PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	Water Rights Section Date April 13, 2012										
FROM	1:	Grou	nd Water/I	Hydrology	Section	Karl W	Vozniak		,				
SUBJ	ECT:	Appli	cation G	17478			ewer's Name persedes 1	review of	Nov	vemb	e r 4, 20 1 Date of Re	l 1 view(s)	mt-military vivers
OAR of welfare to dete	5 90-310-1 <i>e, safety a</i> rmine who	30 (1) 7 and heal ether the	The Departi th as descri e presumpti	<i>bed in ORS</i> on is establi	<i>resume that</i> 537.525. D shed. OAR	<i>a propose</i> epartment 690-310-	ed ground t staff revis 140 allows	water use will a ground water the proposed a gency poli	er applica use be mo	tions u dified	nder OA or condi	R 690-31 tioned to	0-140 meet
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFO	RMATIC	<u>)N</u> : A _l	oplicant's N	lame:	Lowell E.	Patton		0	County:	Clackan	nas
A1.				cfs from	n <u>1</u>	well(s) in the Bas							
Clackamas Riversubbasin Quad Map: Damascus													
A2. A3.	Propose Well an	ed use_ nd aquif	Primary & er data (atta	Supplemen	tal Irrigatio mber logs f	n Seas or existin	sonality: _ g wells; n	March 1 – 0 nark proposed	October 3 wells as	0 such u	ınder log	gid):	
Well	Logic	d	Applicant' Well#	s Propos	ed Aquifer*	Prop		Location				s and bou	
1	CLAC 4	075	A-1		CRB		Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q) 0.111 2S/2E-13 SE/NE			2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36 1760' S, 225' W fr NE cor, S13			
3													
4 5													
	ium, CRB,	Bedrock	ζ			1	L		1	***			****
Well 1	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	I TIME	SWL Date 3/5/1970	Well Depth (ft) 148	Seal Interval (ft) ???	Casing Intervals (ft) 0-20	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforat Or Scre (ft)	eens	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15-141						
Use dat	a from app	lication	for proposed	wells.						-			
A4.	hole ha was ne	d 20 fee cessary	to meet th	casing insta	lled. We ha	ve no info R 690-09-	rmation al -040 (4) (d	LAC 4075, a doout the presen	ce, kind, o bstantive	or dept	th of seal ge in the	. This re	<u>-review</u>
A5. 🗀	(Not all	l basin r	ules contair	ı such provi	sions.)			rules relative t					
A6. □	Name of Comme	# of admir ents: <u>Th</u>	nistrative are	ea:, oout 225 fee	t west of the	Damascı	, us Ground	tap(s) an aquif	er limited Area.	by an	administ	rative res	striction.

Application G-17478 Date: April 13, 2012

Page

2

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1.	Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
	a.	is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b.	□ will not or □ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	\square will not or \boxtimes will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7C, 7F; ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;
B2.	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	d.	 ■ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
		semon water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc).
В3.	map Cary of th Clac reac Muc Ver effic the	ound water availability remarks: The well log indicates that the well is completed in soft black basalt. State geologic indicate that this is a volcanic sandstone and conglomerate (QTvcs) associated with a Boring Lava flow (Basalt of ver) that crops out just northeast of the well. The geologic map indicates that the sandstone unit extends down to the level ne Clackamas River in the area due south of the well where it is overlain by, or abuts against, Quaternary alluvium of the ckamas River floodplain. Geologic map contacts indicate that the unit underlies or is adjacent to alluvium along a narrow of the from Bakers Bridge to about 2000 feet upstream from the bridge. Elsewhere, the river is entrenched in Sandy River distone. This geometry indicates that the sandstone aquifer is hydraulically connected to the river along this reach. Solve Italian and the sandstone and groundwater withdrawals will be offset by stream depletion. Therefore, groundwater levels in local aquifer are probably stable and groundwater supply is not likely to be adversely impacted by this new use as all of use from the well will be buffered by diminished stream flow in the Clackamas River in the vicinity of Bakers Bridge.
		disc from the well will be outleted by diffinished stream flow in the Checkanias Rever in the vicinity of Bakers Bridge.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

Cl. 69	0-09-040	(1)	: Ev	<i>r</i> aluation	of a	guiter	confineme	ent:
---------------	----------	-----	------	-------------------	------	--------	-----------	------

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Volcanic & Sandstone & Conglomerate		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:	Geologic maps suggest that there are no confining layers associated with this	
		_

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	Clackamas River	88	90	1420		
1	2	Rock Creek			4700		
1	3	Richardson Creek			5400		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: See Section B3 regarding hydraulic connection with the Clackamas River. The geometry of the local aquifer, the proximity of the well to the Clackamas River, and the likelihood of an efficient connection between the local aquifer and the Clackamas River suggest that all impacts will be to the Clackamas River (the cone of depression is not likely to reach Rock or Richardson Creeks). Therefore, there is no effective hydraulic connection between the aquifer and Rock Creek or Richardson Creek.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Clackamas R > Willamette R - At Mouth #80

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1			SY90206A	1000		822		???	

Date: April 13, 2012 Page

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

Application G-17478

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: Because of the complex aquifer geometry, there is no readily available model to estimate stream interference. The pertinent rule, OAR 690-09-040 (4) (d) stipulates that the Department shall estimate the impact using a suitable model. In the previous review, the interference at 30 days was assumed to be >25% based on the aquifer geometry. However, there is no way to test this with a readily available analytical model. It is possible, because of the distance of the well from the river, that interference @ 30 days would be <25% but it would be difficult to design a field test to adequately determine the actual interference. Therefore, we cannot readily determine the interference @ 30 days.

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
	uted Well			3.6			τ.	T 1		C	0.4	N.T.	D
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS										****		
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												····
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS										***************************************		
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
$(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{To}$	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q								· ·				
		<u></u>									·		
(D) = ((A) > (C)	√	✓	✓	\	V	✓	\	✓	√	✓	√	√
(E) = (A)	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Version: 08/15/2003

	(D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage. Basis for impact evaluation:
	. •
	·
•	
lb.	690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wat Rights Section.
;. <u> </u>	If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
	ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;
5. SY	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway
5. SV <u>wi</u>	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
5. SV wi	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
5. SV wi	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
5. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
5. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
5. SV wi 	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
6. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
5. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
55. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
5. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
55. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
55. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway (thdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
5. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway (thdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
55. SV	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterwa (thdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
wi	W / GW Remarks and Conditions See Section B3. Although the well is just outside of the Clackamas River Scenic Waterway (thdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
wi	ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.
wi	ithdrawals from the well will impact the lower 2000-foot reach of the waterway which ends at Bakers Bridge.

Application G-17478

5

Page

Date: April 13, 2012

Date: April 13, 2012

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1.	Well #:	1 Logid:CLAC 4075	_
D2.	a.	TELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: review of the well log; field inspection by report of CWRE other: (specify)	_; _; _
D3.	a.	CELL construction deficiency: constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules; commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir; permits the loss of artesian head; permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; other: (specify)	_
D4.	THE W	ELL construction deficiency is described as follows:	_ _ _
D5.	THE W	 a. □ was, or □ was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification. b. ☑ I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction. to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section. 	
THI		nstruction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:	= - - - - -
D8.	Route t	(Enforcement Section Signature) to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).	_•

Water Availability Tables

CLACKAMAS R > WILLAMETTE R - AT MOUTH WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 11/3/2011

Watershed ID #: 80

Exceedance Level:

80% 🕶

Date: 11/3/2011

Time: 4:27 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflows in Cubic Feet per Second Storage at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

Month	Natural Stream Flow	Consumptive Uses and Storages	Expected Stream Flow	Reserved Stream Flow	Instream Flow Requirement	Net Water Available
JAN	2,670.00	325.00	2,340.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,340.00
FEB	2,900.00	361.00	2,540.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,540.00
MAR	2,800.00	330.00	2,470.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,470.00
APR	3,010.00	398.00	2,610.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,610.00
MAY	2,740.00	397.00	2,340.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,340.00
JUN	1,620.00	308.00	1,310.00	0.00	1,000.00	312.00
JUL	980.00	308.00	672.00	0.00	1,000.00	-328.00
AUG	822.00	294.00	528.00	0.00	890.00	-362.00
SEP	833.00	282.00	551.00	0.00	890.00	-339.00
OCT	882.00	276.00	606.00	0.00	1,000.00	-394.00
NOV	1,630.00	323.00	1,310.00	0.00	1,000.00	307.00
DEC	2,650.00	328.00	2,320.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,320.00
ANN	2,110,000.00	237,000.00	1,870,000.00	0.00	711,000.00	1,200,000.00

Version: 08/15/2003

Date: April 13, 2012

8

G-17478, Patton

