Water Right Conditions . Tracking Slip

Groundwater/Hydrology Section

FILE # # 6-17466

ROUTED TO: Water Rights

TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 75/2W - 14

CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [Yes [] no

REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:

see conditions on p 2.

Reviewer: J. Hackett

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEN	10								nly 2	0	296//
TO:		Appli	cation (G- <u>17</u>	1466						
FRO	M:	GW:	J.	Hacke eviewer's	H-Name)						
SUBJ	ECT:				terfere	nce Eva	luation				
	YES										
	NO	The so	urce of	approp	riation i	s withir	or abo	ve a Sce	enic Wa	terway	
-	_YES	Use the	e Scenie	. Water	way cor	ndition (Conditi	on 71)			
	NO	OBO III	o beem	o water	way con	idition (Conditi	011 73)			
											120
	interfe calcula Per OI interfe	RS 390.8 rence wated inte	ith surfarferences 335, the ith surfa	ace water is districted. Ground ace water	er that c ributed d Water er that c	ontribubelow. Section	is una	Scenic V	Waterwa alculate vaterwa	ground y; there	water
		ne prope ary to n									
Calculation of the Calculation o	ate the per ted, per c ng Water se of th way by	ON OF reentage of riteria in Rights the is permithe followater fl	of consum 390.835, at the De t is calc wing an	nptive use do not fit partment ulated t mounts	by mont. If in the to is unable o reduce	able but contake to make month	heck the a Prepor ly flow:	"unable" nderance s in	option a of Eviden	bove, thu ice findin	s g. Scenic
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
						+					

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS Date July 20, 2011 TO: Water Rights Section Ground Water/Hydrology Section J. Hackett FROM: Reviewer's Name Application G- 17466 Supersedes review of SUBJECT: Date of Review(s) PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Paul Roth County: Marion Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.668 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Willamette Basin, A1. Quad Map: Salem East subbasin Irrigation Seasonality: March 1 to October 31 A2. Proposed use: Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): A3. Applicant's Proposed Location Location, metes and bounds, e.g. Proposed Aquifer* Well Logid 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 Well# Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q) 7S/2W-14 NW-NW 100'S, 10'W fr NW cor, NENW S 14 Proposed Alluvium ſ 1 0.668 2 3 4 5 * Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock Well First Well Seal Casing Liner Perforations Well Draw SWL SWL Test Interval Or Screens Yield Down Well Elev Water Depth Intervals Intervals ft bls Type Date (ft) ft msl ft bls (ft) (ft) (ft) (gpm) (ft) (ft) 200 est. 125 to 180 0 to 30 0 to 129 1 200 est. est. est. Use data from application for proposed wells. A4. Comments: ______ A5. Provisions of the ______ Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water \square are, or \boxtimes are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: The applicant's proposed well will produce from a confined aquifer, so the pertinent basin rules do not apply.

_____, ____, ____, ____, ____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.

Name of administrative area:

Comments:

Version: 08/15/2003

Application: G-1	17466	continued
------------------	-------	-----------

Date:	July 20	2011	
_ u.c	3417 250	, 2011	

2

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:									
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
	b.	□ will not or □ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
	c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or									
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)7B, 7C; ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;									
B2.	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;									
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;									
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the alluvial ground water reservoir between approximatelyft. and ft. below land surface;									
	d.	 Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): 									
В3.	The Will sand Wat This of re	application proposes 1 well that will produce from the alluvial aquifer. The aquifer is overlain by about 70 feet of amette Silt (saturated to within 5-10 feet of land surface) at the proposed location and is comprised of an upper section of and gravel that is about 110 feet thick and a lower section of mostly silt and clay that is several hundred feet thick. er-level trends in nearby wells appear to be related to decadal climatic trends and show no obvious progressive declines. indicates that the alluvial aquifer is not likely to be over appropriated in the area. However, because of the short period ecord, water-level monitoring is recommended in the proposed well. Interference in nearby domestic wells is not expected									
	to be	e excessive if those wells fully penetrate the aquifer.									

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined'
1	Alluvium	\square	
	-		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: General knowledge indicates that the alluvial aquifer is confined by the	
Willamette Silt in the vicinity of the proposed well.	
-	

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potentia Subst. Int Assumo YES	terfer.
1	1	Little Pudding River	170	155	2750			\boxtimes
		_						

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation:U	J.S. Geological Survey water table maps indicate that groundwater in
the alluvial aquifer system flows towards and discharges in	
•	70 E F

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: 151: PUDDING R > MOLALLA R - AB MILL CR

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ½ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			n/a	n/a		67.30		<<25%	

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW	SW SW		Qw > Instream Water		Qw >	80% Natural	Qw > 1% of 80%	Interference	Potential for Subst.
#	5	5 cfs?	Right ID	Right Q (cfs)	ISWR?	Flow (cfs)	Natural Flow?	@ 30 days (%)	Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: _	The Little Puddi	ng River does not fi	Illy penetrate the	Willamette Silt	t. Therefore, the silt will dampe	n short-term
				<u>mstances has sh</u>	nown that stream interference at	30 days is
likely to be mu	ich less than 25%	of the pumping rate				
						

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed V	Vells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
						_							
	uted Wells												_
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfe	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfe	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfe	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well C	as CFS												
	rence CFS												
	T	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well C	as CFS												
	rence CFS		<u> </u>										
								34					0 11 1
(A) = T	otal Interf.	111	11				14						
(B) = 80) % Nat. Q		_										
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A) > (C)	V	V	V	1	V 1	1	4	V	√′	V	1	✓
	A / B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Version: 08/15/2003


ication: G- 17466 continued	Date: July 20, 2011
Basis for impact evaluation:	
Dusis for impact evaluation.	
690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally	y affect the public interest is to be determined by the W
Rights Section.	
☐ If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be ad under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)	equately protected from interference, and/or ground water interfere with surface water:
ii. The permit should contain condition #(s)iii.	ndicated in "Remarks" below:
ii. The perint should contain special condition(s) as i	nareacea in Termana seren,
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions:	
	_
	
N. C Y	
References Used:	
Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher	r. B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005.
Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Ge	
•	
Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the J.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32p.	Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington
5.5. Geological bull roy i folessional i apel 1424-71, 32p.	
Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrog	eologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer syste
Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Pap	per 1424-B, 82p.

)].	Well #: Logid:	
D2.	THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by c. report of CWRE d. other: (specify)	
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency: a. constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b. commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; permits the loss of artesian head; permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; e. other: (specify)	
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:	
D5.	THE WELL a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.	ion
THIS	SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	
D7.	Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	
	(Enforcement Section Signature)	
D8.	Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).	

Date: July 20, 2011

Application: G- 17466 continued

Water Levels in Nearby Wells



8

Water Availability Tables

PUDDING R > MOLALLA R - AB MILL CR WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 7/20/2011 Watershed ID #: 151 Date: 7/20/2011 Water Availability Calculation Consumptive Uses and Storages Water Rights Water Shed Characteristics

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflows in Cubic Feet per Second Storage at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	1,040.00	74.60	965.00	0.00	36.00	929.00
FEB	1,180.00	72.30	1,110.00	0.00	36.00	1,070.00
MAR	1,010.00	48.80	961.00	0.00	36.00	925.00
APR	787.00	44.20	743.00	0.00	36.00	707.00
MAY	425.00	54.50	370.00	0.00	36.00	334.00
JUN	224.00	74.90	149.00	0.00	36.00	113.00
JUL	109.00	116.00	-6.60	0.00	36.00	-42.60
AUG	71.00	95.70	-24.70	0.00	36.00	-60.70
SEP	67.30	56.60	10.70	0.00	36.00	-25.30
OCT	91.60	15.90	75.70	0.00	36.00	39.70
NOV	363.00	40.50	323.00	0.00	36.00	287.00
DEC	957.00	73.90	883.00	0.00	36.00	847.00

Detailed Report of Instream Flow Requirements

Instream Flow Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

Application #	Status	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MF151A	CERTIFICATE	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00
IS73532B	CERTIFICATE	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00	36.00
IS73533A	CERTIFICATE	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00
IS73534A	CERTIFICATE	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00
Maximum				1300				INTERNAL STREET	BEL	P. Spill		124	15.35

Well Location Map

