether th	<i>th as descri</i> e presumpti	nent shall p bed in ORS on is establ	oresume th 537.525. ished. OA	at a propos Departmen R 690-310-	ed groundw t staff reviev 140 allows	wground wat the proposed	ensure the prester applications use be modifie icies in place a	under OA d or cond	AR 690-3 itioned to	10-140 meet	
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIO	<u>N</u> : A	pplicant's	Name:	Edwin and	d Marilyn S	Stevens (County:	Baker		
A1.	Applica	ınt(s) se	ek(s) 3.34	2 cfs from	m one	well	(s) in the	Powder				Basin,	
			Powder	<u>.</u>				ıad Map: R	ock Creek				
A2.	Propose	ad uca.	Irri	ration 150) acres	Saas	onality:	April 1 to	October 31				
A3.									d wells as such	under lo	gid):		
Wel l	Logid Applicant' s Well #		PI	oposed quifer*	Propose Rate(cf		Location /R-S QQ-Q)		Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36				
1	Proposed		1	1 Bedrock			3.342 7S/38E-14 SE-SE			785' N, 755' W fr SE cor S 14 1300' N, 580' W fr SE cor S 14			
3	Propo	sed	1 Alt.	Be	Bedrock		3.342 7S/38E-14 SE-SE		E 1300' 1	N, 580' W	fr SE cor	S 14	
4													
5 * Alli	um, CRB,	D - d1											
Alluvi													
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
1, 1A	3490	100			500	0-50	0-500	None	100±				
										<u> </u>			
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	wells.						<u>.</u> l			
	ary rate.	The p	roposed se	al depth is	not likely	adequate	to seal into	competent b	on is requesting pedrock, the pr d rate from on	oposed a			
A5. 🛛	manage (Not all	ment of basin r	ules contair	ter hydraul such prov	ically com isions.)	nected to su	rface water	ıles relative t ☐ are , <i>or</i> [the developm X are not , active	ent, class vated by t	ification his applic	and/or cation.	
A6. 🗌	Name o	of admir	, istrative are	ea:	,	,	, ta	p(s) an aquif	er limited by an	administ	rative res	triction.	

Version: 08/15/2003

Application G- <u>17312</u>	continued	Date: April 7, 2010
	_	

В. <u>GF</u>	ROUN	ID WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070										
B1.	Bas	Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:										
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;										
	b.	will not <i>or</i> will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;										
	c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or										
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)										
B2.	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;										
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;										
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;										
	d.	☐ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.										
		Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):										
В3.	Gro	ound water availability remarks: The nearest SOW (#3) indicates that water levels are relatively stable.										
	Rec	ommended language for well construction follows: The well shall be cased and sealed at least five feet into										
		apetent bedrock.										

Application G-<u>17312</u> continued

Date: April 7, 2010

	rock	s (pTr), bu	ıt also ma	ay be young	er CRB						
1											
				aluation: <u>N</u> ncountered.	Nearby wells]	penetratin	ng a bedrock	aquifer hav	e water leve	ls well a	bove
horizor assume	ntal dis	tance less t	han ¼ mi ally conn	le from a su	and hydraulic orface water so surface water	urce that p	roduce water	from an unc	onfined aqui	fer shall	be
Well SW Surface Water Nam				ter Name	GW Elev	SW Elev	Distance (ft)	Hydrai Conne	Potential fo Subst. Interfe Assumed?		
	π				ft msl	ft msl	(11)	YES NO	ASSUMED	YES	
1	1	William	s Ditch		3350±	3485	600				
1	2	Mansfie			3350±	3485	475				
1	3	Little M		eek	3350±	3480	2900				
1A	1	William			3350±	3485	100				
1A	2	Mansfie			3350±	3480	900				
1A	3	Little M	uddy Cr	eek	3350±	2480	3350				
								<u> </u>			
								<u> </u>	<u> <u> </u></u>		
								<u> </u>			
↓											
Basis fo	r aqui	fer hvdrau	ılic conne	ection evalu	ation: The b	edrock ag	uifer is well	below the n	earby strean	ı reache	s. T
Water A 590-09-0 connect that are j	Availa 040 (4 ed and pertine e the re	bility Basin Evaluati less than ent to that sequested rai	n the well ion of stre 1 mile frourface wa te against	eam impacts om a surface ter source, at the 1% of 8 reach well.	ation: The boill ensure tha ted within: for each well water source, and not lower; ow natural fle Any checked	POWDER that has be Limit eva SW source ow for the	een determine cluation to insect to which the pertinent Walicates the we	E R - AB UN ed or assume stream rights the stream und ater Availabi ell is assumed	NN STR (721 d to be hydra and minimur ler evaluation lity Basin (W	nulically m stream is tribut (AB). If potential	flow tary. Q is to ca
Water A 90-09-0 connect that are p Compared distribut	Availa 040 (4 ed and pertine e the re	bility Basin Evaluati less than ent to that sequested rai	n the well ion of stre 1 mile frourface wa te against	eam impacts om a surface ter source, at the 1% of 8	ted within:	POWDER that has be Limit eva SW source ow for the	R R > SNAK een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Wa	E R - AB UN ed or assume stream rights the stream und ater Availabi	NN STR (721 d to be hydra and minimur ler evaluation lity Basin (W	nulically m stream is tribut (AB). If potential ce s for In	flow tary. Q is to c
Water A 590-09-0 connect that are j Compare distribut PSI.	Availal 040 (4 ed and pertine e the red by	bility Basin Divide Evaluation Divide Evaluation	n the wellion of street 1 mile frourface wate against all rate for Qw >	eam impacts om a surface atter source, at the 1% of 8 or each well.	ted within: for each well water source, and not lower \$10% natural fleathy checked [Instream Water Right Q]	that has be Limit evance ow for the box ind Qw > 1%	een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Walicates the week week week week week week was a solution to insect to which the pertinent Walicates the week week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent was	E R - AB UN ed or assume stream rights the stream und atter Availabit ll is assumed Qw > 1% of 80% Natural	d to be hydra and minimum ler evaluation lity Basin (Will to have the public of the second of the se	nulically m stream is tribut (AB). If potential ce s for In	flow tary. Q is to c
Water A 590-09-0 connect that are j Compare distribut PSI.	Availal 040 (4 ed and pertine e the red by	bility Basin Divide Evaluation Divide Evaluation	n the wellion of street 1 mile frourface wate against all rate for Qw >	eam impacts om a surface atter source, at the 1% of 8 or each well.	ted within: for each well water source, and not lower \$10% natural fleathy checked [Instream Water Right Q]	that has be Limit evance ow for the box ind Qw > 1%	een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Walicates the week week week week week week was a solution to insect to which the pertinent Walicates the week week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent was	E R - AB UN ed or assume stream rights the stream und atter Availabit ll is assumed Qw > 1% of 80% Natural	d to be hydra and minimum ler evaluation lity Basin (Will to have the public of the second of the se	nulically m stream is tribut (AB). If potential ce s for In	flow tary. Q is to c
Water A 90-09-0 connect that are j Compared distribut PSI.	Availal 040 (4 ed and pertine e the red by	bility Basin Divide Evaluation Divide Evaluation	n the wellion of street 1 mile frourface wate against all rate for Qw >	eam impacts om a surface atter source, at the 1% of 8 or each well.	ted within: for each well water source, and not lower \$10% natural fleathy checked [Instream Water Right Q]	that has be Limit evance ow for the box ind Qw > 1%	een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Walicates the week week week week week week was a solution to insect to which the pertinent Walicates the week week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent was	E R - AB UN ed or assume stream rights the stream und atter Availabit ll is assumed Qw > 1% of 80% Natural	d to be hydra and minimum ler evaluation lity Basin (Will to have the public of the second of the se	nulically m stream is tribut (AB). If potential ce s for In	flow tary. Q is
Water A 90-09-0 connect that are j Compared distribut PSI.	Availal 040 (4 ed and pertine e the red by	bility Basin Divide Evaluation Divide Evaluation	n the wellion of street 1 mile frourface wate against all rate for Qw >	eam impacts om a surface atter source, at the 1% of 8 or each well.	ted within: for each well water source, and not lower \$10% natural fleathy checked [Instream Water Right Q]	that has be Limit evance ow for the box ind Qw > 1%	een determine duation to insect to which the pertinent Walicates the week week week week week week was a solution to insect to which the pertinent Walicates the week week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent Walicates the week was a solution with the pertinent was	E R - AB UN ed or assume stream rights the stream und atter Availabit ll is assumed Qw > 1% of 80% Natural	d to be hydra and minimum ler evaluation lity Basin (Will to have the public of the second of the se	nulically m stream is tribut (AB). If potential ce s for In	flow tary. Q is to c

Date: April 7, 2010

Unconfined

Confined

Application G-17312

Wel

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer

Application G-17312	continued	Date: April 7, 2010
		-

4 11 11 G 15010		D
Application G- <u>17312</u>	continued	Date: April 7, 2010

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments:	This section	n does	not apply.						

Comments:	This section does not apply.		
-			

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-E	Distributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
			l						l				
Distri	buted Wel	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfe	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfe	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	rence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80) % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A	A) > (C)		√	√	√	\checkmark	√	√	/	√	√	√	√
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A}$	A / B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Version: 08/15/2003

Application G- <u>17312</u>	continued	Date: <u>April 7, 2010</u>
CFS; (D) = highlight the check	kmark for each month where (A)	low at 80% exceed. as CFS; $(C) = 1\%$ of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as is greater than (C) ; $(E) = \text{total}$ interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.
C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) Rights Section.	The potential to impair or o	detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wate
under this permit ca	n be regulated if it is found to	e(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use substantially interfere with surface water: #(s)
ii. The pe	rmit should contain special con	#(s)
C6. SW / GW Remarks an	d Conditions	
		views; Brooks, Geology of the Oregon Part of the Baker 1° by 2° Quad,
		Water Resources of Baker Valley, Baker County, Oregon, by Frederick Baker County, Oregon, by Lystrom, Nees and Hampton, 1976

D1.	Well #:	ON, OAR 690-200 Logid:	
D2.	a. review of the	t meet current well construction standards based upon:	
	b. field inspection	on by	
	c. report of CW	RE	
	d. other: (specif	·y)	
D3.	THE WELL constru	ction deficiency:	
		health threat under Division 200 rules;	
		water from more than one ground water reservoir;	
		oss of artesian head;	
		e-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; y)	
D4.	THE WELL constru	ction deficiency is described as follows:	
D5.	THE WELL a.	was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.	
		original construction of most recent modification.	
	b.	I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.	
D6. [ement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstrutement and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	uction
THIS	SECTION TO BE C	OMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	
D7. [Well construction defi	ciency has been corrected by the following actions:	
		, 200	0
		t Section Signature), 250	

Date: April 7, 2010

Application G-<u>17312</u> continued