Ken

Oregon

John A. Kitzhaber, M.D., Governor

INTEROFFICE MEMO

FORWARD TO: ☛ *Sarah Henderson* DATE: 9-21-06

FIELD PROCESSOR WORKING ON THIS TRANSFER

FROM: _____ WATERMASTER, DISTRICT # _____
_____X_____ GROUNDWATER SECTION

(SIGNATURE) *Mike Zwort* _____ date signed 1/26/07
signed by injury reviewer

SUBJECT: **WATER RIGHT TRANSFER #** *10236*

A change in: **POU   POD   (A POA)   USE**   of water.

In the name(s) of _____ *North Hill Water Corp.* _____.

In my opinion (assuming the right is valid), the proposed change

✓ MAY BE MADE WITHOUT INJURY   WOULD RESULT IN INJURY* to an existing water right.

*The approval of this transfer application would result in injury to other water rights because_____

_____
_____
_____
_____

The existing right may not be valid because _____
_____
_____

Headgate notices HAVE   HAVE NOT   Been issued for diversion from the source(s)which serve(s) this right.

If for change in point of diversion, is there any intervening point(s) for diversion between the authorized and proposed points of diversion? (Yes or No) _____

In my opinion, the order approving the subject transfer application should include the following in regard to the appropriator installing suitable measuring devices in the diversion works:

_____ (1) PRIOR to the diverting of water at the new point of diversion . . .

_____ (2) WHEN IN the judgement of the watermaster it becomes necessary . . .

The enclosed copy of the transfer application and map(s) is for your records.

wmtrans.frm

OREGON WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
INTEROFFICE MEMO

To: Ground Water files   Date: January 26, 2007

From: Michael J. Zwart

Subject: Application Review: T-10236, North Hill water Corp.

This application proposes an additional POA to essentially replace an older well, although the older well is not proposed to be abandoned. The proposal will also allow use of any well for both rights of record. The authorized wells (#1: UMAT 54147 and #2: UMAT 3598) and the proposed additional well all will produce water from an aquifer developed in basalt.

I find that the proposed change may be made without injury provided the following: the rights are valid and the Watermaster can approve the proposal.