PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights S	ection		DateJuly 12, 2010							
FROM	:	Grou	ndwater S	ection									
SUBJE	CT:	Appli	cation G-	17106		Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of November 6, 2008 Date of Review(s)							
OAR 69 welfare, to deter	90-310-1 safety a mine who	30 (1) 7 and healt there	The Depart th as descr presumpt	<i>ibed in ORS</i> ion is establ	presume the 537.525. ished. OA	at a propos Department R 690-310-	ed groundw t staff reviev 140 allows t	v groundwate he proposed	ensure the preser applications use be modified icies in place at	ervation of the or condition of the or conditi	of the pub R 690-31	0-140 meet	
A. <u>GE</u>	<u>NERAL</u>	INFO	RMATIC	<u>ON</u> : A	pplicant's	Name:	Hillsboro	School Dis	trict 1J	County:_	Washir	<u>igton</u>	
A1.	Applica	int(s) se	ek(s) <u>0.1</u>	23 cfs fro	m <u>1</u>	well	(s) in the	Willamett	e River			_ Basin,	
		<u> Tualati</u>	n River, G	ordon Cree	ek	subb	asin Qu	ıad Map: <u>S</u>	cholls				
A2.	Propose	ed use: _	Irr	igation – 7.	94 acres	Seas	sonality:	April 1 – S	September 30				
A3.	Well an	d aquif	er data (att	ach and nu	mber logs	for existin	g wells; ma	rk proposed	wells as such	under log	gid):		
Well	Log	id	Applicant Well #		oposed quifer*	Propos Rate(cf		Location /R-S QQ-Q)	Location 2250' N		and bound		
1	WASH	55590	38437		luvium	0.123		02W-10 SE			SE cor D		
3													
4													
5	CDD	D - 11								_			
Alluvii	ım, CRB,	Bedrock											
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	. SWL	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
	205	56	18	12/9/99	107	0-23	+1 - 57 67 - 83		57 – 67 83 - 103	12	18	Bail	
			22	7/23/08			103 - 107			60	62	р	
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	d wells.									
A4. <u>60 gpm</u>				oacity test volume to be described by the lown of 62'.					pump/well ma			rge of	
Reques	ted discl	narge ra	ate is 55 g	0m = 0.123									
A5. 🛚	manage (Not all	ment of basin r ents:	groundwa ules contai The well	n such prov develops wa	cally conne isions.) ater from	ected to sur a confined	face water aquifer, the	are, or E	to the developm are not, activa	ited by th	is applica	ition.	
A6. 🗌	Name of	# of admin	istrative ar	rea: None			, ta	np(s) an aqui	fer limited by an				

Version: 08/15/2003

a. □ is over appropriated, ☑ is not over appropriated, or □ er period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130; b. □ will not or □ will likely be available in the amounts requise limited to the groundwater portion of the injury dec. □ will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing growing ii. □ The permit should contain condition #(s) □ 7B −	or the proposed use:
is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury dec. will not or will likely to be available within the capac d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing grow i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7B Well Tag + large measurement and reporting in ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deep b. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately land surface; d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or monoccur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is like senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc. The Willamette Silt acts as the confining layer but yield. The Department was contacted by the property owner to the sobetween the wells. On July 7, 2009, groundwater levels were meschool's well was 26.76 (static). Both wells develop water from WASH 9805 is poorly designed/constructed well. There are no well from the bottom of the casing at 269 feet. When the water late in the summer, sand will enter the well. Based on the inforlog, it was determined that the well was not reasonably efficients.	
d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing gro	ested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding termination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
i.	ity of the groundwater resource; or
Condition to allow groundwater production from no shall Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately land surface; Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or mo occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited belissuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is like senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, et ayers. The Willamette Silt acts as the confining layer but yield. The Department was contacted by the property owner to the so between the wells. On July 7, 2009, groundwater levels were mescaped. The water level was 64.06 feet below land surface and rischool's well was 26.76 (static). Both wells develop water from WASH 9805 is poorly designed/constructed well. There are no well from the bottom of the casing at 269 feet. When the water ate in the summer, sand will enter the well. Based on the informog, it was determined that the well was not reasonably efficient	Interference, 7C – Seven year wl measurement, 7P – ncluding totalizing flow meter item 2 below.
c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately land surface; d. Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or mo occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited bel issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is like senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, et senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, et layers. The Willamette Silt acts as the confining layer but yield. The Department was contacted by the property owner to the so between the wells. On July 7, 2009, groundwater levels were m 55590. The water level was 64.06 feet below land surface and r school's well was 26.76 (static). Both wells develop water from WASH 9805 is poorly designed/constructed well. There are no well from the bottom of the casing at 269 feet. When the water late in the summer, sand will enter the well. Based on the inforting, it was determined that the well was not reasonably efficient	er than ft. below land surface;
land surface; Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or monoccur with this use and without reconstructing are cited be issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction Groundwater Section. Describe injury — as related to water availability—that is like senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, et ayers. The Willamette Silt acts as the confining layer but yield. The Department was contacted by the property owner to the sopetween the wells. On July 7, 2009, groundwater levels were mestated in the water level was 64.06 feet below land surface and richool's well was 26.76 (static). Both wells develop water from WASH 9805 is poorly designed/constructed well. There are no well from the bottom of the casing at 269 feet. When the water ate in the summer, sand will enter the well. Based on the informog, it was determined that the well was not reasonably efficient.	ower than ft. below land surface;
occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited bel issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is like senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, et senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, et layers. The Willamette Silt acts as the confining layer but yield. The Department was contacted by the property owner to the so between the wells. On July 7, 2009, groundwater levels were m 55590. The water level was 64.06 feet below land surface and rischool's well was 26.76 (static). Both wells develop water from WASH 9805 is poorly designed/constructed well. There are no well from the bottom of the casing at 269 feet. When the water late in the summer, sand will enter the well. Based on the information, it was determined that the well was not reasonably efficient	ft. andft. below
The Department was contacted by the property owner to the so between the wells. On July 7, 2009, groundwater levels were m 55590. The water level was 64.06 feet below land surface and richool's well was 26.76 (static). Both wells develop water from WASH 9805 is poorly designed/constructed well. There are no well from the bottom of the casing at 269 feet. When the water ate in the summer, sand will enter the well. Based on the inforces, it was determined that the well was not reasonably efficient	ow. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding a is filed with the Department and approved by the ely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/
The Department was contacted by the property owner to the so between the wells. On July 7, 2009, groundwater levels were m 55590. The water level was 64.06 feet below land surface and r school's well was 26.76 (static). Both wells develop water from WASH 9805 is poorly designed/constructed well. There are no well from the bottom of the casing at 269 feet. When the water late in the summer, sand will enter the well. Based on the infording, it was determined that the well was not reasonably efficient	
The Department was contacted by the property owner to the so between the wells. On July 7, 2009, groundwater levels were m 55590. The water level was 64.06 feet below land surface and r school's well was 26.76 (static). Both wells develop water from WASH 9805 is poorly designed/constructed well. There are no well from the bottom of the casing at 269 feet. When the water late in the summer, sand will enter the well. Based on the information, it was determined that the well was not reasonably efficient	
between the wells. On July 7, 2009, groundwater levels were m 55590. The water level was 64.06 feet below land surface and r school's well was 26.76 (static). Both wells develop water from WASH 9805 is poorly designed/constructed well. There are no well from the bottom of the casing at 269 feet. When the water late in the summer, sand will enter the well. Based on the infording, it was determined that the well was not reasonably efficient	
	easured at both wells – WASH 9805 and WASH ising at the neighboring well. The water level at the the interbedded clay, silt and sand, mostly clay. perforations therefore all of the water must enter the level in the well is lowered due to pumping, especially mation gather during the field visit and from the well

continued

Date_

July 12, 2010

Application G-17106_

Application G-17106	continued
repriedución d 17100	continucc

_	
Date	July 12, 2010

C. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvial - Basin Fill Sediments		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Groundwater level rose above where it was encountered in the well.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO	
1	1	Un-named trib. to Gordon Cr.	187	200	775			
	2	Gordon Creek		155	2100			
	3	Butternut Creek		148	2750			

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater levels are below the un-named tributary to Gordon Creek but they are above the levels in Gordon Creek and Butternut Creek. The Willamette Silt acts as a confining layer but is saturated and contributes water to streams.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: TUALATIN R> WILLAMETTE R- AT GAGE 14206500

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?			Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Water Right Q 1%		Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
1	2				100		44.3		< 25%		
	3				100		44.3		< 25%		

Application G-17106	continued
-FF	

Date	July 12, 2010

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: The requested discharge rate is below the limits of this section.	
	_
	_
	_

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul_	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS								-				
	outed Well												
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS	_											
	ence CFS				_								
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = To	otal Interf.										_		
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
$(\mathbf{D}) = (A$	A) > (C)	\\ \(\sigma^{\epsilon} \)	v"	V.	\\ \(\)	1	N.C.	v'	V.	4	V	1	1
(E) = (A	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

plication G-17106continued	Date	July 12, 2010
Basis for impact evaluation:		
2450 tot impuet ovariation.		
690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detriment	tally affect the public interest is to l	be determined by the W
Rights Section.		
If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be		ice, and/or groundwater
under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantia i. The permit should contain condition #(s)	illy interfere with surface water:	
ii. The permit should contain condition #(s)	as indicated in "Remarks" below:	
The permit should contain special condition(s)	as maieuted in Telmanas below,	
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions		
References Used: See conceptual model discussion for mo	re details	
Neter chees Oscu. See conceptual model discussion for mor	e details.	
Gannett and Caldwell, 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willan	nette Lowland Aquifer System, Orego	on and Washington, USC
Professional Paper 1424-A		
Woodward Connett and Voccous 1008 Hydrogoologic Framew	work of the Willemette Lewland Agui	for System Oragon and
Woodward, Gannett and Vaccaro, 1998, Hydrogeologic Framey Washington, USGS Professional Paper 1424-B	vork of the willamette Lowland Aqui	ter System, Oregon and
Washington, OSGS Froressional Luper Fiz. B		
Walton, William, 1962, Selected Analytical Methods for Well a	nd Aquifer Evaluation, Bulletin 49, I	llinois State Water
Resources.		
Errogra and Charmy 1070 Consumburator Deserting Hall In-		
Freeze and Cherry, 1979, Groundwater, Prentice-Hall, Inc.		
Conlon and Others, 2005, Ground-Water Hydrology of the Will	amette Basin, Oregon, Scientific Ren	ort 2005-5168, USGS.

App	lication G-17106	continued	Date	July 12, 2010
D. <u>V</u>	WELL CONSTRUC	TION, OAR 690-200		
D1.	Well #:	Logid:		
D2.	a. review of b. field insp	ection by	·	
D3.	a. constitute b. comming c. permits tl d. permits tl	estruction deficiency: It is a health threat under Division 200 rules; It is a healt	reservoirs;	
D4.		struction deficiency is described as follow		
D5.	THE WELL	 a. was, or was not constructed a original construction or most received. b. I don't know if it met standards a 	ent modification.	at the time of
D6.		orcement Section. I recommend withhold epartment and approved by the Enforcement		
TH	IS SECTION TO B	E COMPLETED BY ENFORCEME	NT PERSONNEL	
D7.	☐ Well construction	deficiency has been corrected by the follow	ving actions:	
				, 200
	(Enforcer	ment Section Signature)		
D8.	☐ Route to Water	Rights Section (attach well reconstructio	n logs to this page).	

Date	July 12.	2010

Detailed Report of Instream Requirements

Instream Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

Application #	Status	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IS73538C	CERTIFICATE	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	94.50	100.00	100.00	100.00
IS73539A	CERTIFICATE	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00
IS73540A	CERTIFICATE	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00
IS73541A	CERTIFICATE	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	30.00
S86704A	PFO	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.40
Maximum		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	94.50	100.00	100.00	100.00

TUALATIN R> WILLAMETTE R- AT GAGE 14206500 WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 11/17/2008

Watershed ID #: 30201013

Exceedance Level:

Date: 11/17/2008

Time: 10:13 AM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflows in Cubic Feet per Second Storage at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Use and Storage	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Requirement	Net Water Available
Jan	1,090.00	500.00	590.00	0.00	100.00	490.00
Feb	1,420.00	563.00	857.00	0.00	100.00	757.00
Mar	1,140.00	424.00	716.00	0.00	100.00	616.00
Apr	676.00	324.00	352.00	0.00	100.00	252.00
May	332.00	268.00	63.80	0.00	100.00	-36.20
Jun	179.00	297.00	-118.00	0.00	100.00	-218.00
Jul	80.90	329.00	-248.00	0.00	100.00	-348.00
Aug	44.30	312.00	-268.00	0.00	100.00	-368.00
Sep	54.20	267.00	-213.00	0.00	94.50	-307.00
Oct	69.40	151.00	-82.00	0.00	100.00	-182.00
Nov	160.00	258.00	-97.90	0.00	100.00	-198.00
Dec	758.00	483.00	275.00	0.00	100.00	175.00
Storage Acre-Feet at 50%	751,000.00	251,000.00	544,000.00	0.00	72,100.00	502,000.00

435

1,740 Feet

870



Wells Fargo Online®

My Spending Report

Healthcare / Pharmacy- June 2010 All Payment Methods

Clicking Categorize Now allows you to recategorize the transactions as a group. To recategorize an individual transaction, click Edit under the Category column for that transaction.

Categorize Now

Posting Date ♥	Description	Category	Payment Method	Amount
06/22/10	CHECK CRD PURCHASE 06/21 WALGREENS #4229 SALEM OR 434257XXXXXX0408 173040013439029 ?MCC=5912	Healthcare / Pharmacy - Edit	Check Card/ATM Card XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-0408	\$15.00
	123006800DA90			
06/03/10	CHECK CRD PURCHASE 06/02 WALGREENS #4229 SALEM OR 434257XXXXXX0408 154040013859032 ?MCC=5912	Healthcare / Pharmacy - Edit	Check Card/ATM Card XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-0408	\$5.00
	123006800DA90	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Subtotal Healtho	are / Pharmacy			\$20.00

For More Information

Equal Housing Lender

© 1995 - 2010 Wells Fargo. All rights reserved.