Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip

Groundwater	'Hydrology	Section
-------------	------------	---------

TOWNSHIP	. <u></u>	Rights - Kerr
RANGE-SECT	10N: 225/	30,31,32
CONDITIONS A		,
REMARKS OR	FURTHER (NSTRUCTIONS:

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Water Rights Section						Dat	te <u>July 11.</u>	2012		
FROM	1:	Grou	nd Water/	Hydrology	Section_	Micha	ael Zwart					
CLIDI	CCT.	A1	:ti C	17563			ewer's Name					
SUBJ	ECT:	Appı	ication G-	1/502		Suj	persedes re	eview of		Date of Re	view(s)	
OAR 6 welfare to deter	590-310-1 c, safety a rmine who sumption	30 (1) nd head ether th criteria	The Depart lth as descr e presumpt This revie	ment shall pibed in ORS ion is establew is based	oresume the 537.525. ished. OAI	Department R 690-310-1 ilable infor	ed groundw staff review 140 allows t mation and	w ground wat the proposed d agency pol	ensure the present applications use be modified icies in place a	servation under OA dor condit the time	of the pub R 690-31 tioned to e of evalu	10-140 meet lation.
A. <u>GE</u>	NERAL	INFC	<u>ORMATIO</u>	<u>JN</u> : A	ppiicant's	Name:	Bo Inore	ntelat		County:_	Harney	<i>I</i>
A1.	Applica	nt(s) se	eek(s) <u>20.</u>	ofs fro	m <u>13</u>	well(s) in the	Malheur I	Lake			_ Basin,
	1	Harney	Valley			subba	asin Qu	ıad Map: C	Carson Point / I	Buchanan	1	
A2. A3.									o October 31 I wells as such	under lee	-id):	
AJ.	W CII all	u aquii										
Well	Logi	id	Applicant		oposed	Propose		Location		n, metes		
1	Proposed 3			quifer* nic Seds.	Rate(cfs		/R-S QQ-Q) 33E-29 NE-N		N, 1200' E N, 640' W			
2	Propo		4		nic Seds.	1.54		33E-20 NE-S		N, 680' W		
3	Propo	sed	5	Volca	nic Seds.	1.54	22S/3	33E-20 NE-N	NE 9560'	N, 620' W	fr SE cor	S 29
4	Propo		6		nic Seds.	1.54		33E-30 SW-I		N, 6700' W		
5	Propo		7		Volcanic Seds.			22S/33E-30 NW-SE		1420' N, 6720' W fr SE cor S 29		
6	Propo		8		Volcanic Seds.			22S/33E-29 NW-SW		1420' N, 4050' W fr SE cor S 29		
7 8	Propo		9		nic Seds.	1.54		3E-31 SW-1		1920' S, 7850' W fr SE cor S 29		
9	Propo Propo		10		nic Seds.	1.54		22S/33E-32 SW-NE 22S/33E-32 SE-NW		1950' S, 5180' W fr SE cor S 29 1960' S, 2760' W fr SE cor S 29		
10	Propo		12		mic Seds.	1.54		3E-32 SE-N 3E-31 NW-		s, 7800' W		
11	Propo		13		nic Seds.	1.54		3E-32 NW-9		s, 5160' W		
12	Propo		14		nic Seds.	1.54		33E-32 NW-		S, 2480' W		
13	Propo		15		nic Seds.	1.54		3E-28 SE-N		N, 1980' E		
* Alluvi	um, CRB,	Bedrocl	k									
	Well	First			177 11	6.1	0	T. in an	D C .:	117-11	D	1
Well	Elev	Water	, SWL	SWL	Well Depth	Seal Interval	Casing Intervals	Liner Intervals	Perforations Or Screens	Well Yield	Draw Down	Test
1 ***	ft msl	ft bls		Date	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(gpm)	(ft)	Type
All	*	?	?		4-800	100+	100-150	?	?	(3)	(**)	
Use data	from appl	ication	for proposed	wells.								
A4.						13, respect	<u>ively, are: 4</u>	<u>4158, 4165, 4</u>	4183, 4300, 41 <u>3</u>	<u>38, 4148,</u>	<u>4134, 41.</u>	<u>34,</u>
<u>4136, 4</u>	133, 413 ₄	<u> </u>	60 feet abo	ve mean se	a level.							
A5. 🛚	manager (Not all	ment of basin r	ules contair	ter hydrauli n such provi	cally connisions.)	ected to sur	face water	ules relative t	o the developm are not, activ	ent, class ated by th	fication a	and/or ation.
A6. 🗌	Name of	f admir	nistrative are	ea:,	,		, ta	p(s) an aquif	er limited by an	administ	rative res	triction.
	Comme	nts:										

Version: 08/15/2003

<u>GR(</u>)UN	D WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070
	Base	ed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
	a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	b.	☐ will not or ☐ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
	c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
	d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s)
	a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
	b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
	c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
	d.	 ■ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/
	Con grou Nea	und water availability remarks: Region Manager Ivan Gall recommends use of Condition 7N in this basin. dition 7K is recommended to ensure that the applicant does not develop shallow hydraulically connected indwater, as was proposed in the application. There are no nearby wells with long-term water-level records. The well HARN 51275 has been measured only twice as part of a permit condition. Therefore, there is not a cient record to comment about the stability of groundwater levels in the area.
	-	
	the sand app The equi	cial Permit Condition: The permittee shall construct one minimum six-inch diameter observation well to penetrate same aquifer as the production wells. The well shall meet the Department's minimum well construction standards shall be cased and sealed to the same depth as the production wells. The well shall be constructed at a location roved by the Department for the purpose of instrumentation with continuous water-level monitoring equipment. landowner or permittee shall provide access to Department staff to install and maintain the monitoring punent. The well shall not be used for any other purpose while the Department is monitoring water levels. The shall be completed prior to water use under the terms of any permit issued.

Application G-17562

_____continued

Date: July 11, 2012

Anni	lication	G-1	7562
ADD)	ncation	O-1	1304

co	ntı	nII	אפו
CO	IILI	HU	

Date: July	11, 2012	

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
All	Tuffaceous sedimentary rocks and sediments	\boxtimes	
	(Tvs of GW Report 16)		

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: <u>Locally, the aquifer may be confined to semiconfined, but regionally it is unconfined and discharges to Malheur Lake.</u>

C2. **690-09-040** (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
All	1	Rock Creek	4122±	varies	varies		
All	2	Jones Canyon	4122±	varies	varies		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: These creeks are intermittent and likely contain water only in very wet years. Groundwater likely provides negligible baseflow to these creeks.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: Malheur Sl. > Malheur Lake ab Ninemile Sl. (31200107).

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		<u> </u>								
						 -				
						<u> </u>				

Version: 08/15/2003

Date: Jul	y 11, 2012	
Date. bar	,	

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
Comments:	This section does	not apply.						

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Well	istributed SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
****	<u> </u>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CES												
	ence CFS		_	_									
mener	ince CI 5												
Distrib	uted Well	s				_	-						
Well	SW#	Ja <u>n</u>	Feb	Mar_	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS		_								_	-	
	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS						_	_	_				
	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS				_		_		_				
	ence CFS						_			_			
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
(A) = To	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q									_			
(D) = (A) > (C)	y'.			,	٧′	√	Se .	v		ž.		у
	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

plication G-17562 continued	Date: July 11, 2012
Basis for impact evaluation:	
600 00 0 10 (F)	
690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimen Rights Section.	tally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Wa
under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantia	
ii. The permit should contain condition #(s) iii. The permit should contain special condition(s)	as indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions	
References Used: <u>Local well logs; local recent reviews; GV</u> <u>Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, O</u> 680; Memo by Ivan Gall, 1/15, 2008: Stream Assessment for	regon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I
	Anne Davin

App	oncation G- <u>1/362</u>	continued	Date: <u>July 11, 2012</u>	
D. <u>'</u>	WELL CONST	RUCTION, OAR 690-200		
D1.	Well #:	Logid:		
D2.	a. revi	ort of CWREer: (specify)	andards based upon:	;
D3.	a.	construction deficiency: stitutes a health threat under Division 200 rule amingles water from more than one ground wa mits the loss of artesian head; mits the de-watering of one or more ground wa er: (specify)	ter reservoir; ater reservoirs;	
D4.	THE WELL	construction deficiency is described as foll	ows:	
		<u> </u>		
D5.	THE WELL	a. was, or was not constructe original construction or most re	d according to the standards in effect at the time of ecent modification.	
		b.	s at the time of construction.	
D6.		e Enforcement Section. I recommend withhous the Department and approved by the Enforcement	olding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstrainent Section and the Ground Water Section.	uction
TH	IS SECTION T	O BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEM	IENT PERSONNEL	
D7.	☐ Well constru	ction deficiency has been corrected by the foll	owing actions:	
			, 20	00 .
	(Enf	forcement Section Signature)	,	
D8.	☐ Route to Wa	ater Rights Section (attach well reconstruct	tion logs to this page).	

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEM	10							J	dy 1	<u> </u>	20 <u>\$ 2</u>		
TO: FROM	M: IECT:	GW:	Mile	e Zu	562 Vart Name) Interfere	<u>.</u>	aluation	1					
	YES The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway NO												
	YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)												
Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below. Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows													
DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding. Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in													
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec		



