

SW drawer



Oregon Water Resources Department
725 Summer Street NE, Suite A
Salem, Oregon 97301-1271
(503) 986-0900
www.wrd.state.or.us

Watermaster Review Form: Water Right Transfer

Transfer Application: T-10283

Review Due Date: 12292006

Applicant Name: Lydia Warren, Rogue Community College

Proposed Changes: POU POD POA USE OTHER

Reviewer(s): Klmed

Additional POA

Date of Review: 1-2-07

- Do you have information suggesting that the water rights may be subject to forfeiture?
 Yes No If "Yes", describe the information and indicate if you intend to file a cancellation affidavit or if you need additional time to determine if a cancellation affidavit should be filed: _____
- Is there a history of regulation on the source that serves the right proposed for transfer that has involved the right and downstream water rights? Yes No Generally characterize the frequency of any regulation or explain why regulation has not occurred: _____
- Check here if it appears that downstream water rights benefit from return flows resulting from the current use of the right? If you check the box, generally characterize the locations where the return flows likely occur and list the water rights that benefit most: _____ NA
- Are there upstream water rights that would be affected by the proposed change?
 Yes No If "Yes", describe how the rights would be affected and list the rights most affected: _____
- For POD changes and instream transfers, check here if there are channel losses between the old and new PODs or within the proposed instream reach? If you check the box, describe and, if possible, estimate the losses: _____ NA
- Would distribution of water for the right after the proposed change result in regulation of other water rights that would not have occurred if use of the existing right was maximized?
 Yes No If "Yes", explain: _____
- For POU changes, would the original place of use continue to receive water from the same source? Yes No N/A If "Yes", explain: _____
- For POU or USE changes, would use of the existing right at "full face value," result in the diversion of more water than can be used beneficially and without waste? Yes No
If "Yes", explain: _____ DON'T KNOW, THE EXISTING RIGHT WAS FOR DOMESTIC BUT THE COLLEGE HAD ALSO BEEN
- Are there other issues not identified through the above questions? Yes No If "Yes", explain: _____ SEE PAGE 2 IRRIGATING FROM THIS SOURCE
- What alternatives may be available for addressing any issues identified above: _____ SEE PAGE 2 (per application)

RECEIVED

Page 1 of 2

11/27/2006

JAN 05 2007

WATER RESOURCES DEPT
SALEM, OREGON

WATER RESOURCES DEPT
SALEM, OREGON

11. Have headgate notices been issued for the source that serves the right? Yes No *NA*

12. What water control and measurement conditions should be included in the transfer:

Measurement Devices	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Present and should be maintained.	<input type="checkbox"/> Should be required prior to diverting water.	<input type="checkbox"/> May be required in the future.
Headgates	<input type="checkbox"/> Present and should be maintained.	<input type="checkbox"/> Should be required prior to diverting water.	<input type="checkbox"/> May be required in the future.

9. The described use for C35634 was for domestic use at 50 gallons per minute. The certificate listed 2 wells as the source. Water from a 3rd well, Permit G13864 was stored ~~in one water~~ with water from the two wells listed in C35634 in two storage tanks and the water was used for domestic, irrigation & fire protection. ^(per this application) There is no way to determine how much of the 50 gal/min allotted under C35634 was used historically for domestic, the allowed use. This transfer proposes to allow for irrigation of 14.6 acres. This could possibly be enlargement. Per Gordon byford, the meters on the 3 wells were not operating accurately in Feb. of 2006. The ~~OR~~ OWED has records of the monthly use. These records can be examined to get some idea of the historic quantity used under C35634, but again there is no way to determine how much was specifically used for domestic. The solution may be to allow the added point of appropriation, but to use the GPID water for irrigation.

Kernal, Watermaster, District 14