Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip

Groundwater/Hydrology Section

FILE # # 6-17434

ROUTED TO: W.R

TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 6N/33E - 14

CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: Nes [] no

REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEN	10							De	c 2	2	2010
TO:		Appli	cation	G- <u>17</u>	21						
FRO	M:	GW:	Ma	u	21	orto					
SUBJ	ECT:		(R	eviewer's	Name)	nce Eva	luation	L			
	_YES	Thorac	uraa of		mintina i	a within		us a Cas	mia Wa		
_	NO	I ne so	ource of	approp	riation i	s within	or abo	ve a Sce	enic wa	terway	
				7							
	_YES										
_	NO	Use th	e Sceni	c Water	way cor	ndition (Conditi	on 7J)			
	_NO										
	interfe	rence w	ith surf	ace wat		Section ontribut below.			Control of the contro		ater .
	Por Ol	26 300	025 +ha	Groun	d Water	Santian	ia una	ble to e	aloulata	ground	water
	-		1.5			Section ontribut				-	
		•				at ther	-	-			ence
						ing cha					
S.											
DIST	RIBUTI	ON OF	INTER	FEREN	CE						
calcula	ted, per c	riteria in	390.835,	do not fi	ll in the to	h and fill able but c to make	heck the	"unable"	option a	bove, thu	S
									oj Briden		
						e month ed as a p			e consu		Scenic use by
			low is re		1						
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct.	Nov	Dec
						8					

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	er Rights S	Section					Date_	Jan	uary	<u>5, 2011</u>		
FROM:		Grou	ındwater S	Section	Marc No	rton								
						Revie	ewer's Nam							
SUBJE	CT:	Appl	lication G-	17434		Sup	persedes	review o	of			Date of Rev	viou(s)	
												Date of Key	new(s)	
OAR 69 welfare, to determ	00-310-1: safety ar nine whe	30 (1) ad hea ther th	The Depar Ith as descr ne presumpt	IMPTION: tment shall pribed in ORS tion is established is based	resume that 537,525. D ished. OAR	a propose epartment 690-310-1	ed ground staff revi 140 allow	ew grounds the prop	dwater osed us	applicat se be mo	ions u dified	nder OAF or condit	R 690-310 tioned to	0-140 meet
A. <u>GEN</u>	<u>NERAL</u>	INFO	ORMATI	<u>ON</u> : A	pplicant's N	ame:	Kregger	Farming			(County:	<u>Umatilla</u>	1
A1.	Applica	nt(s) s	eek(s) <u>5.2</u>	25 cfs from	m <u>3</u>	well(s) in the _	Umat	tilla Ri	ver				_ Basin,
		Walla	Walla Rive	er		subba	asin	Quad Map	o: <u>Sm</u>	eltz & 1	ouch	et		
A2.	Propose	d use	Ĭrı	igation – 42	0.1 acres	Seas	onality.	Marc	b thro	ugh Oct	oher			
A3.				tach and nu								ınder log	id):	
Well	Logid		Applican Well #	t's Propos	sed Aquifer*	Prope Rate			ocation -S QQ-C	2)	2250	ion, mete ' N, 1200'	E fr NW o	or S 36
2	NO LO PROPOS		1 2		LUVIUM LUVIUM	5.2 5.2		06N/331 06N/331	E-14 SE			' N, 1040' ' N, 2040'		
3	PROPOS		3		LUVIUM	5.2			E-15 SE			5'N, 870'		
5														
	ım, CRB,	Bedroc	k											
	Well	First			Well	Seal	Casing	Lin	ier -	Perforat	ions	Well	Draw	
Well	Elev	Wate	er SWL	SWL Date	Depth	Interval	Interval	s Inter	vals	Or Scre	ens	Yield	Down	Test Type
1	ft msl	ft bls	S		(ft) 200	<u>(ft)</u>	(ft)	(fi	t)	(ft)		(gpm)	(ft)	7760
2	562				200									
3	550				200									
Use data	from app	lication	for propose	d wells.										
A4.	Comme about 6 location	ents: <u>\</u> 0'. W	Well 1 is an vell 2 was o	existing we are propose letermined to	d with only to have the	proposed potential	l well der for inter	oths and o	casing o	diamete irby we	r liste lls in T	d. The p Fransfer	roposed T-11151	
A5. 🗌	manage (Not all	ment o basin nts:	rules conta	illa River ater hydrauli in such prov	isions.)	ted to surf	face wate	r 🗌 are,	or 🛛 :	are not,	activa	ted by thi	s applica	tion.
A6. 🗌	Well(s) Name o Comme	#f admi	nistrative a	,, rea: <u>NA</u>			,	tap(s) an	aquife	r limited	by an	administ	rative res	triction.

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Base	d upon available data, I have determined that groundwater* for the proposed use:	
	a.	is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	у
	b.	will not <i>or</i> □ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	g
	c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or	
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;	_;
B2.	a.	☐ Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;	
	b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;	
	c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir overlying the Columbia River Basalts;	
	d.	Condition to allow production only from a single aquifer in the Columbia River Basalt groundwater reservoir;	
	e.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Groundwater Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/	to
В3.	Gro	senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): undwater availability remarks: There is considerable concern over long-term water supplies in the alluvial	
ы.	aqu abo in th Cre atta	fer and impact of pumping groundwater on surface water supplies near Milton-Freewater. This project is located it 12 miles west of Milton-Freewater. There is very limited groundwater level data in the area. The closest wells e alluvial aquifer with water level data are about two miles east of the proposed development and are in Pine ek drainage rather than in Gardena Creek drainage. The hydrographs for UMAT 55438 & UMAT 55437 are ched as is a map that shows the location of the wells in relation to the proposed project. No long term decline is d in the nearby wells but the record is short and the wells are located in a different sub-basin.	
	grou	ines in the alluvial aquifer increase towards Milton-Freewater. A map on page 9 shows the location of long-term nd water monitoring wells UMAT 4691 and UMAT 50354. Hydrographs for the wells are on page 10. The indwater levels at these wells document a 12 to 15 foot decline over the last 60 years.	<u>1</u>
	will	yields from the area for the alluvial aquifer are between 250 – 500gpm. It is highly unlikely that the applicant be able to develop 2356 gpm from three wells, especially 8" diameter wells. The wells with higher yields are ted to the east in section 13. There are only two well logs for sections 14 and 15 with very little information	_
		proposed location for well 2 is within 600 to 700 feet of an existing well. The development of a large capacity we at distance could result in substantial interference with the existing user.	11

Date: January 5, 2011

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040	(1):	Evaluation	of aquifer	confinement:
-----------------------	------	------------	------------	--------------

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Alluvial		
2	Alluvial		\boxtimes
3	Alluvial		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:	<u>There are several water bearing sand and gravel</u>	lenses in the clays.
Individual lenses may have different head	out the alluvial system responds as a single aquif	er over time and distance.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aguifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Gardena Creek - intermittent	500	530	4200		
	2	Gardena Creek - intermittent	500	520	3850		
	3	Gardena Creek - intermittent	500	510	2850		

asis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Projected groundwater levels are below the nearby stream which is	
Washington and the stream is mapped as intermittent.	
	_
	_
Vater Availability Basin the well(s) are located within:	_

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \(\subseteq \text{box} \) box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
	_									
							_			
							74.1			

Page

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	 							
SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
							_	

Comments: Gardena Creek is mapped as an intermittent stream. The groundwater level is below the creek bed; therefore the well is not hydraulically connected to the stream.

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.


Non-Distribute	ed Wells											
Well SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar_	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS												
Interference CFS												
Distributed We	ells											
Well SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Well Q as CFS												
Interference CFS												
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS												-
Interference CFS												
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q as CFS												
Interference CFS										_		
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS												
Interference CFS												
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS												_
Interference CFS												
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q as CFS			_									
Interference CFS												
(A) = Total Interf												
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q	!											
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q		2.3	-contracti					ļ				L.
(D) = (A) > (C)	1	1	1	1	1	/	V	V	V	1	1	1
$(E) = (A / B) \times 100$	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

plication G-17434	Date: January 5, 2011	Page
Basis for impact evaluation:		
o. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or de Rights Section.	etrimentally affect the public interest is to be detern	nined by the Wa
☐ If properly conditioned, the surface water source(sunder this permit can be regulated if it is found to suri. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(suring the surface water source).	s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/oubstantially interfere with surface water:	r groundwater us
ii. The permit should contain condition #(s	s)lition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;	
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions		
References Used:		

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

a. □ constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b. □ commingles water from more than one groundwater reservoir; c. □ permits the loss of artesian head; d. □ permits the de-watering of one or more groundwater reservoirs; e. ☒ other: (specify) No well log — no way to determine if well meets well construction standards D4. THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows: No well log — no way to determine if well meets construction standards. Older wells generally did not have seals or a seal that meet currents standards. D5. THE WELL a. □ was, or □ was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. ☑ I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. D6. ☒ Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Groundwater Section. THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL		ol. We
a. □ constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b. □ commingles water from more than one groundwater reservoir; c. □ permits the loss of artesian head; d. □ permits the de-watering of one or more groundwater reservoirs; e. □ other: (specify) No well log – no way to determine if well meets well construction standards D4. THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows: No well log – no way to determine if well meets construction standards. Older wells generally did not have seals or a seal that meet currents standards. D5. THE WELL a. □ was, or □ was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. □ I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. D6. □ Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstructions.	well construction standards	a. b.
D5. THE WELL a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. Because to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Groundwater Section. THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	I construction standards	a. b. c. d.
original construction or most recent modification. b. \sum I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. D6. \sum Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Groundwater Section. THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	no way to determine if well meets well at meet currents standards.	14. TH con
	ction. ermit until evidence of well reconstruction	06. 🛛 Ro
(Enforcement Section Signature) D8. Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).		 08. □ Ro



Date: January 5, 2011

