#### WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	Ю							July	12	,	2 <del>00</del> _ <b>2</b> =11
TO:		Appli	cation (	G- <u>17</u>	459	· 					
FRO	M:	GW:	Kall	<u> </u> W. :	en iek						
SUBJ	ECT:		(20)	CHICHCI 31	<sub>lame)</sub> terferei		luation				
									•		
	<b>V</b> EC				-			•			
YES  The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway											
	_NO										
	¥ É G										,
	_YES	Use th	e Scenie	c Water	way con	dition (	Conditi	on 7J)		• .	
_/	_NO										
						•					
	interfe	rence w	ith surf	ace wat	d Water er that c ributed	ontribut					
	Per Ol	RS 390.	835, the	Ground	d Water	Section	is <b>unal</b>	ble to ca	lculate	ground	water
	interfe	rence w	ith surf	ace wate	er that c	ontribut	es to a s	scenic w	vaterwa	y; there	efore,
	that tl	ie prop	osed us	e will n	find th leasura	bly red	uce the	surface	water	flows	ince
	necess	ary to 1	naințai	n the fr	ee-flow	ing cha	racter (	of a sce	nic wat	erway.	
DISTE	RIBUTI	ON OF	INTER	FEREN	CE						·
					by monti Il in the to						
					is unable						
		•			o reduce		•		9 00000		Scenic
		water f			express	cu as a [	лороги	on or th	e consu	шриче	use by
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

## PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:	Water Rights Section							Date	Date July 12, 2011							
FROM	:	Grou	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section _		. Wozniak									
SUBJE	ECT:	Appli	cation G-	17459			ewer's Name persedes r	review of			Date of Rev	riew(s)				
OAR 69 welfare, to deter	90-310-1 safety a mine who umption	30 (1) 7 and heal ther the criteria.	The Depart th as descr e presumpt	ibed in ORS ion is establisew is based i	resume that 537.525. D shed. OAR upon avail	t a propose epartment 690-310-1 able infor	ed ground staff revie 140 allows mation an	water use will over ground water the proposed of agency policies.	er applicatuse be mo	tions un dified o ace at t	nder OAl or condit the time	R 690-31 ioned to of evalu	0-140 meet			
A1.	Applica	ınt(s) se	ek(s) <u>0.2</u>	23 cfs fron	n <u>1</u>	well(	well(s) in theWillamette									
A2. A3.																
Well 1	Logid LINN 50290		WCII #		Rate	Proposed Rate(cfs) 0.223 1		Location (T/R-S QQ-Q) 11S/02W-34 NW/NE		Location, metes and bounds, 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 33 20' 09" E 878.44' fr NI/4						
2 3 4 5																
	um, CRB,	Bedrock	ζ													
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls 208	SWL ft bls	SWL Date 05/11/1996	Well Depth (ft) 235	Seal Interval (ft) 0-20	Casing Intervals (ft) 0-235	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforat Or Scre (ft) 208-22	eens	Well Yield (gpm) 100	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type			
Use data	from app	lication	for propose	d wells.												
A4.	Comm	ents: _														
A5. 🗌	(Not al	l basin ı	ules conta	in such provi	sions.) ined aquife	er so the pe	ertinent rul	rules relative t are, or  are, or					and/or ation.			
A6. 🗌	Name of	of admii	nistrative a	rea:				tap(s) an aquif								

Version: 08/15/2003

## B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

a.	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
u.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or □ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	■ will not or ■ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
d.	<ul> <li>will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:</li> <li>i.  The permit should contain condition #(s) 7B, 7C</li> <li>ii.  The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.</li> <li>iii.  The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;</li> </ul>
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	☐ Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d.	<ul> <li>Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.</li> <li>Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):</li> </ul>
	senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc).
other feet of c	ound water availability remarks: _The well produces from a confined gravel layer at a depth of 208-225 feet. The water e occurs at shallow depths in a sand and gravel layer that averages about 40-60 feet thick in the area. Woodward and ers (1998) map this as part of the Lebanon alluvial fan complex. Although the driller indicates mostly clay from 42 to 208 a nearby well logs show intermediate level sands and gravels. Therefore, the lateral continuity of the clays, and the degree confinement, is unknown.  See-level data is sparse in the area but the available data, including the static water level reported on the proposed POA, N 50290, indicate shallow depths to water and no apparent declines. However, the lack of observation data suggests that ould be prudent to add a water-level measurement condition to the permit, if one is issued.
table other feet of c	e occurs at shallow depths in a sand and gravel layer that averages about 40-60 feet thick in the area. Woodward and ers (1998) map this as part of the Lebanon alluvial fan complex. Although the driller indicates mostly clay from 42 to 208, nearby well logs show intermediate level sands and gravels. Therefore, the lateral continuity of the clays, and the degree onfinement, is unknown.  Ser-level data is sparse in the area but the available data, including the static water level reported on the proposed POA, N 50290, indicate shallow depths to water and no apparent declines. However, the lack of observation data suggests that ould be prudent to add a water-level measurement condition to the permit, if one is issued.  duction should be limited to the confined portion of the aquifer system (>=100 feet) as the shallow, unconfined sands and
table other feet of c	e occurs at shallow depths in a sand and gravel layer that averages about 40-60 feet thick in the area. Woodward and ers (1998) map this as part of the Lebanon alluvial fan complex. Although the driller indicates mostly clay from 42 to 208, nearby well logs show intermediate level sands and gravels. Therefore, the lateral continuity of the clays, and the degree onfinement, is unknown.  See-level data is sparse in the area but the available data, including the static water level reported on the proposed POA, N 50290, indicate shallow depths to water and no apparent declines. However, the lack of observation data suggests that ould be prudent to add a water-level measurement condition to the permit, if one is issued.
table other feet of c	e occurs at shallow depths in a sand and gravel layer that averages about 40-60 feet thick in the area. Woodward and ers (1998) map this as part of the Lebanon alluvial fan complex. Although the driller indicates mostly clay from 42 to 208, nearby well logs show intermediate level sands and gravels. Therefore, the lateral continuity of the clays, and the degree onfinement, is unknown.  Ser-level data is sparse in the area but the available data, including the static water level reported on the proposed POA, N 50290, indicate shallow depths to water and no apparent declines. However, the lack of observation data suggests that ould be prudent to add a water-level measurement condition to the permit, if one is issued.  duction should be limited to the confined portion of the aquifer system (>=100 feet) as the shallow, unconfined sands and
table other feet of c	e occurs at shallow depths in a sand and gravel layer that averages about 40-60 feet thick in the area. Woodward and ers (1998) map this as part of the Lebanon alluvial fan complex. Although the driller indicates mostly clay from 42 to 208, nearby well logs show intermediate level sands and gravels. Therefore, the lateral continuity of the clays, and the degree onfinement, is unknown.  Ser-level data is sparse in the area but the available data, including the static water level reported on the proposed POA, N 50290, indicate shallow depths to water and no apparent declines. However, the lack of observation data suggests that ould be prudent to add a water-level measurement condition to the permit, if one is issued.  duction should be limited to the confined portion of the aquifer system (>=100 feet) as the shallow, unconfined sands and
table other feet of c	e occurs at shallow depths in a sand and gravel layer that averages about 40-60 feet thick in the area. Woodward and ers (1998) map this as part of the Lebanon alluvial fan complex. Although the driller indicates mostly clay from 42 to 208, nearby well logs show intermediate level sands and gravels. Therefore, the lateral continuity of the clays, and the degree onfinement, is unknown.  Ser-level data is sparse in the area but the available data, including the static water level reported on the proposed POA, N 50290, indicate shallow depths to water and no apparent declines. However, the lack of observation data suggests that ould be prudent to add a water-level measurement condition to the permit, if one is issued.  duction should be limited to the confined portion of the aquifer system (>=100 feet) as the shallow, unconfined sands and
table other feet of c	e occurs at shallow depths in a sand and gravel layer that averages about 40-60 feet thick in the area. Woodward and ers (1998) map this as part of the Lebanon alluvial fan complex. Although the driller indicates mostly clay from 42 to 208, nearby well logs show intermediate level sands and gravels. Therefore, the lateral continuity of the clays, and the degree onfinement, is unknown.  Ser-level data is sparse in the area but the available data, including the static water level reported on the proposed POA, N 50290, indicate shallow depths to water and no apparent declines. However, the lack of observation data suggests that ould be prudent to add a water-level measurement condition to the permit, if one is issued.  duction should be limited to the confined portion of the aquifer system (>=100 feet) as the shallow, unconfined sands and
table other feet of c	e occurs at shallow depths in a sand and gravel layer that averages about 40-60 feet thick in the area. Woodward and ers (1998) map this as part of the Lebanon alluvial fan complex. Although the driller indicates mostly clay from 42 to 208, nearby well logs show intermediate level sands and gravels. Therefore, the lateral continuity of the clays, and the degree onfinement, is unknown.  Ser-level data is sparse in the area but the available data, including the static water level reported on the proposed POA, N 50290, indicate shallow depths to water and no apparent declines. However, the lack of observation data suggests that ould be prudent to add a water-level measurement condition to the permit, if one is issued.  duction should be limited to the confined portion of the aquifer system (>=100 feet) as the shallow, unconfined sands and
table other feet of c	e occurs at shallow depths in a sand and gravel layer that averages about 40-60 feet thick in the area. Woodward and ers (1998) map this as part of the Lebanon alluvial fan complex. Although the driller indicates mostly clay from 42 to 208, nearby well logs show intermediate level sands and gravels. Therefore, the lateral continuity of the clays, and the degree onfinement, is unknown.  Ser-level data is sparse in the area but the available data, including the static water level reported on the proposed POA, N 50290, indicate shallow depths to water and no apparent declines. However, the lack of observation data suggests that ould be prudent to add a water-level measurement condition to the permit, if one is issued.  duction should be limited to the confined portion of the aquifer system (>=100 feet) as the shallow, unconfined sands and

#### C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvium		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: The production zone, gravel from 308-235 feet, is overlain by about 166 feet of fine-grained sediments, mostly clay. The static water level is reported to be 8 feet. This indicates confined conditions.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Oak Creek	300	285	10800		
1	2	South Santiam River	300	305	9000		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Published reports indicate that the water table is at about 300 feet elevation and that all underlying sediments are saturated. The static water level elevation in the well is about 302 feet elevation. Water level contours indicate flow toward, and discharge to, local streams. These factors indicate hydraulic connection with local streams, although the connection is likely to be inefficient because of the thick confining layer. The distance to Oak Creek is the distance to the first perennial reach as shown on the USGS 7.5-minute Lebanon topographic quad.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: S SANTIAM R > SANTIAM R - AT MOUTH

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	sw #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
					_					

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
				片片				
omments: _T	here are no hydrau	lically conne	cted streams	within a 1-m	nile radius.			

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	istributed	Wells						_					
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well	ls											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS		_										
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS						_						
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS		_										
Interfer	ence CFS												
	_	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	- %	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS										·		_
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS							-					
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
(A) = To	otal Interf.		_										
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q			_									
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q		_										
(D) = (	(A) > (C)		.7	,		v.			7		./		
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) =  $\frac{1}{6}$  of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Version: 08/15/2003

never be greater than 1	ter availability basin is 253 cfs. Since the proposed rate is 0.223 cfs, stream depletion in any month of the lowest natural stream flow. Therefore, there is no potential for substantial interference base
Table C4a.	
	<del></del>
690-09-040 (5) (b) Rights Section.	The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the V
under this permit car	oned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water to be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
i. ☐ The peri	mit should contain condition #(s)mit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
ii. 📋 The peri	nit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW / GW Remarks and	
References Used:	
Conlon, T.D., Wozniak,	K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-510
Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, Ground-water hydrology Gannett, M.W. and Cald	K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005,
Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, Ground-water hydrology Gannett, M.W. and Cald U.S. Geological Survey I	K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-516 well, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washin
Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, Ground-water hydrology Gannett, M.W. and Cald U.S. Geological Survey I O'Connor, J.E., Sarna-W Paper 1620.	K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-516 well, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washin Professional Paper 1424-A, 32 p.

Application G-17459

Date: July 12, 2011

Page

6

#### D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	:1 Logid:LINN 50290
D2.	a.	WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:  review of the well log;  field inspection by
D3.	a.     b.   c.	commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; permits the loss of artesian head; permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs;
D4.	THE V	WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D5.	THE V	well  a.   was, or   was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.  b.   I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.  to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
		ON TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL  onstruction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
D8.	Route	

#### Water Availability Tables

# S SANTIAM R > SANTIAM R - AT MOUTH WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 7/12/2011

Watershed ID #: 30200601

Exceedance Level:

80% →

Date: 7/12/2011

Time: 12:09 PM

# **Water Availability Calculation**

Monthly Streamflows in Cubic Feet per Second Storage at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	3,090.00	259.00	2,830.00	0.00	0.00	2,830.00
FEB	3,360.00	1,530.00	1,830.00	0.00	0.00	1,830.00
MAR	3,170.00	1,250.00	1,920.00	0.00	0.00	1,920.00
APR	2,950.00	1,040.00	1,910.00	0.00	0.00	1,910.00
MAY	2,050.00	707.00	1,340.00	0.00	0.00	1,340.00
JUN	968.00	178.00	790.00	0.00	0.00	790.00
JUL	450.00	198.00	252.00	0.00	0.00	252.00
AUG	275.00	184.00	91.20	0.00	0.00	91.20
SEP	253.00	155.00	98.30	0.00	0.00	98.30
OCT	363.00	133.00	230.00	0.00	0.00	230.00
NOV	1,450.00	134.00	1,320.00	0.00	0.00	1,320.00
DEC	3,040.00	136.00	2,900.00	0.00	0.00	2,900.00
ANN	2,330,000.00	351,000.00	1,980,000.00	0.00	0.00	1,980,000.00

Version: 08/15/2003

