PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS Date June 6, 2011 TO: Water Rights Section Groundwater Section Marc Norton FROM: Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of **February 7, 2011** SUBJECT: Application G- 17448 Date of Review(s) **PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION: GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130** (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review groundwater applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. Applicant's Name: Mike Kelley County: Umatilla A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.16 cfs from <u>1</u> well(s) in the Umatilla River Basin, A1. subbasin Quad Map: Stanfield Proposed use Irrigation (12.6 Acres) Seasonality: March 1 – October 31 A2. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): A3. Applicant's Location, metes and bounds, e.g. Proposed Location Well Logid Proposed Aquifer* Well # Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q) 2250' N. 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 1 UMAT 6141 1 CRBG 0.16 04N/29E-06 NW SW 650' S, 640' E fr W ¼ cor S 06 2 3 4 5 * Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock Well First Well Seal Casing Liner Perforations Well Draw SWL SWL Test Well Elev Water Depth Interval Intervals Intervals Or Screens Yield Down ft bls Date Type ft msl ft bls (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (gpm) (ft) 458 18 10/21/82 Air 1 15 75 0 – 56 +1 - 56 -----60 ----------Use data from application for proposed wells. Comments: <u>The well was cased and sealed through the overly alluvial aquifer.</u> A4. Requested discharge rate is 71.8 gpm = 0.16 cfs. A5. \square **Provisions of the <u>Umatilla River</u>** Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of groundwater hydraulically connected to surface water \square **are**, *or* \boxtimes **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: A6. 🗌 Well(s) # _, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area:

Comments: <u>The property is just north of and outside of the Stage Gulch Critical Groundwater Area.</u> The well is located within a five-mile radius of wells for the City of Hermiston and the City of Umatilla. The well is not in the same aquifer as either the City of Hermiston or City of Umatilla wells; therefore there is a barrier to groundwater movement and there will be no interference. The City of Stanfield does not have an approved water conservation plan.

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

- B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that <u>ground water</u>* for the proposed use:
 - a. **is** over appropriated, **is not** over appropriated, *or* **is cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - b. **will not** *or* **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
 - c. **will not** *or* **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or
 - d. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) <u>7B Interference, 7N Annual WL (February/March), 7P –</u> Well Tag, Large measuring and reporting with flow meter on each well
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ______ ft. below land surface;

- b. Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ______ ft. below land surface;
- c. Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ______ ft. and ______ ft. below land surface;
- d. Condition to allow production only from a single aquifer in the Columbia River Basalt groundwater reservoir;
- e. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):

B3. Groundwater availability remarks: <u>Nearby wells completed in the basalts are closer to 200 feet deep with water</u> levels that appear to be slightly lower, but relatively stable.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

1 CRB	ed

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Groundwater level rose above where it was encountered in the well and the depth of well seal.</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¹/₄ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1						

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>There are no streams within a one-mile radius of the well</u>. <u>There are several canal and/or ditches</u>. The Hermiston Ditch is mapped as intermittent.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within:_

C3a. **690-09-040** (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for <u>each well</u> that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ⊠ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW # Well < 1/4 mile?		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments: NA								

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q) as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
D'-4'l	uted Well	1											
Well	SW#	IS Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
wen	511	9011 90	<u> </u>	1v1a1 %	Api %	1v1ay %	3ull %	5u1 %	Aug %	30p	%	%	%
Wall () as CFS	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
	ence CFS												
Interfer		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well () as CFS	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	-70	70	-70	70
	ence CFS												
Interfer		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0
	ence CFS												
111001101		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well () as CFS	/0	70	70	70	/0	70	70	70	/0	70	/0	70
	ence CFS												
memorie		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well () as CFS	/0	/0	70	/0	/0	/0	70	/0	/0	70	/0	/0
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well C) as CFS	/0	/0	70	70	/0	/0	70	70	/0	/0	/0	/0
	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{c}$	otal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = ($(\mathbf{A}) > (\mathbf{C})$	\checkmark											
$(E) = (A / B) \times 100$		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:

b.	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wat Rights Section.
. [If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater us under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
. S	W / GW Remarks and Conditions
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
R	eferences Used:

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	Logid:
D2.	a. 🗌 b. 🗌	ELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: review of the well log; field inspection by; report of CWRE; other: (specify);
D3.	THE W a. b. c. d. e.	ELL construction deficiency: constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; commingles water from more than one groundwater reservoir; permits the loss of artesian head; permits the de-watering of one or more groundwater reservoirs; other: (specify)
D4.	THE W	ELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.	THE W	ELL a. was , <i>or</i> was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.
		b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
D6.		to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Groundwater Section.
TH	IS SECTIO	N TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7.	Well con	nstruction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
		, 200 .
		(Enforcement Section Signature)
D8.	Route	to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

7

