PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUNDWATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	er Rights S	ection		Date April 11, 2012								
FROM	:	Grou	ındwater S	ection	Marc No									
SUBJE	CT:	App	lication G-	17480		Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of September 30, 2011 Date of Review(s)								
OAR 69 welfare, to deter	90-310-1 safety as mine who umption	30 (1) and head ether the criterian	The Depart th as descr the presumpt the This revieus	MPTION; ment shall peribed in ORS ion is establi ew is based ON: Ap	resume that 537.525. Do shed. OAR upon availa oplicant's N	a propose epartment 690-310- able infor	ed ground t staff rev 140 allow mation a William	iew great state in the state indiction in the state in the state in the state in the state in th	roundwate proposed u gency poli	r applica use be mo	tions urodified lace at	nder OAl or condi- the time County:	R 690-31 tioned to of evalu	0-140 meet ation.
AI.				cis iioi					l Map: <u>Pi</u>					_ Dasiii,
A2. A3.	Propose	ed use_	Irrigation	(70.2 Prim	ary + 72.5	Supp.)	_ Sea	sonal	lity:		March	1 throu	gh Octob	per 31
Well	Logic	Logid Applica Well		's Propos	ed Aquifer*	Prop Rate			Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)		Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36			
1	UMAT 5		1 OLD Bedrock			1.79		02S/32E – 13 NW NW		50' S, 4620' W fr SE cor S 12				
3	PROPOS	SED	NEW	Bedr	ock/CRB	1.7	79	02	02S/32E - 11 SE SE		660' N, 6580' W fr SE cor S 12			or S 12
5														
-	ım, CRB,	Bedroo	k								_			
Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Wate ft bls	ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft) 800	Seal Interval (ft) 0 - 66	Casing Interval (ft)	s	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perfora Or Scr (ft	eens	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
NEW	2410	440		07/12/2001	840	0-66	0-646				<u>-</u>	100		All
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	l wells.										
A4.	Comme	ents: _]	The origina	l well is com Il #2 will dev desired qua	velop water	from the	e Columb	oia Ri	he yield is iver basalt	s, if inde	ed the	re is suf	<u>icient th</u>	sed ickness
A5. 🛚	manage (Not all	ment o basin nts:	rules contai	lla River ter hydraulic n such provis	sions.)	ted to surf	face water	r 🔲	are, or 🛚	are not,	activa	ted by thi	s applica	tion.
A6. 🗌	Well(s) Name o Comme	# f admi nts:	nistrative ar		,,			tap(s	s) an aquife	er limited	by an	administ	rative res	triction.

Version: 08/15/2003

B. GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

В	Based upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:	
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the groundwater portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	g any
b.	o. will not or will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This fin is limited to the groundwater portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;	ding
c.	will not $or \square$ will likely to be available within the capacity of the groundwater resource; or	
d.	i. will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing groundwater rights or to the groundwater resource: The permit should contain condition #(s)7B - Interference, 7N - Annual WL (February/March),	<u>7P – </u>
a.	. Condition to allow groundwater production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;	
b.	Condition to allow groundwater production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;	
c.	Condition to allow groundwater production only from the groundwater reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. be land surface;	elow
d.	. Condition to allow production only from a single aquifer in the Columbia River Basalt groundwater reservoir;	
e.		
	Describe injury -as related to water availability- that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):	
		_
	33. Groundwater availability remarks: The amended application moves the proposed well to a location that mainderlain by basalt. However, based on UMAT 55931, about 0.5 mile to the west of the proposed new location, t	
	pasalt will likely be thin and the proposed well depth of 840 feet will likely penetrate mostly the underlying	<u>ne</u>
	netamorphic bedrock. That well was the subject of a water right application, G-16781, which was rejected as a s	result
	of a failure of the applicant to complete the application process. I reviewed that application and spoke to the applicant about the well. He was disappointed in the production of the well, reported as 20 gallons per minute or	ı the
	vell log. He indicated that the actual production was even less and that he would not be pursuing a permit as a r	
	Therefore, I suspect that it will be difficult to produce the desired quantity from both the existing and proposed ventured, unless local geologic conditions result in higher bedrock permeability than was encountered elsewhere	
_		•
_		
_		
_		

3

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
OLD	Bedrock	\boxtimes	
NEW	Basalt of the Columbia River Basalt Group and Bedrock	\boxtimes	

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:	Groundwater levels rose above where encountered in the well.						

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
OLD	1	Un-Named intermittent stream	2320	2310	100		
	2	East Birch Creek		2250	900		
NEW	1	Un-Named intermittent stream	2320	2320	250		
	2	East Birch Creek		2250	1250		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: <u>Groundwater was encountered at a depth of 440 feet, well Birch Creek.</u>	below
Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: NA	

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \(\subseteq \) box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ½ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw 5 cfs	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
omments: N	A						<u> </u>

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-D	istributed	Wells			-								
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	- %	- %	%	%	%	%	%
Well (as CFS												_
Interfer	ence CFS												
D: (!)	. 1337.11												
Well	outed Well SW#	s Jan	Feb	Mar	A n.r.	May	Lun	Int	Aux	Con	Ont	Mov	Dag
Well	3 W #	7dii %	%	Wiai %	Apr	Wiay	Jun	Jul %	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Wall	Q as CFS	%	%	%	%	%	%_	%	%	%	%	%	<u>%</u>
	ence CFS												
mene	LICE CFS	%	%	%		- 0/	0/	0/		0/	- 0/	- 0/	
Wall (Q as CFS	70	70_	%	%	%	%	- %	%	%	<u>%</u>	%	%
	ence CFS												
mener	ence Cr3	0/	0/		0.	0.4				21			
377-11.6) CFC	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS ence CFS	_											
interier	ence CFS												
*** 11.6	000	%	%	%_	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	<u>%</u>	%
	Q as CFS				_								
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%_	<u>%</u>	%_	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS	_							_				
Interfer	rence CFS				_								
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	Q as CFS								_				
Interfer	ence CFS												
(A) = To	otal Interf.											_	
• •	% Nat. Q					_							
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) =	(A) > (C)	<i>J</i>	7				3		·2	,	-/	у,	v´
	/B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Version: 08/15/2003

	Basis for impact evaluation: NA
_	
-	
-	
-	
-	
-	
-	
-	
•	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the V Rights Section.
	If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or groundwater under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SV	// GW Remarks and Conditions
	7 GW Remains and Conditions
	
Re	ferences Used:

Page

Application G-17480

6

D.	WELL	CONSTRUC	CTION, OA	AR 690-200

D1.	W	/ell #: Logid:	_
D2.	a. b.	HE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: review of the well log; field inspection by report of CWRE other: (specify)	_;
D3.	a. b. c. d. e.	permits the loss of artesian head;	
D4.	T	HE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:	_
	_		_
			_
D5.	Tì	HE WELL a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.	_
		b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.	
D6.		to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Groundwater Section.	
THI	S SE	CTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL	=
D7.	□ w	Tell construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	_
	_		_
	_		_
	_		_
			_
		, 200	
		(Enforcement Section Signature)	
D8.	R	coute to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).	

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Ivan Gall

FROM: Kerry Kavanagh, Water Rights Section

DATE: 4-2-12

RE: G-17480 William Rupp - application revised - new location for Well 2 - please

review

On 3-16-12, the applicant amended the application by moving the proposed location of Well 2 and paid \$250 for the add'l POA. Please complete a Div 9 review for the new location of Well 2 – see revised map as well.

Marc Norton completed the first Div 9 review on August 30, 2011.

Thanks.