Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip

Groundwater/Hydrology Section

| FILE # # G-17504 |
|------------------------------------|
| ROUTED TO: Water Rights |
| TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: IN/2W-12 |
| CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [4 yes [] no |
| REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS: |
| Reviewer: J. Hack # |

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

| MEN | 10 | | | | | | | Jan | uany | <i>17</i> | 200 <u>/2</u> |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| то: | | Appli | cation (| G17 | -504 | <u></u> | | | | | |
| FRO | М: | GW: | J. / | lacket | Yame) | | | | | | |
| SUBJ | ECT: | | | | | nce Eva | luation | l | • | | |
| | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| | _YES | | | | | | | | | | |
| L | NO | The so | ource of | approp | riation i | s within | or abo | ve a Sce | nic Wa | terway | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | _YES | Use th | e Sceni | c Water | way coi | ndition (| Conditi | on 7.D | | | |
| | _NO | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | (| | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| | interfe | | ith surf | ace wat | er that c | Section contributed below. | | | | | iter |
| | interfe the De that th | rence w epartme ne prope | ith surf e <mark>nt is u</mark> osed us | ace wat nable to e will m | er that c find the easura | Section ontribute ther bly red that ther ing cha | tes to a s e is a pr uce the | scenic w reponde surface | vaterway erance e e water | y; there of evide flows | fore, |
| Calcula calculai informii Exerci | te the per ted, per c ng Water se of th | riteria in Rights the is permi | of consun 390.835, at the De t is calc | nptive use do not fu partment sulated t | e by mont. Il in the to is unable o reduce | h and fill able but c to make | heck the a Prepon ly flows | "unable" derance o s in | option a of Eviden | bove, thu ce finding | s g. Scenic |
| | | water fl | | | express | ed as a p | proporti | on ot fu | e consu | шриче | use by |
| Ian | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS TO: Water Rights Section Date January 17, 2012 FROM: Ground Water/Hydrology Section J. Hackett Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of _____ SUBJECT: Application G- 17504 Date of Review(s) PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation. A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: ___Jeff_Boden__ County: Washington Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.357 cfs from l well(s) in the Willamette Basin, A1. Quad Map: Hillsboro Tualatin subbasin A2. Proposed use: Irrigation Seasonality: <u>March 1 – October 31</u> A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid): Location, metes and bounds, e.g. Applicant's Proposed Location Well Logid Proposed Aquifer* Well# Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q) 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 WASH 5221 CRB 1N/2W-12 SW-SW 1 0.357 610'N, 1300'E fr SW cor S 12 1 2 3 4 5 Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

| Well | Well Elev ft msl | First Water ft bls | SWL ft bls | SWL Date | Well Depth (ft) | Seal Interval (ft) | Casing Intervals (ft) | Liner Intervals (ft) | Perforations Or Screens (ft) | Well Yield (gpm) | Draw Down (ft) | Test Type |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| 1 | 240 | 301 | 45 | 02/28/2011 | 495 | 0-288 | +1.5 to 288 | | | 210 | | A |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Use data from application for proposed wells.

| A4. | Comments: |
|-------|---|
| A5. 🛚 | Provisions of theBasin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water □ are, or ☑ are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: The applicant's well produces from a confined aquifer, so the pertinent basin rules do not apply. |
| A6. □ | Well(s) #,,, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: Comments: |

Version: 08/15/2003

| Applic | ation: | G- 17504 | continued | | Date: | January 17, 2012 | 2 |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|-----------------------|
| В. <u>GR</u> | OUN | ND WATER AVA | ILABILITY CONSIDER | RATIONS, OAR 690- | 310-130 | , 400-010, 410-0070 | |
| В1. | Bas | sed upon available | data, I have determined that g | ground water* for the pro | posed use | e: | |
| | a. | period of the p | riated, is not over appropriated, This finding is as prescribed in OAR 690-310 | is limited to the ground w | letermine vater port | ed to be over appropriated during a ion of the over-appropriation | ıny |
| | b. | | will likely be available in the ground water portion of the | | | to prior water rights. * This findi in OAR 690-310-130; | ng |
| | c. | will not or | will likely to be available w | ithin the capacity of the g | ground wa | ater resource; or | |
| | d. | i. The p | rly conditioned, avoid injury permit should contain conditioned a permit should be conditioned a permit should contain special contain | n #(s) <u>7i</u> as indicated in item 2 belo | ow. | | ; |
| B2. | a. | Condition to | allow ground water productio | n from no deeper than | | ft. below land surface; | |
| | b. | Condition to | allow ground water productio | n from no shallower than | | ft. below land surface; | |
| | c. | Condition to water reservoi | allow ground water production r between approximately | only from theft. and | ft. be | ground gr | Ŀ |
| | d. | occur with this | s use and without reconstruction e permit until evidence of well | ng are cited below. With | out recon | ditions. The problems that are like struction, I recommend withholdin epartment and approved by the Gro | g |
| | | | | | | well reconstruction (interference v | v/ |
| В3. | <u>wat</u> | ter-bearing zones i | n the Columbia River Rasalt | Group that occur in wa | ell WAS! | ter for this permits hall be the A 5221 between depths of 301 around the Columbi | |
| | a se occ at th con (flo | eries of lava flows w urs near the surface he contacts between fining beds. This ge | ith a composite thickness that of the basalts, most water occ lava flows. The interiors of the ometry generally produces a s | ranges up to 1000 feet in urs in confined aquifers to be basalt flows generally stack of thin aquifers (inter- | the area hat occup have low erflow zo | Columbia River Basalt Group (CRE Although unconfined groundwate by thin rubble zones (interflow zon porosity and permeability and act nes) separated by thick confining be tural vertical connection between | <u>r</u> es) as |
| | hav bety bety well | e deep water levels ween shallow and d ween land 2 feet pe ls (WASH 14, WAS | (see attached hydrograph). The ep basalt wells. Water levels ryear. These declines are causes H 1193, WASH 5090, and W | ne attached hydrograph al in deeper wells (WASH: sed by over-pumping of to ASH 5250) fluctuate in | so illustra 5213 and he aquife relation to | w water levels and deep basalt well ates water -level trend differences WASH 58925) are declining at ra- r. Conversely, water levels in shall be decadal-scale precipitation patter ash 5221) are between shallow and | tes ow ns |

deep wells and have declined slightly since 1993. However, they have remained stable since 2003. Based on the available data, it appears likely that WASH 5221 does not produce from the same aquifer as deeper wells that are experiencing waterlevel declines.

The special condition presented above is intended to protect the deeper aquifer from further over appropriation. Condition 7i should protect senior groundwater users from injury caused by issuance of this permit.

| horizor assume | ntal dis ed to be | tance less t | han ¼ mi ally conne | le from a sur | face water so | urce that pr | roduce water | from an un | ces. All wells confined aquif cams located b | er shall be | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---------------------|
| Well | SW # | S | urface Wat | ter Name | GW Elev ft msl | SW Elev ft msl | Distance (ft) | Con | aulically nected? ASSUMED | Potenti Subst. Ir Assun YES | nte |
| 1 | 1 | | Holcomb | Creek | 195 | 160- 210 | 1350 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| level. Tl River Ba | his elev asalt f <u>l</u> | vation is ~2 ow interior | 00 feet be s should p | elow the elever oreclude any | ation of Holo | omb Creek nection bet | . The very lo | w vertical | ration of 48 fee permeability of system and the | f dense Col | lur |
| level. Tl River Ba | his elev asalt f <u>l</u> | vation is ~2 ow interior | 00 feet be s should p | elow the elever oreclude any | ation of Holo | omb Creek nection bet | . The very lo | w vertical | permeability of | f dense Col | luı |
| level. Th River Ba aquifer a | his elevasalt fl | vation is ~2 ow interior arby stream | 00 feet be s should p s that are | elow the elever oreclude any connected to | vation of Holo effective con the alluvial | omb Creek nection bet aquifer. | x. The very lower the bas | w vertical palt aquifer | permeability of system and the | f dense Col overlying | luı |
| River Baguifer a aquifer a | Availa 040 (4 ded and inent to | wation is ~2 ow interior arby stream bility Basin Evaluat I less than o that surfact ate against | n the well ion of stree 1 mile froe water s the 1% of | elow the elevered to connected | ted within: for each well water source ot lower SW al flow for the | 73545: RO that has be Limit evaluations to very pertinent. | CK CR > T en determine luation to ins which the structure water Availa | SUALATING of or assumate am under oblitty Basin | permeability of | UTH ulically stream flo ibutary. Co | al ow |
| River Baguifer a aquifer a | Availa 040 (4 ded and inent to | wation is ~2 ow interior arby stream bility Basin Evaluat I less than o that surfact ate against | n the well ion of stree 1 mile froe water s the 1% of | elow the elevered to connected | ted within: for each well water source ot lower SW al flow for the d box indi Instream Water Right Q | 73545: RO that has be Limit evaluations to very pertinent. | CK CR > T en determine luation to ins which the structure water Availa | SUALATING of or assumate am under oblitty Basin | R – AT MOI ed to be hydra and minimum evaluation is tr (WAB). If Q e potential to c | UTH ulically n stream flo ibutary. Co is not dist cause PSI. | ow omerik Suk |
| Water A 690-09- connect are pertithe required by well, | Availa O40 (4 ded and inent to ested r use fu | bility Basin bilit | n the well ion of stree 1 mile froe water s the 1% of ach well. Qw > | elow the elever oreclude any connected to co | ted within: for each well water source ot lower SW al flow for the d box indi Instream Water | 73545: RO that has be Limit eval sources to very pertinent very cates the wear and the control of the control o | en determine luation to ins which the str Water Availa ell is assume 80% Natural Flow | CUALATING OF SOME PARTY OF SOM | R – AT MOI and the system and minimum evaluation is tracked (WAB). If Que potential to system and minimum evaluation is tracked (WAB). If Que potential to system and syst | UTH ulically n stream flo ibutary. Co is not dist cause PSI. | ow omerik Suk |
| Water A 690-09- connect are pertithe required by well, | Availa O40 (4 ded and inent to ested r use fu | bility Basin bilit | n the well ion of stree 1 mile froe water s the 1% of ach well. Qw > | elow the elever oreclude any connected to co | ted within: for each well water source ot lower SW al flow for the d box indi Instream Water Right Q | romb Creek nection bet aquifer. 73545: RO that has be Limit eval sources to ve pertinent vecates the we limit eval sources to vecate the well as a limit eval of the cates the well eval of the cates the cates the cates the well eval of the cates the cates the well eval of the cates the well eval of the cates the | en determine luation to ins which the str Water Availa ell is assume 80% Natural Flow | CUALATING OF SOME PARTY OF SOM | R – AT MOI and the system and minimum evaluation is tracked (WAB). If Que potential to system and minimum evaluation is tracked (WAB). If Que potential to system and syst | UTH ulically n stream flo ibutary. Co is not dist cause PSI. | ow omerik Suk |
| Water A 690-09- connect are pertithe required by well, | Availa O40 (4 ded and inent to ested r use fu | bility Basin bilit | n the well ion of stree 1 mile froe water s the 1% of ach well. Qw > | elow the elever oreclude any connected to co | ted within: for each well water source ot lower SW al flow for the d box indi Instream Water Right Q | romb Creek nection bet aquifer. 73545: RO that has be Limit eval sources to ve pertinent vecates the well assure that has be limit eval sources to vecate the well assure that has be limit eval sources to vecate the well assure that has be limit eval sources to vecate the well assure that has be limit eval sources to vecate the well assure that has be limited to be linited to be limited to be limited to be limited to be limited to | en determine luation to ins which the str Water Availa ell is assume 80% Natural Flow | CUALATING OF SOME PARTY OF SOM | R – AT MOI and the system and minimum evaluation is tracked (WAB). If Que potential to system and minimum evaluation is tracked (WAB). If Que potential to system and syst | UTH nulically n stream flot ibutary. Co is not dist cause PSI. Pote for S Inte Assu | ow omerik ent |
| Water A 690-09- connect are pertithe required by well, | Availa O40 (4 ded and inent to ested r use fu | bility Basin bilit | n the well ion of stree 1 mile froe water s the 1% of ach well. Qw > | elow the elever oreclude any connected to co | ted within: for each well water source ot lower SW al flow for the d box indi Instream Water Right Q | romb Creek nection bet aquifer. 73545: RO that has be Limit eval sources to ve pertinent vecates the well assure that has be limit eval sources to vecate the well assure that has be limit eval sources to vecate the well assure that has be limit eval sources to vecate the well assure that has be limit eval sources to vecate the well assure that has be limited to be linited to be limited to be limited to be limited to be limited to | en determine luation to ins which the str Water Availa ell is assume 80% Natural Flow | CUALATING OF SOME PARTY OF SOM | R – AT MOI and the system and minimum evaluation is tracked (WAB). If Que potential to system and minimum evaluation is tracked (WAB). If Que potential to system and syst | UTH nulically n stream flot ibutary. Co is not dist cause PSI. Pote for S Inte Assu | ow om crit |

Date: January 17, 2012

Confined

3

Unconfined

Application: G- 17504 continued

Well

1

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer

CRBG

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

| SW # | Qw > 5 cfs? | Instream Water Right ID | Instream Water Right Q (cfs) | Qw > 1% ISWR? | 80% Natural Flow (cfs) | Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow? | Interference @ 30 days (%) | Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? |
|-------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | , , | | , , | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: _ | | | | | | | | |

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

| Non-Di | stributed V | Vells | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|--------|-----|
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distrib | uted Wells | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Well | SW# | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Well Q | as CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interfer | ence CFS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (A) = To | otal Interf. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • • | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | % Nat. Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (D) = (A | 4) > (C) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (A) > (C) $(A \setminus B) \times 100$ | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| (L) = (A | (/ 15) X 100 | | | | . • | ,,, | . 3 | | 10/ 0 | | 1.0 | . 000/ | |

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

| | Basis | for | impact | eva | luation |
|--|-------|-----|--------|-----|---------|
|--|-------|-----|--------|-----|---------|


| cation: G- 17504 continued | Date: <u>January 17, 2012</u> |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to imp Rights Section. | pair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wa |
| under this permit can be regulated if it is for i. The permit should contain con | er source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water upound to substantially interfere with surface water: |
| ii. The permit should contain spe | ecial condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below; |
| | |
| W / GW Remarks and Conditions: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| - | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| eferences Used: | |
| ererences eseu | |
| | Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, sin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168 |
| annett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geolog I.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 142 | gic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washingt 24-A, 32 p. |
| | |
| | o, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer syste |
| Pregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Surv | vey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82 p. |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| App | plication: G-17504 continued | Date: <u>January</u> 17, 2012 | 6 |
|-------------|--|---|-----------|
| D. <u>Y</u> | WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200 | | |
| D1. | . Well#: Logid: | | |
| D2. | THE WELL does not meet current well construction stand a. review of the well log; b. field inspection by c. report of CWRE d. other: (specify) | | ; |
| D3. | THE WELL construction deficiency: a. constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; b. commingles water from more than one ground water c. permits the loss of artesian head; d. permits the de-watering of one or more ground water e. other: (specify) | reservoirs; | |
| D4. | . THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follow | s: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| D5. | . THE WELL a. was, or was not constructed a original construction or most rece | ccording to the standards in effect at the time of nt modification. | |
| | b. 1 don't know if it met standards at | the time of construction. | |
| D6. | . Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholdi is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement | | struction |
| TH | HIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEME | NT PERSONNEL | |
| D7. | . Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the follow | ing actions: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | _ |
| | (Defended Section Simple) | , | 200 |
| | (Enforcement Section Signature) | | |
| D8. | Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction | logs to this page). | |
| | | | |

Application: G- 17504 continued

Date: January 17, 2012

Water Levels in Nearby Wells



Well Location Map

