

WATERMASTER ALTERNATE RESERVOIR APPLICATION REVIEW SHEET

Recommendations for Water Right Applications under the Alternate Reservoir review process (ORS 537.409)

In lieu of the water right application process set forth in ORS 537.140 to 537.211, an owner of a reservoir may submit an alternate reservoir application for a reservoir that has a storage capacity less than 9.2 acre-feet or a dam or impoundment structure less than 10 feet in height. ORS 537.409 describes the criteria used to evaluate alternate reservoir applications.

The review shall be limited to issues pertaining to: a) water availability, b) potential detrimental impact to existing fishery resources; and c) potential injury to existing water rights. (ORS 537.409 (6))

Within 60 days after the department provides public notice...any person may submit detailed, legally obtained information in writing, requesting the department to deny the application for a permit on the basis that the reservoir: (a) Would result in injury to an existing water right; or (b) Would pose a significant detrimental impact to existing fishery resources. (ORS 537.409 (5))

The review of alternate reservoirs is limited to these criteria only.

Application #: R- 87625 Applicant's Name: DaySpring Partners, LLC.

1) Does the proposed reservoir have the potential to injure existing water rights? ☐ NO ☒ YES

Explain: This application should include a fill period consistent with water availability to ensure other downstream users are not negatively affected by the proposed storage of water on the Rock Creek system. Initial look at the water availability report for this area states the fill period should not exceed January through June of each year. The applied fill period should be consistent with any applicable rules and not to exceed a period of time from January through June.


2) Can conditions be applied to mitigate the potential injury to existing water rights? ☐ NO ☒ YES

If YES, which conditions are recommended: B51a, B58 should be applied to this permit application to ensure lower users are not negatively affected. Immediate downstream user would be the applicant, however there are other users further downstream who could be affected by this proposed application for storage of water.

3) Did you meet with staff from another agency to discuss this application? ☒ NO ☐ YES

Who: Agency: Date:
Who: Agency: Date:

Watermaster signature: Date:



12/30/2010

WRD Contact: Caseworker: Water Rights Division, 503-986-0900 / Fax 503-986-0901