

These contests were settled by stipulation, wherein Contestee acknowledged a superior right in Contestants on account of adverse user. The rights of Contestee will be allowed as claimed subject to a prior right by Contestants .

65.

Contest No. 62.

Berton Howard and Charles Howard,
Contestants,

vs.

James A. Walsh,
Contestee.

A stipulation was filed in settlement of this contest, wherein Contestant agreed that his right for the irrigation of 32.6 acres within Lot 2, (SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$), 12.9 acres in Lot 3, (SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$), and 8.2 acres in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ to be of the same right as that of Contestants. In accordance with said stipulation the priority for the acreage of Contestee as hereinbefore set out will be allowed a priority of 1889 instead of 1888. In all other respects the rights of the parties will be tabulated as claimed.

66.

Contest No. 63

A. W. Ward,
Contestant,

vs.

Mrs. C. M. Livermore and Georgia Liver-
more,
Contestees.

This contest was settled by stipulation, wherein Contestees advanced their priority as claimed to the year of 1878, being one year later than that of Contestant. The rights of Contestees will be tabulated accordingly.