Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip Groundwater/Hydrology Section FILE # # _ G - [7497 | ROUTED TO: _ WR TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION:_______ CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: | | Yes [] no REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:

Reviewer: Grandin

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO	5 March 2012, 200							
TO:	Application G- 17497							
FROM:	GW: GERALO H. GRONDING (Reviewer's Name) Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation							
X!	TES .							
1	The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway							
_X.	Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)							
1	10							
i	er ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The alculated interference is distributed below.							
in t	er ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is unable to calculate ground water atterference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows ecessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.							

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in <u>Klamath River</u> Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00

No not pumping given 100% of groundwater pumped will be re-injected

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water	Rights So	ection				Date	e	5 March	2012			
FRON	1 :	Groun	d Water/I	Hydrology	Section	Geral	d H. Gron	din						
						Revi	ewer's Name							
SUBJ	ECT:	Applie	cation <u>G</u> -	17497	Su	persedes	review of_				Date of Re	view(s)		
											Date of Re	view(s)		
OAR (welfare to determine the pre-	590-310-1 c, safety a rmine who sumption	30 (1) 2 nd healt ether the criteria.	The Depar h as descr e presumpt This revie	ibed in ORS ion is establew is based	presume th 537.525. D lished. OAF upon avail:	at a prop Departmen R 690-310 Able infor	t staff review 140 allows 140 and	dwater use we ground wa the proposed agency poli	ter ap d use icies i	pplications be modifi in place at	under O. ed or cor the time	AR 690-3 ditioned e of evalu	to meet ation.	
A. GE	NEKAL	INFU	RMATIC	<u> </u>	pplicant's N	ame: K	Jamain Co	ounty Schoo	וע ונ	strict (ounty:	Kiamai	<u>n</u>	
A1.								on well and				ell(s) in t	he	
	Klamat	th		Basin, in t	the Lost	River s	ub basin	Quad Map:		Merrill				
A2.	Propose	ed use:	I	Heat Exchai	nge	S	easonality:	Y	'ear I	Round (36	5 days)			
A3.	Well an	d aquife	r data (att	ach and nui	mber logs f	or existin	g wells; ma	rk proposed	l well	s as such	under lo	gid):		
Wel	Logi	id	Applican s	Pro	oposed quifer*	Proposed Location Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q)				Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36				
		57520	Well #				` `				485' W f			
2	KLAM : Not dr	_	2		Basalt Basalt			41S/11E-sec 10 DAA 41S/11E-sec 10 DAD			620' W f			
3														
4	CDD.	Delen												
Alluvi	ium, CRB,	веагоск												
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)		forations Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type	
1	4060	92	66	07/19/10	303	0-202	+2.5-202	193-303	24	40-303	245	5	Р	
2	4056	?	?	None	300	?	?	?		?	400?	?	?	
Lisa dat	from ann	ligation f	or proposed	l wells										
A4.	_		or proposed	wells.										
Α4.														
	Propos	ed grou	ndwater u	ise is for he	at exchange	e for heat	ing and coo	ling the sch	ool.					
				rate is base continuous		total pro	oposed anni	ual volume (of 645	5 acre-fee	t. This v	olume c	onverts	
	per day	/. This: water	applicatio	n proposes	to addition	ally use t	he well for	supplying w groundwate the predom	r pro	duction fo	or heat e	xchange	Based	
								similar to t						

after the heat exchange.

Application: G-17497 continued Date: 5 March 2012 Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or A5. Provisions of the N.A. management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water \square are, or \square are not, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.) Comments: ___ No basin rule applies. Only the Klamath River Compact ORS 542.610 to 542.630 applies to the Klamath Basin. However, that compact applies to surface water only, not ground water. A6. Well(s) # N.A., ___, ___, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction. Name of administrative area: Comments: Currently, there is no administrative area. However, this is the Merrill-Malin area where no additional groundwater use should occur. Additional groundwater use (net increase in groundwater pumping) would add to ongoing groundwater level declines in the area that has increased significantly since 2000. As a result, OWRD finds groundwater is no longer available for additional use that increases the amount of net groundwater pumping in the area. This application proposes no additional (net increase) groundwater use, because it proposes to inject 100 percent of the water pumped after it has passed through the heat exchange. To ensure this occurs, no net groundwater use must be included as a permit condition where 100% of the groundwater extracted must be injected to the same water bearing zone.

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

	determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	
b.	■ will not or ■ will likely be available in the amounts requested withou is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination	
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the gro	und water resource; or
d.	 will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water right. i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item. 	·
a.	Condition to allo	ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allo waste spenal frags	ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow yet or avail	ground . below land surface;
d.	Condition to allow water reservoir be Well reconstruct to occur with this withholding issual by the Ground Water reservoir Water reservoir Water reservoir be	conditions. The problems that are like t reconstruction, I recommend filed with the Department and approv
	Describe injury –a senior water rights,	hout well reconstruction (interference
Gr	ound water availability remarks:	
Gr (ne	oundwater in the Merrill-Malin area is determined to be over appropriate increase) groundwater use should occur. This application does not increase the state of the same of t	ated (see paragraph below). No addition of propose any additional (net incre
71 107	oundwater use.	
510	ng term state observation well groundwater level data related to state of	
Lo		nonally, these and the Clify of Wierrill
<u>Lo</u> (K) (K)	LAM 14764), and 1358 (KLAM 52194) show climatic influences. Addit LAM 14959) and California well 48N04E16M001M (TID well #3) an	d well 48N03E14M001M clearly sho
Lo (K) (K) sig	LAM 14764), and 1358 (KLAM 52194) show climatic influences. Addit LAM 14959) and California well 48N04E16M001M (TID well #3) an nificant decline that began after the 1990s when comparing annual pea	nd well 48N03E14M001M clearly shook water levels. The hydrograph for
Lo (K) (K) sig we	LAM 14764), and 1358 (KLAM 52194) show climatic influences. Addit LAM 14959) and California well 48N04E16M001M (TID well #3) an	d well 48N03E14M001M clearly should water levels. The hydrograph for A map showing the 2010 seasonal detattached. These are consistent with

v

Date: 5 March 2012

This is the Merrill-Malin area where no additional groundwater use should occur. Additional groundwater use (net increase in groundwater pumping) would add to ongoing groundwater level declines in the area that has increased significantly since 2000. As a result, OWRD finds groundwater is no longer available for additional use that increases the amount of net groundwater pumping in the area. This application proposes no additional (net increase) groundwater use.

This application proposes no additional (net increase) groundwater use, because it proposes to inject 100 percent of the water pumped after it has passed through the heat exchange. To ensure this occurs, no net groundwater use must be included as a permit condition where 100% of the groundwater extracted must be injected to the same water bearing zone (see below).

If a permit is issued, the following conditions should be included: 7B, 7F, 7L, 7N (modified), 7T (measuring tube for each well), the "large" water use condition (flow meter required at each well), and special conditions (see below):

7N, the measurement condition modified (change part "A" from three to "one or more feet", merge "B" and "C" to read "Annual water-level measurements reveal a water-level decline of 5 or more feet:", and change part "D" from 25 to "10 or more feet", and add a part "E" to read "OWRD groundwater section staff approved static ground water level measurements at well KLAM 53269 (Loren Kandra) near Adams Point is below 55 feet below land surface", and insert the following to the last paragraph after "no action is necessary because...": insert "...the use is not contributing to the decline or contributing to the groundwater level being below 55 feet below land surface at well KLAM 53269 or because...").

7T, the measuring tube condition modified (add "For existing wells with a pump installed, installation of the measuring tube shall occur when the pump is removed or replaced and/or when the well is deepened or reconstructed or altered.").

The "large" water use condition (require a flow meter at each well; each flow meter shall be located within 50 feet of the wellhead (the meter at the production well must be before the line split that directs water to the heat exchange versus to the school's exempt uses. Also require a flow meter at the beginning and end of the heat exchange unit and on the school exempt use line located after the line split to the heat exchange unit and before the first location of the school's exempt use. Adjacent to every flow meter shall be a clearly visible monument with a sign noting the flow meter. Lastly, require for every flow meter the reading, recording (monthly at minimum), and annual reporting of the flow meter data, all flow meters).

Well construction condition ("All wells shall be constructed to extract or inject groundwater from and to the same or adjoining water-bearing zone within the basalt unit below the basin sediments. To meet this criterion, each well shall have at minimum continuous casing and continuous seal from land surface, through the sediment to the productive portion of the basalt unit. Additionally, there shall be no more than 100 feet difference when comparing the well bottom elevation for any two of the permitted wells".).

Special condition for no net groundwater use: "This permit is valid if and only if 100 percent of the groundwater extracted from the production well(s) is injected in the authorized injection well(s) which can be confirmed by flow meter data. Otherwise, the use is invalid and subject to regulation, including possible immediate cancellation of the permit."

Special condition for low temperature geothermal wells used for heating: "All water produced under this permit shall be injected into the authorized well(s). Prior to receiving a certificate of water right, the permit holder shall submit documentation affirming that any applicable additional requirements of the Department's Division 230 rules have been met."

Special Condition for groundwater production: "Groundwater production shall occur from the predominant basalt unit below the predominant basin fill unit by casing and sealing through the basin fill unit into the basalt unit."

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Wel	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Basalt Unit		\boxtimes
2	Basalt Unit		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: __

System is identified as generally unconfined with discontinuous low permeability layers causing local (discontinuous, limited) confinement. Generally, low transmissivity (low permeability) sediment of varying thickness overlies high transmissivity (high permeability) basalt. Groundwater occurs in both the sediment unit and the basalt unit. Groundwater is vertically connected within each unit and between each unit. This is based upon investigations by Gannett and others (2007) and Grondin (2004).

Water well reports (well logs) for wells in the general area indicate the sediment thickness varies considerably. For example, the sediment thickness at the Lost River High School well (KLAM 57529) is less than 180 feet, but it is more than 1,100 feet at TID well #3 (CALIF 48N04E16M001M) located about 1.7 miles to the southwest of the school well.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Lost River	4010	4035	8100		
2	1	Lost River	4010	4035	7575		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation:

The groundwater elevation in the table above is based on state observation well data. It is not appropriate to use the static water level data on the water well report (well log) for the proposed production well (KLAM 57529), because that measurement occurred during the summer of 2010, the time of greatest drought related groundwater pumping and greatest seasonal groundwater level drawdown.

The distance from the wells to the Lost River is to the nearest reach.

OWRD water availability data indicates the Lost River gains water between Olene Gap and the Oregon-California boundary. Currently, the river reach closest to the proposed wells appears to be losing water to groundwater given the river stage is above the static groundwater elevation. State observation well data indicates groundwater levels in the Merrill-Malin area have declined up to 30 feet. So historically, the groundwater level at the proposed production well (KLAM 57529) and the proposed injection well was above the river stage at the nearest river reach and the river gained water from groundwater. Now, the groundwater hydraulic connection with the Lost River (groundwater elevation occurring above the river stage) occurs not at the nearest river reach, but much further away northwest of Merrill.

The eastern Lost River sub-basin ground water investigation data (Grondin, 2004) and the current USGS-OWRD cooperative Upper Klamath Basin ground water investigation (Gannett and others, 2007) indicate low yield (low hydraulic conductivity) sediments overlie higher yield (high conductivity) basalt. Many domestic wells produce from the sediments and most irrigation wells produce from the basalt. Ground water in the sediments and the basalt appear hydraulically connected. The data include similar or small differences between basalt and sedimentary ground water levels and data showing ground water levels at wells completed in the sediments responding to pumping ground water from basalt.

Gannett and others (2007) show groundwater flow from the uplands north, west, and east of Merrill toward the Lost River and Tule Lake. This includes flow across the proposed well site. Additionally, groundwater flows from the uplands north and east of Merrill and Malin converging toward the valley and flows south toward Tulelake. Generally in the Upper Klamath Basin, groundwater and surface water are hydraulically connected.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: LOST R > TULE L - AT STATE LINE

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
							_			

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: _

All the proposed wells are more than 1.00 mile from the Lost River.	
·	

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	Distributed		F-1-	Man	A	Mari	T	7. 1	A .	0	0.4	N.T.	Б
Well_	SW#	Jan %	Feb %	Mar %	Apr %	May %	Jun %	Jul %	Aug %	Sep %	Oct	Nov %	Dec %
	are	70	70	70	-70	70	70	70	70	70	70	%	
	as CFS												
Interter	ence CFS												_
Distril	buted Well	s											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	rence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS						ø						
$(A) = T_0$	otal Interf.												
	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (/	A) > (C)								No.				
	(C) (C) $(A / B) \times 100$												
						flor:: at 900							

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:
All the proposed wells are more than 1.00 mile from the Lost River.
The application proposes and this review is based upon no net use of groundwater (net use = 0.0 gpm) where 100 percent of the groundwater extracted is injected back to the same source. So no calculation was conducted. If less than 100 percent of the groundwater extracted is injected to the same source, this review is invalid and the permit should not be issued.

Application: G-17497 continued	Date: 5 March 2012
C4b. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to Rights Section.	be determined by the Water
C5. If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)	ence, and/or ground water use
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;	
C6. SW / GW Remarks and Conditions	
This review is based upon no net use of groundwater (net use = 0.0 gpm) where 100 percent of is injected back to the same source. If the capability or the intent is for less than 100 extracted to be injected to the same source, this review is invalid and the permit should not be	percent of the groundwater
Groundwater in the Merrill-Malin area is determined to be over appropriated (see paragrap increase) groundwater use should occur. This application does not propose any additional use.	
Long term state observation well groundwater level data related to state observation we (KLAM 14764), and 1358 (KLAM 52194) show climatic influences. Additionally, these a	
(KLAM 14959) and California well 48N04E16M001M (TID well #3) and well 48N03E14M001 decline that began after the 1990s when comparing annual peak water levels. The hydrogra The total decline in the area ranges from 15 to 30 feet. A map showing the 2010 seasonal de	ph for each well is attached
total decline from spring 2001 to spring 2011 are attached. These are consistent with and u Gannett and others (2007) and the USGS (2005). The declines are greater than typical periods. They appear related to the USBOR Klamath Project Water Bank. Any additional	ly observed during drough
use in the Merrill-Malin area risks increasing the decline rate. This application does not increase) groundwater use.	propose any additional (ne
This is the Merrill-Malin area where no additional groundwater use should occur. Additional increase in groundwater pumping) would add to ongoing groundwater level declines in significantly since 2000. As a result, OWRD finds groundwater is no longer available for add amount of net groundwater pumping in the area. This application proposes no additional (net groundwater pumping in the area).	the area that has increased itional use that increases the
amount of net groundwater pumping in the area. This application proposes no auditional (ne	t merease) groundwater use
This application proposes no additional (net increase) groundwater use, because it proposes	
water pumped after it has passed through the heat exchange. To ensure this occurs, no no included as a permit condition where 100% of the groundwater extracted must be injected to (see below).	
If a permit is issued, the following conditions should be included: 7B, 7F, 7L, 7N (modified), well), the "large" water use condition (flow meter required at each well), and special condition	
7N, the measurement condition modified (change part "A" from three to "one or more feet",	
"Annual water-level measurements reveal a water-level decline of 5 or more feet:", and char or more feet", and add a part "E" to read "OWRD groundwater section staff approve	
measurements at well KLAM 53269 (Loren Kandra) near Adams Point is below 55 feet below the following to the last paragraph after "no action is necessary because": insert "the under the following to the last paragraph after "no action is necessary because":	
decline or contributing to the groundwater level being below 55 feet below land surface because").	
7T, the measuring tube condition modified (add "For existing wells with a pump installed, i tube shall occur when the pump is removed or replaced and/or when the well is deepened or r	
Continues on next page	

Application: G-17497 continued

Date: 5 March 2012

The "large" water use condition (require a flow meter at each well; each flow meter shall be located within 50 feet of the wellhead (the meter at the production well must be before the line split that directs water to the heat exchange versus to the school's exempt uses. Also require a flow meter at the beginning and end of the heat exchange unit and on the school exempt use line located after the line split to the heat exchange unit and before the first location of the school's exempt use. Adjacent to every flow meter shall be a clearly visible monument with a sign noting the flow meter. Lastly, require for every flow meter the reading, recording (monthly at minimum), and annual reporting of the flow meter data, all flow meters).

Well construction condition ("All wells shall be constructed to extract or inject groundwater from and to the same or adjoining water-bearing zone within the basalt unit below the basin sediments. To meet this criterion, each well shall have at minimum continuous casing and continuous seal from land surface, through the sediment to the productive portion of the basalt unit. Additionally, there shall be no more than 100 feet difference when comparing the well bottom elevation for any two of the permitted wells".).

Special condition for no net groundwater use: "This permit is valid if and only if 100 percent of the groundwater extracted from the production well(s) is injected in the authorized injection well(s) which can be confirmed by flow meter data. Otherwise, the use is invalid and subject to regulation, including possible immediate cancellation of the permit."

Special condition for low temperature geothermal wells used for heating: "All water produced under this permit shall be injected into the authorized well(s). Prior to receiving a certificate of water right, the permit holder shall submit documentation affirming that any applicable additional requirements of the Department's Division 230 rules have been met."


Special Condition for groundwater production: "Groundwater production shall occur from the predominant basalt unit below the predominant basin fill unit by casing and sealing through the basin fill unit into the basalt unit."

References Used:
Grondin, G.H., 2004. Ground Water in the Eastern Lost River Sub-Basin, Langell, Yonna, Swan Lake, and Poe Valleys of Southeastern Klamath County, Oregon. Ground Water Report 41, Oregon Water Resources Department, Salem,
Oregon.
USGS, 2005. Assessment of the Klamath Project pilot water bank: a review from a hydrologic perspective. Prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey Oregon Water Science Center, Portland, Oregon for the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Klamath Basin Area Office, Klamath Falls, Oregon, May 3, 2005.
Gannett, M.W., Lite, K.E., La Marche, J.L., Fisher, B.J., and Polette, D.J. 2007. Ground-Water Hydrology of the Upper Klamath Basin, Oregon and California. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2007-5050.
Sammel, E.A. 1980. Hydrogeologic Appraisal of the Klamath Falls Geothermal Area, Oregon. USGS Professional Paper 1044-G, 45 p.
Leonard, A.R. and Harris, A.B. 1974. Groundwater in selected areas in the Klamath Basin, Oregon. OWRD Groundwater Report No. 21, 104 pgs.
Hydrographs and/or water well reports for wells KLAM 57529, KLAM 53269, KLAM 14914, KLAM 14764, KLAM 52194, KLAM 14959, California well 48N04E16M001M (TID well #3), and California well 48N03E14M001M.
USGS Merrill and Malin quadrangle maps (1:24,000 scale)


D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.		Well #:	1	Logid:KLAM 57529)			
D2.				es not meet current well construction standards	s based upon:			
				pection by	:			
		c	report of	f CWRE				
		d. 🗌	other: (pecify)				
D3.		THE W	ELL co	nstruction deficiency:				
		a. Constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules;						
		b. 🔲		gles water from more than one ground water reser	voir;			
				the loss of artesian head; the de-watering of one or more ground water rese	m/oiro:			
				specify)				
D4.		THE W	ELL co	nstruction deficiency is described as follows:				
D5.		THE W	ELL.	a. was, or was not constructed accord	ling to the standards in effect at the time of			
υ.		***************************************		original construction or most recent me				
				_				
				b. I don't know if it met standards at the	time of construction.			
		The wel	II meets	the recommended permit conditions for well co	nstruction and groundwater production.			
				<u> </u>				
					<u>_</u>			
D6.				Inforcement Section. I recommend withholding is Department and approved by the Enforcement Sec	ssuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction tion and the Ground Water Section.			
THI	SS	ECTIO	ON TO	BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT P	PERSONNEL			
D7.	\Box	Well co	nstructio	n deficiency has been corrected by the following a	ections:			
_ , ,	Ш							
					, 200			
			(Enforce	ement Section Signature)	,			
De		ъ .		N. 1. 0 . 1 . / // 1 . 1				
D8.		Route	to Wate	Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs	s to this page).			

Permit Application G-17497 Klamath County School District



Permit Application G-17497 Klamath County School District







Yellow = Proposed POA Red & Blue = Other Wells

Green = Surface Water Rights

KLAM 57529

KLAM 57529

STATE OF OREGON WATER SUPPLY WELL REPORT (as required by ORS 537.765 & OAR 690-205-0210)

09-16-2010

Page 1 of 1


WELL LABEL # L	100398
START CARD#	1010639


	51ART C/M (1010039		
(1) LAND OWNER Owner Well I.D.#2	(9) LOCATION OF WELL (legal descrip	tion)	
First Name Last Name	County Klamath Twp 41 00 S N/S Rai	nge_LL_00_E E/W WM	
Company KLAMATH COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT	Sec 10 SW 1/4 of the SE 1/4 T	ax Lot 100	
Address 10501 WASHBURN WAY		.01	
City KLAMATH FALLS State OR Zip 97603	Lat OO OO OO OO	DMS or DD	
2) TYPE OF WORK New Well Deepening Conversion	Long O	DMS or DD	
Alteration (repair/recondition) Abandonment	Street address of well Nearest add	ress	
(3) DRILL METHOD	23330 HIGHWAY 50, MERRILL, OREGON 97633		
Rotary Air Rotary Mud Cable Auger Cable Mud Reverse Rotary Other		L(psi) + SWL(ft)	
(4) PROPOSED USE Domestic Irrigation Community	Existing Well / Predeepening Completed Well		
Industrial/ Commercial Livestock Dewatering	M-21-2011/	Hole?	
Thermal Injection Other MUNICIPAL	WATER BEARING ZONES Depth water was to		
(5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION Special Standard (Attach copy		and the same of th	
Depth of Completed Well 303 00 ft.	07-14-2010 92 131 200	92	
BORE HOLE SEAL sacks/	07-16-2010 172 192 500	92	
Dia From To Material From To Amt lbs	07-19-2010 211 303 500	66	
14.75 0 202 Hentonite Chips 0 6 7 S			
9.87 202 303 Cement 6 202 115 S			
	(11) WELL LOG Ground Elevation		
How was seal placed: Method A B C D E	Material	From To	
Other	Soft Sandy Loam	0 21	
Backfill placed fromft. toft. Material	Redish Claystone	21 25	
Filter pack from ft. to ft. Material Size	Tan & Yellow Clayston Broken Rubble Ash & Cinders	25 84	
Explosives used: Yes Type Amount	Claystone & Shale Layers Mixed Green & Tan	84 104 104 172	
(6) CASING/LINER	Weathered Brown Rock	172 176	
Casing Liner Dia + From To Gauge Stl Plstc Wld Thrd	Black Basalt	176 179	
● C 10 × 2.5 202 .250 ● C ×	Softer Brown Rock Decomposed	179 192	
8 193 303 188	Hard Black Basalt	192211	
	Softer Black Basalt with Brown Ash & Cinders Basalt with Rubble & Shale Strips	211 228	
1 2 2 H	Gray & Green Siltstone	228 247 247 286	
	Hard Broken Basalt	286 291	
Shoe Inside Outside Other Location of shoe(s)	Broken Gray & Brown Basalt	291 303	
Temp casing Yes Dia From To			
(7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS			
Perforations Method Factory Saw			
Screens Type Material			
Perf/S Casing/Screen Scrn/slot Slot # of Tcle/ creen Liner Dia From To width length slots pipe size	Date Started 07-13-2010 Completed 0	7-23-2010	
Perf Liner 8 240 303 125 3 1,008	(unbonded) Water Well Constructor Certification		
	I certify that the work I performed on the construction		
 	abandonment of this well is in compliance with construction standards. Materials used and information		
	the best of my knowledge and belief.		
(8) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour	License Number Date		
Pump Bailer Air Flowing Artesian	Electronically Filed		
Yield gal/min Drawdown Drill stem/Pump depth Duration (hr)	Signed		
245 5 150 24	(bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification		
	I accept responsibility for the construction, deepening, alteration, or abandonment		
	work performed on this well during the construction da		
Temperature 64 °F Lab analysis Yes By	performed during this time is in compliance with Oregon water supply well construction standards. This report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief		
Water quality concerns? Yes (describe below) From To Description Amount Units	I '	, -	
Lescription Allowin Office	License Number 1385 Date 09-16-2010 Date 19-16-2010		
	Signed ROBERT BUCKNER (E-filed)		
	Contact Info (optional)		
ORIGINAL - WATER RESOURCES I	DEPARTMENT		

ORIGINAL - WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT


THIS REPORT MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS OF COMPLETION OF WORK

Form Version. 0.95








The magnitude and distribution of seasonal groundwater-level declines observed between spring and fall 2010. The shading indicates the maximum declines within a given area. Many wells showed smaller declines, particularly shallow wells more directly influenced by surface water.





The magnitude and distribution of groundwater-level declines observed between spring 2001 and spring 2011. The shading indicates the maximum declines within a given area. Many wells showed smaller declines, particularly shallow wells more directly influenced by surface water.














Groundwater Level Elevation vs Time California Well 48N_03E_14_M_001M (irrigation well)



Groundwater Level Elevation vs Time California Well 48N_04E_16_M_001M (TID irrigation well 3)

