

**BEFORE THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OF THE STATE OF OREGON**

In the Matter of Water Rights Application            )  
R-87511, Douglas County                                )

SUPERSEDING FINAL ORDER  
APPROVING THE STORAGE OF  
PUBLIC WATERS

**Authority**

Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 537.409 establishes the process by which an application to store waters of the public may be submitted.

**Application History**

1. On August 10, 2009, Alan and Janet Krusi submitted an application for a permit to store water pursuant to ORS 537.409:

Storage Capacity: 2.0 ACRE FEET

Dam Height: ZERO FEET (EXCAVATED)

Source: RUNOFF, TRIBUTARY TO CALAPOOYA CREEK AND CALAPOOYA CREEK, TRIBUTARY TO UMPQUA RIVER

Location: DOUGLAS COUNTY IN SECTION 28, TOWNSHIP 25 SOUTH, RANGE 6 WEST, W.M.

2. On February 11, 2010, a final order was issued, which denied Application R-87512.
3. On March 4, 2010, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife amended the determination that found conditions cannot be applied to mitigate the significant detrimental impact to the existing fishery.

**APPEAL RIGHTS**

**Appeal to a circuit court:** This is a final order in other than a contested case. Any party affected by a final order in other than a contested case issued by the Water Resources Commission or Water Resources Department may appeal the order to the appropriate circuit court (ORS 536.075). A petition for judicial review must be filed within the 60-day time period specified by ORS 183.484 (2).

**Petition to the Department for Reconsideration of the Final Order:** A person entitled to judicial review of this order may also file a petition for the Department's reconsideration of the order. The petition for reconsideration must be filed with the Department within 60 calendar days after the date of the order. A copy of the petition must also be delivered or mailed to all other persons and agencies requiring notification under statute or rule (OAR 137-004-0080). The petition must set forth the specific grounds for reconsideration, and may be supported by a written argument. The petition may include a request for a stay of the order if the petition complies with the requirements of OAR 137-003-0090 (2). The Department may grant or deny the petition by summary order. If the Department takes no action, the petition is deemed denied as provided by ORS 183.484 (2). A final order remains in effect during reconsideration until stayed or changed. Following reconsideration, the agency must enter a new order, which may be an order affirming the existing order (OAR 137-004-0080). Reconsideration cannot be granted after the filing of a petition for judicial review, unless permitted by the court (OAR 137-004-0080 (6)).

ORS 537.409 does not provide an opportunity to request a contested-case hearing. Appeal rights on this final order approving the application are limited to the above procedures.

4. On March 9, 2010, Pete Baki with Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife submitted an updated Alternate Reservoir Review.

### **Findings of Fact**

1. The required examination fees were paid and minimum application requirements were met.
2. The Department provided public notice of the application in the Department's weekly public notice on August 18, 2009. A 60-day comment period followed.
3. The Department has not received public comment related to the possible issuance of the attached permit.
4. The Department has determined that the proposed source has not been withdrawn from further appropriation.
5. The proposed reservoir is not prohibited under ORS 390.835.
6. Water is available for the proposed use according to the Department's water-availability model and the watermaster's assessment.
7. Because water is available, the Department finds that existing water rights would not be injured by the proposed use.
8. On March 4, 2010 Pete Baki with Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife submitted additional information which demonstrated that the proposed pond was not within the 25-year floodplain and that the proposed use will not pose a significant detrimental impact to existing fishery resources.

The Department finds that the Final Order for Application R-87511 requires modification to reflect this amendment.

9. Based upon the amended review submitted by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Department has determined that, as conditioned, the proposed use will not pose a significant detrimental impact to existing fishery resources.

### **Conclusions of Law**

1. Water is available for the proposed use.
2. The proposed use will not injure existing water rights.
3. The proposed use will not pose a significant detrimental impact to existing fishery resources.
4. Application R-87511 is consistent with the requirements of ORS 537.409.

### **Order**

Application R-87511 is approved, and Permit R-14836 is issued as limited by the conditions contained therein.

The Final Order issued for Application R-87511 on February 11, 2010, is of no further force or effect.

Dated at Salem, Oregon *APRIL 23, 2010*

*E. Timothy Wall*

for Phillip C. Ward, Director

This order was produced by Brook Geffen. If you have any questions about any of the statements contained in this document, I am probably the best person to answer your questions. You may reach me at 503-986-0808 or [Brook.A.Geffen@wrд.state.or.us](mailto:Brook.A.Geffen@wrд.state.or.us).

If you have other questions about the Department or any of its programs please contact our Customer Service Group at 503-986-0801. Address all other correspondence to: Water Rights Section, Oregon Water Resources Department, 725 Summer St NE, Suite A, Salem OR 97301-1266, Fax: 503-986-0901.

