

Oregon Water Resources Department 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, Oregon 97301-1271 (503) 986-0900 www.wrd.state.or.us

## Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer

Transfer Application: T-10329					Revi	Review Due Date: 4/6/07		
App	olicant Na	me: R	ock Ridge Fa	<u>rms</u>				
Prop	posed Cha	nges:	POU	POD	⊠ POA	USE	OTHER	
Reviewer(s): M McCord					Date	of Review:	Apr. 3, 2007	
1.	Do you have information suggesting that the water rights may be subject to forfeiture?  Yes No If "Yes", describe the information and indicate if you intend to file a cancellation affidavit or if you need additional time to determine if a cancellation affidavit should be filed: Note: There has been recent construction activity at this site. Several large buildings that appear to be dairy facilities have been constructed in the area north of the exisiting POA. The buildings appear to be within the POU.							
2.	Is there a history of regulation on the source that serves the right proposed for transfer that has involved the right and downstream water rights?   Yes No Generally characterize the frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation has not occurred:							
3.	Check here if it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows resulting from the current use of the right?   If you check the box, generally characterize the locations where the return flows likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most:							
4.	Are there Yes most affe	🔯 No		ts that would be describe how the			ange?  nd list the rights	
5.	For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach?   If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses:							
6.	Would distribution of water for the right after the proposed change result in regulation of other water rights that would not have occurred if use of the existing right was maximized?  Yes No If "Yes", explain:							
7.	For POU source? [	_		original place o N/A If "Y			er from the same	
8.		of more	water than o	ould use of the e can be used ber			ue," result in the Yes No	

9. Are there other issues not identified through the above questions? X Yes "Yes", explain: The 10.5 acres south of Arndt Road will not have a legal POA unless an easement is granted. 10. What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above: I discussed the issues described above with the CWRE and he said the applicant is working to address the issues. 11. Have headgate notices been issued for the source that serves the right? \(\simega\) Yes 12. What water control and measurement conditions should be included in the transfer: Present and Should be May be required Measurement should be required prior to in the future. **Devices** maintained. diverting water.

Should be

required prior to

diverting water.

Present and

maintained.

should be

Transfer Application: T-10329

May be required

in the future.

Watermaster Review Form

Headgates