Water Right Conditions . Tracking Slip

Groundwater/Hydrology Section
FILE # # G-17587 ROUTED TO: Water Rights - Jeann TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 275/34E - 9
CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [1/yes [] no REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:
Reviewer: Mike Zun+

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEN	МО							No	ovem b	er 19,	2062	
TO:		Appl	ication	G- <u>17</u>	587					•		
FRO	M:	GW:				<u>.</u>						
SUB	JECT:	(Reviewer's Name) Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation										
	_YES _NO	The s	ource of	f approp	oriation	is withi	n or abo	ve a Sco	enic Wa	iterway		
	_YES _NO	Use th	ne Sceni	c Water	rway co	ndition	(Condit	ion 7J)				
-	Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.											
Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.												
Calculo calcula informi Exerci Water	ate the per ted, per c ng Water ise of th way by	rcentage riteria in Rights th is permi	INTER of consum 390.835, act the De it is calc owing as	nptive use do not fi partment culated t mounts	e by mont ll in the to is unable to reduc	able but c e to make e month	heck the a Prepor lly flows	"unable" iderance s in	option a of Evider	bove, thu ice findin	s g. Scenic	
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov ·	Dec	

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	r Rights S	Section				Date	e Nove	ember 19, 2	012	
FROM	1:	Ground Water/Hydrology Section Michael Zwart										
CLIBII	Reviewer's Name SJECT: Application G17587 Supersedes review of											
SODI	UBJEC1: Application G-1787 Supersedes review of Date of Review(s)											
OAR 6 welfare to deter	90-310-1 e, safety a mine who sumption	30 (1) and head ether the criteria	The Depari th as descr e presumpt	ibed in ORS ion is estable ew is based	presume the S 537.525. Lished. OAL upon ava	at a propos Departmen R 690-310- ilable info	sed groundw t staff reviev 140 allows t rmation and	vater use will w ground wat the proposed d agency poli ule Farms,	er application use be modicies in place	ons under OA ified or cond ce at the time	AR 690-3 itioned to e of evalu	10-140 meet uation.
A. <u>GE</u>	ILIMI	7 11 (I) (MUATI	<u> </u>	тррпсан з	Name	Golden K	uic r <u>ai ms,</u>	IIIC.	_ County:_	Harne	<u>/</u>
A 1.	Applica	ant(s) se	ek(s) <u>2.6</u>	74 cfs fro	m <u>two</u>	well	(s) in the	Malheur				_ Basin,
						subb	oasin Qu	ıad Map: <u>A</u>	dobe Flat			
A2.	Propose	ed use:	Irr	igation, 80	acres	Sea	sonality:	April 1 to	October 31			
A3.	Well ar	nd aquif	er data (att	ach and nu	mber logs	for existin	ig wells; ma	rk proposed	wells as su	ich under lo	gid):	
Well	Log	id	Applicant	r's Pr	oposed	Propos	ed	Location	Loc	ation, metes	and boun	ds, e.g.
1	HARN		Well # IH #1		quifer*	Rate(c		`/R-S QQ-Q) '34E-9 NE-N		50' N, 1200' E		
2	HARN:		IH #3		lley fill Illey fill	2.674 2.674		/34E-9 NE-N /34E-9 SE-S		2′ S, 1302′ V ' N, 730' W		
3	_				,					1,9,,40		
5												
	um, CRB,	Bedrock	(
Well	Well Elev	First Water	I SWI	SWL	Well Depth	Seal Interval	Casing Intervals	Liner Intervals	Perforatio Or Screen		Draw Down	Test
	ft msl	ft bls		Date	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(gpm)	(ft)	Туре
2	4110	30 40	36	5/25/79 5/24/12	160 230	0-20 0-36	0-60 0-95			1000	20	P Air
				0/2 // 12	200		0.70			1000		7111
Use data	from app	lication	for proposed	l wells.	•			<u> </u>				
	and T-11	482. A	gent Denn	is Glender	may be ab	le to verify	this in a p	but I believe roposed field ed map refle	visit. **T	he map loca	tion for l	G- HARN
———A5. ⊠	manage (Not all	ment of basin r	ules contain	nter hydrauli n such provi	ically conn isions.)	ected to sur	Basin ru	ules relative to □ are, or ⊠	o the develo	opment, class ctivated by th	ification and a signification of the signification of the significant	and/or ation.
A6. 🗌	Name o	f admin	istrative ar	ea:				p(s) an aquif				triction.

Version: 08/15/2003

<u>OUN</u>	ND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070									
Bas	sed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:									
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during an period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
b.	is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
c.	will not or will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or									
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7N ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below. iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;									
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;									
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;									
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;									
d.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Group Water Section. Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):									
Gro	ound water availability remarks: The Region Manager recommends consistent use of Condition 7N in this basin									
	Baa. b. c. d. d.									

Date: November 19, 2012

Application G-17587

_____ continued

Application G-17587 continued

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1,2	Interbedded clay, sand and pumice (Qal and Tvs)		\boxtimes

Date: November 19, 2012

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation:	The basin-fill sediments are re	gionally unconfined, but	t may be locally
semiconfined.			

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential Subst. Inte Assume YES	erfer. d?
—							1 LS	NO

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Virginia Valley does not appear to be a surface water source that
should be considered under Division 9 and there is no other surface water within one mile. The head in the aquifer
suggests that there is no local ground-water contribution to baseflow.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: No WAB data in this area.

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw> 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
									-	

Version: 08/15/2003

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?

Comments: _	This section does not apply.	
	-	•
		

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS				-								
Interfer	ence CFS												
	outed Well		г.	14.	A	14-	T	71	A	C	0-4	M	D
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar %	Apr	May	Jun %	Jul %	Aug %	Sep	Oct	Nov %	Dec %
W 11 O	OFC	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interier	ence CFS	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
		%	70	76	70	76	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS	21		2/	- 0/	- A	0.4	0.4	2/	0/		0/	
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q													
Interfer	ence CFS	2/	0.4	0/	0/	0/		0.4	0/	0/	0/	0/	0.4
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS			21			21	21	21	21	2.	- 0/	
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS	2/	0.4	0/	0/		0/	0/	0/	0/	0/	0/	
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS								,				
(A) = To	otal Interf.	T											
	% Nat. Q												
• •	% Nat. Q												
(C) - I	70 IVAL Q								,				
(D) = (A	A) > (C)	¥	√	✓	√	¥´	✓	√	√	√'	y.'	√′	√
(E) = (A	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Version: 08/15/2003

plication G-17587 continued	Date: November 19, 2012
Basis for impact evaluation:	
-	
	
· ·	
-	
. 690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detriment Rights Section.	ally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W
☐ If properly conditioned, the surface water source(s) can be under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantial i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s)	lly interfere with surface water:
 i. The permit should contain condition #(s) ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) a 	s indicated in "Remarks" below;
SW / GW Remarks and Conditions	
	<u> </u>
-	
_	
<u> </u>	<u></u>
References Used: <u>Local well logs; local recent reviews; GW</u> Corcoran, 1972, Geologic Map of the Burns Quadrangle, Or	Report 16, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and egon, USGS Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map
680.	
<u> </u>	
-	

Appl	ication G-17387 continued Date: November 19, 2012
n w	VELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200
D1. D1.	Well #: Logid: HARN 1495 & 51851
D2.	THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon: a. review of the well log;
	b. field inspection by
	c. report of CWRE ; d. other: (specify) ;
D3.	THE WELL construction deficiency:
	 a.
	c. permits the loss of artesian head;
	 d. permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs; e. other: (specify)
D4.	THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows: <u>I have no issues with the construction of the wells.</u>
D5.	THE WELL a. □ was, or □ was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.
	b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
D6.	☐ Route to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
THIS	S SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7.	☐ Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
- / .	
	<u> </u>
	(Enforcement Section Signature), 200
D8.	☐ Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).
J0.	Li Addie to Water Aights Section (attach wen reconstruction logs to this page).

Mike Zwart

From:

DENNIS GLENDER < diglender@msn.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 26, 2012 8:27 AM

To:

Mike Zwart

Subject:

RE: File G-17587, Golden Rule Farms, Inc.

Good Morning Mike,

Golden Rule Farms, Abe Puckett confirmed through his pump installer that well 1 is indeed 12" in dia. I concur that HARN 1495 is a better match for this application.

Thank you,

Dennis R. Glender

From: mike.j.zwart@state.or.us

To: diglender@msn.com

CC: goldenrulefarms@gmail.com

Subject: File G-17587, Golden Rule Farms, Inc.

Date: Fri, 16 Nov 2012 22:35:36 +0000

Dennis,

I have left a message with Tim Puckett, who has listed you as a second agent on this file. One of the well logs provided with the application is HARN 1494. This location is the same as that given for HARN 1495 on file G-17154, permit G-16585. T-11482 proposes to add a well to that permit. I reviewed this transfer recently and it also has some issues. The application and map do not agree with each other, but the map appeared to be correct and tied HARN 1495 to that same location.

So, I believe that the log provided here is wrong and likely should be replaced with HARN 1495. As usual, the driller's well locations are not much help. The key piece of information may be that HARN 1495 reports 12" casing and HARN 1494 reports 14" casing. This could take a visual inspection to sort out.

Mike Zwart

Michael J. Zwart, Hydrogeologist Technical Services Division 725 Summer Street NE, Suite A Salem, OR 97301

Direct Line: 503-986-0844 Fax: 503-986-0902

mike.j.zwart@wrd.state.or.us

NOTICE TO WATER WELL CONTRACTOR The original and first copy of this report are to be filed with the

WATER WELL REPORT Application # 6-9019

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT SALEM, OREGON 97310 within 30 days from the date of well completion.

STATE OF OREGON Please type or print) Bo not write above this line)

State	Well N	ro	13/34E-101	b
State	Permi	No.		

(1) OWNER:	(10) LOCATION OF WELL:
Name D.V. Doman	County farney Driller's well number
Address PAINCE TON OR	NW 14 NW 14 Section 10 T.21S R. 34E W.M.
	Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner
(2) TYPE OF WORK (check):	1320'S O'E NE corner of Soc9
New Well ☑ Deepening □ Reconditioning □ Abandon □	
If abandonment, describe material and procedure in Item 12.	(11) WATER LEVEL: Completed well.
(3) TYPE OF WELL: (4) PROPOSED USE (check):	Depth at which water was first found 30 ft.
Rotary Driven Domestic Industrial Municipal	Static level ZZ the below land surface. Date Way 25
Cable Detted	
	Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch. Date
CASING INSTALLED: Threaded Welded	(12) WELL LOG: Diameter of well below casing 12
12." Diam. from	Depth drilled /60 ft. Depth of completed well /50 ft.
" Diam. from ft. to ft. Gage	Formation: Describe color, texture, grain size and structure of materials:
" Diam, fromft. toft. Gage	and show thickness and nature of each stratum and aquifer penetrated,
PERFORATIONS: Perforated? Yes PNo.	with at least one entry for each change of formation. Report each change in position of Static Water Level and indicate principal water-bearing strata.
Type of perforator used	MATERIAL From To SWL
Size of perforations in. by in.	501/ 0 6
perforations fromft. toft.	Tan Cku 6 18
perforations fromft. toft.	Tau & Blue Clay MIX 18 25
perforations fromft. toft.	Blue clay 25 90
	Dark goods Clas 90 95
(7) SCREENS: Well screen installed? Yes No	grand a charts 12 Clay 85 100
Manufacturer's Name	Might Blue Clay / 100 135
Type	CINDER & Sand 125 130
Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to ft.	light Blue Clay 130 160
Date: magazin tave date immedia see avair	
(8) WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	DECEIVES
Was a pump test made? Wes No If yes, by whom?	MEDEIVED
Yield: 1000 gal./min. with 20 ft. drawdown after 4 hrs.	JUN151979
" " "	
II	WATER RESOURCES DEPT
Bailer test gal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	SALEM, CREGON
Artesian flow g.p.m.	
perature of water Depth artesian flow encounteredft.	Work started May Zw 1979 Completed Many 38 1979
perature of water Depth attestan now encountered It.	
(9) CONSTRUCTION:	Date well drilling machine moved off of well Server 19 79
Well seal—Material used Cement	Drilling Machine Operator's Certification:
Well sealed from land surface to 20 ft.	This well was constructed under my direct supervision. Materials used and information reported above are true to my
Diameter of well bore to bottom of sealin.	Materials used and information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.
Diameter of well bore below seal	[Signed] Date Date 1977
Number of sacks of cement used in well seal sacks How was cement grout placed?	Drilling Machine Operator's License No. Lancomer
now was cement grout placed?	
	Water Well Contractor's Certification:
	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Was a drive shoe used? 🗆 Yes 🎮 No Plugs Size tocation ft.	
Did any strata contain unusable water? Tyes Wo	Name (Type or print)
Type of water?depth of strata	Address
Method of sealing strata off	15: mail Wilmon
Was well gravel packed? Yes No Size of gravel:	[Signed] (Water Well Contractor)
Gravel placed fromft. toft.	Contractor's License No Date 19
	, , ,

