<u>PUBLI</u>	C INTEI	REST H	REVIEW I	OR GROU	JND WAT	ER APPLI	ICATIONS	-				
TO:		Water	Rights Se	ection				Date	June 29,	2010		
FROM	:	Grour	nd Water/H	Hydrology	Section	Josh H	ackett					
SUBJE	СТ·	Appli	cation G-	17399		Revie Sup	wer's Name ersedes rev	view of				
SCDIL	011	1 ppn		1,077		Bup				Date of Rev	view(s)	
<b>PUBLI</b> OAR 69 <i>welfare,</i> to detern the press	<b>C</b> INTE 90-310-1: safety and mine when umption	<b>EREST</b> <b>30</b> (1) <i>7</i> <i>nd healt</i> ether the criteria.	TPRESUM The Department of the Department thas described of the presumption This review	MPTION; ment shall p bed in ORS on is estable w is based	GROUNI resume tha 537.525. D ished. OAR upon avail	DWATER t a propose Department 690-310-1 able inform	<u>R</u> <i>ad groundwa</i> staff review 40 allows the <b>mation and</b>	ater use will a ground wate he proposed agency poli	ensure the press er applications use be modified cies in place at	ervation of under OA d or condi t <b>the time</b>	of the pub R 690-3 tioned to of evalu	blic 10-140 ) meet 1ation.
A. GEN	EKAL II	NFURI	IATION: A	Applicant's	Name:	Food for L	ane County		County: 1	Lane		
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	ek(s) <u>0.09</u>	9 <u>4</u> cfs f	rom <u>1</u> w	ell(s) in the subb	e <u>Willa</u> asin Qua	<b>mette</b> ad Map: Eu	igene East			_Basin,
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	d use: _ d aquife	Irrig er data ( <b>att</b>	gation ach and nu	mber logs f	Seaso for existing	onality: g wells; ma	March 1 – ( rk proposed	October 31	under log	gid):	
Well	Log	id	Applicant'	s Propose	ed Aquifer*	Proposed	d (T	Location	Locatio	n, metes a	nd bound	s, e.g.
1	Propo	sed	Well #	all	alluvium		) (T) 17S/3	/ <u>R-S QQ-Q)</u> W-22 NW-SV	V 380' S	s, 1200' E 1 S, 15' W fr	$\frac{\text{r NW cor}}{C^{\frac{1}{4}} \text{ cor }}$	S 36 S 22
2										500 5, 15 11 11 0 74 001 5 2		
3												
5	5											
* Alluviu	ım, CRB,	Bedrock	Ξ.									
Well	Well Elev ft msl 435	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) <100	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
Use data	from appl	lication f	for proposed	wells.							<u></u>	<u> </u>
A4.	Comme	ents:										
A5. 🛛	<ul> <li>5.</li></ul>											
A6. 🗌	Well(s) #											

#### B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water\* for the proposed use:
  - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. \* This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. \* This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
  - c. **will not** *or* **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
  - d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7B, 7C
    - ii.  $\Box$  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
    - iii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
- B2. a. Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - b. Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - c. Condition to allow ground water production only from the \_\_\_\_\_\_ alluvial \_\_\_\_\_\_ ground water reservoir between approximately\_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. and \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. below land surface;
  - d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

**Describe injury** –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

#### B3. Ground water availability remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

The applicant's well is located in an area that contains sand and gravel from land surface to a depth of approximately 200 feet. Less than 100 feet of mostly fine grained alluvial sediments underlies the sands and gravels. Groundwater production occurs mostly in the upper, unconfined sands and gravels. In the vicinity of the applicant's well, the water table is about 20 feet below land surface.

Water levels in nearby wells show no obvious signs of declines.

### C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

#### C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	alluvium		$\boxtimes$

**Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:** <u>There are no significant confining beds described on well logs in the area. Wells in the area that are completed in the alluvial aquifer have static water levels within the sand and gravel aquifer, indicating unconfined conditions.</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? <b>YES NO</b>
1	1	McKenzie River	415	415	3900		

**Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation:** <u>The applicant's well is located in unconfined alluvium that is adjacent</u> to the McKenzie River. Water-table maps (Frank, 1973) indicate that shallow groundwater in the area discharges to the McKenzie River.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: <u>528: MCKENZIE R > WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH</u>

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked 🖾 box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			MF126A	1020		1730		<25%	

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

e i ui uuui	valuation and minutions upply as in esta above.											
	SW		Qw >	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Interference	Potential for Subst.					
	#		5 cfs?	Right ID	Right Q (cfs)	ISWR?	Flow (cfs)	Natural Flow?	@ 30 days (%)	Interfer. Assumed?		
					()		(000)					

**Comments:** Interference with the McKenzie River was estimated using the Hunt model (1999, attached). The aquifer hydraulic conductivity was assigned values ranging from 50 to 150 ft/day, corresponding to sand and gravel. The aquifer specific yield was assigned a value of 0.20, typical for unconfined sand and gravel. The streambed hydraulic conductivity was assigned values ranging from 1 to 5 ft/day. Estimates of impacts to the McKenzie River after 30 days of pumping ranged from 1% to 20%.

# C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	istributed V	Vells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Dictrib	uted Wells												
Well	SW#	Ian	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Iun	Iul	Ang	Sen	Oct	Nov	Dec
,, en	5.011	9/0	%	%	<u>%</u>	1/1dy	%	<u>%</u>	11ug	%	<u>%</u>	%	<u>%</u>
Well O	as CES	,,,	,,,,	,,,	,,,	, 0	, 0	, 0	,,,	70	, 0	, •	, 0
Interfer	ence CFS												
Interior		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well O	as CES	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	,	,,,	,,,	, .	,,,	, •	, -	,.	,,,	,.
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well O	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
$(\Lambda) - T_{\ell}$	otal Interf				[		[	[					
(R) - 80	% Nat O												
$(\mathbf{C}) = 0$	% Nat 0												
$(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{I}$	/ Tial. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A)$	$\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A})$	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: \_

5

4b. <b>690-09-040</b> (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined Rights Section.	oy the Wate
<ul> <li>5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or grou under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:</li> <li>i. The permit should contain condition #(s)</li> </ul>	nd water us
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;	
6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:	
Keterences Usea:	
Frank, F. J., Ground Water in the Eugene-Springfield Area. Southern Willamette Valley. Oregon. 1973. Geological	Survey
Water-Supply Paper 2018, 65 p, 3 plates.	

Hunt, B., 1999, Unsteady Stream Depletion from Ground Water Pumping.

# D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:	
D2.	THE WELL does not meet current w         a.       review of the well log;         b.       field inspection by	/ell construction standards based upon:	; ;
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency:         a.       constitutes a health threat under         b.       commingles water from more         c.       permits the loss of artesian heat         d.       permits the de-watering of one         e.       other: (specify)	er Division 200 rules; than one ground water reservoir; ad; e or more ground water reservoirs;	
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency	is described as follows:	
D5.	THE WELL a. was, or original con	was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of nstruction or most recent modification.	
D6.	<ul> <li>B. I don't know</li> <li>Route to the Enforcement Section. I is filed with the Department and approv</li> </ul>	recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction. ved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	ruction
THIS	S SECTION TO BE COMPLETED I	BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	
D7.	Well construction deficiency has been a	corrected by the following actions:	
	(Enforcement Section Signatur	re), 20	
D8.	Route to Water Rights Section (attac	ch well reconstruction logs to this page).	

# MCKENZIE R > WILLAMETTE R - AB MOUTH WILLAMETTE BASIN

# Water Availability as of 6/29/2010

Exceedance Level: 80% Time: 10:19 AM

Date: 6/29/2010

Watershed ID #: 528

# Water Availability Calculation

#### Monthly Streamflows in Cubic Feet per Second Storage at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	5,040.00	552.00	4,490.00	0.00	1,020.00	3,470.00
FEB	5,850.00	1,250.00	4,600.00	0.00	1,020.00	3,580.00
MAR	5,630.00	1,250.00	4,380.00	0.00	1,020.00	3,360.00
APR	5,020.00	1,300.00	3,720.00	0.00	1,020.00	2,700.00
MAY	4,000.00	807.00	3,190.00	0.00	1,020.00	2,170.00
JUN	2,990.00	407.00	2,580.00	0.00	1,020.00	1,560.00
JUL	2,160.00	388.00	1,770.00	0.00	1,020.00	752.00
AUG	1,790.00	377.00	1,410.00	0.00	1,020.00	393.00
SEP	1,730.00	358.00	1,370.00	0.00	1,020.00	352.00
OCT	1,830.00	327.00	1,500.00	0.00	1,020.00	483.00
NOV	2,850.00	326.00	2,520.00	0.00	1,020.00	1,500.00
DEC	4,450.00	326.00	4,120.00	0.00	1,020.00	3,100.00

#### Detailed Report of Instream Flow Requirements

Instream Flow Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

Application #	Status	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MF528A	CERTIFICATE	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00
MF126A	APPLICATION	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00	1,020.00
Maximum													

# Modeling Results



Jenkins s2	Hunt s2	Jenkins s2 residual	— Hunt s2 residual
•••••••			

Output for Hunt Stream Depletion, Scenerio 2 (s2): Time pump on = 240 days												
Days	30	60	90	120	150	180	210	240	270	300	330	360
Qw, cfs	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.094	0.094
Jenk SD %	0.111	0.260	0.358	0.426	0.476	0.516	0.547	0.573	0.484	0.354	0.273	0.220
Jen SD cfs	0.010	0.024	0.033	0.040	0.045	0.048	0.051	0.054	0.045	0.033	0.026	0.021
Hunt SD %	0.111	0.260	0.358	0.426	0.476	0.516	0.547	0.573	0.484	0.354	0.273	0.220
Hunt SD cfs	0.010	0.024	0.033	0.040	0.045	0.048	0.051	0.054	0.045	0.033	0.026	0.021

Parameters:		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Units
Net steady pumping rate	Qw	42	42	42	gpm
Distance to stream	а	3900	3900	3900	ft
Aquifer hydraulic conductivity	K	50	100	150	ft/day
Aquifer thickness	b	200	200	200	ft
Aquifer transmissivity	Т	10000	20000	30000	ft*ft/day
Aquifer storage coefficient	S	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Stream width	WS	200	200	200	ft
Streambed hydraulic conductivity	Ks	1	3	5	ft/day
Streambed thickness	bs	5	5	5	ft
Streambed conductance	sbc	40	120	200	ft/day
Stream depletion factor (Jenkins)	sdf	304.2	152.1	101.4	days
Streambed factor (Hunt)	sbf	15.6	23.4	26	

## Well Location Map

