
## Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip Groundwater/Hydrology Section FILE # # G-17581 ROUTED TO: Water Right: - Kerry TOWNSHIP/ RANGE-SECTION: 235/26 E 1,2,11,12 CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [] yes [Yno REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS: Note groundwater availability findings at 81-33.

Reviewer: Mike Zwart

## WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEN	ON							Dec	em ber	<u>r 21</u> ,	20 2
TO: FRO SUB	M: JECT:	GW:	ication <u>Mik</u> ic Wate	e ?	Zwan Name)		aluatio	n			
YES											
YES Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)											
	interfer calcul Per Olinterfer the Detat the	erence vated into RS 390. Frence varence varence repartmente prop	835, the erference 835, the with surfeent is unseed us maintai	ace wat e is dist e Groun- ace wat nable to e will n	ter that of tributed d Water ter that of find the neasura	contributed below.  Section contributed thered by red	tes to a  is una tes to a e is a pr uce the	Scenic volume of the scenic version of the s	Waterwalculate vaterwalerance e water	ground y; there of evide flows	water
Calcula calcula informin Exerci Watery	te the per ted, per c ng Water se of th way by	rcentage riteria in Rights th is permi	INTER of consum 390.835, nat the De it is calc owing an	nptive use do not fi partment sulated t mounts	e by mont ll in the to is unable to reduc	able but on the contract of th	heck the a Prepor ly flows	"unable" derance s in	option a of Eviden	bove, thu	s g. Scenic
an	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov ·	Dec



## PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

FROM: Ground Water/Hydrology Section Michael Zwart  Reviewer's Name SUBJECT: Application G- 17581 Supersedes review of  PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 69 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditione the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presump	-310-140 to meet aluation.							
SUBJECT: Application G- 17581  Supersedes review of  Date of Review(s)  PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER  OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 69 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditione the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of t	-310-140 to meet aluation.							
PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER  OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 69 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditione the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption criteria.	-310-140 to meet aluation.							
PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER  OAR 690-310-130 (1) The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525. Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 69 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditione the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption criteria. This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of example of the presumption criteria.	-310-140 to meet aluation.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 34.76 cfs from 13 well(s) in the Malheur Lake	Basin,							
Silver Creeksubbasin Quad Map: Riley								
A2. Proposed use: Irrigation, 2073.95 acres Seasonality: March 1 to October 31  A3. Well and aquifer data (attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid):								
Well Logid Applicant's Proposed Proposed Location Location, metes and b	unds, e.g.							
Well # Aquifer* Rate(cfs) (T/R-S QQ-Q) 2250' N, 1200' E fr NV	cor S 36							
1 Proposed 1 Alluv./bedrock 2.674* 23S/26E-11 SW-SW 1320' N, 1315' E fr SV								
	1320' N, 1315' W fr SE cor S 11							
3 Proposed 3 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 23S/26E-12 SW-SW 1320' N, 1315' E fr SV								
4 Proposed 4 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 23S/26E-12 SE-SE 1320' N, 1315' W fr SI								
5 Proposed 5 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 23S/26E-11 NW-NW 1320' S, 1315' E fr NV								
6 Proposed 6 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 23S/26E-11 NE-NE 1320' S, 1315' W fr N								
7 Proposed 7 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 23S/26E-12 NW-NW 1320' S, 1315' E fr NV								
8 Proposed 8 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 23S/26E-12 NE-NE 1320' S, 1315' W fr N								
9 Proposed 9 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 23S/26E-2 SE-SE 1320' N, 1315' W fr S 10 Proposed 10 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 23S/26E-1 SW-SW 1320' N, 1315' E fr SV								
11 Proposed 11 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 255/26E-1 SE-SE 1320 R, 1315 W II S 12 Proposed 12 Alluv./bedrock 2.674 23S/26E-1 NW-NW 1320' S, 1315' E fr NV	N, 1315' W fr SE cor S 1							
	1320' S, 1315' W fr NE cor S 1							
* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock	COI 5 1							
Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock								
Well First Elev Water ft msl ft bls SWL All **  Well First Open All **  Well First SWL Date Depth (ft) Seal Interval (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft)	1 129 1							
<del></del>								
Use data from application for proposed wells.								
A4. Comments: *The application is vague, but it appears to either request 1200 gpm per well or nearly 16,000 gpm per well. The latter is not possible, given the proposed well construction, so I am presuming the former. The proposed well construction is minimal. **Estimated elevations for wells 1-13, respectively, are: 4304, 4300, 4301, 4288, 4311, 4302, 4289, 4292, 4302, 4295, 4284, 4290 and 4269 feet above mean sea level.								
	_							

Applicat	tion G- <u>17581</u>	continued		Date: December 21, 2012
	Provisions of the management of gro (Not all basin rules	Malheur Lake	ected to surface water	s relative to the development, classification and/or are, or are not, activated by this application.
A6. 🗌	Name of administra	tive area:		an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction
	Comments:			

<u>ROU</u> I	ND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070
Bas	sed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:
a.	is over appropriated, ☐ is not over appropriated, or ☒ cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
b.	will not or ☐ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
c.	will not or □ will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:  i.
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;
d.	■ Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.
	<b>Describe injury</b> —as related to water availability— that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):
long leve hav irri gro wel floy upg Spr dec pro	ound water availability remarks: There are no current or non-current State Observation Wells nearby with exterm water-level records. There are also no other water-level data on file for the local area. However, water-ledata being collected in the Weaver Springs area to the southeast and in other parts of the Malheur Lake Basin e disclosed groundwater level declines in areas that have experienced significant development of groundwater for gation. The Weaver Springs area is noteworthy because it is a local example of over-appropriation of the undwater resource and it has a similar climate, underlying geology and recharge as the area here. Review of local logs and the November 2012 draft Harney Basin Groundwater Study indicates that the regional groundwater with direction in this area is to the southeast toward Malheur and Harney lakes. Therefore, this area is likely tradient of the Weaver Springs area. This is significant, given the documented water-level declines in the Weaver ings area and the fact that many existing groundwater rights there are yet to be developed. Thus, the water-level lines are likely to increase in time as these rights are more fully developed. Issuance of a permit for the amounts posed here will likely result in water-level declines at the proposed wells that would exceed the limits set forth in mit condition 7N, which is typically recommended. The use of the proposed wells would also likely result in water-level declines and possibly also substantial interference at nearby wells with senior rights located to the south.
_	

\_\_\_ continued

Date: December 21, 2012

Version: 08/15/2003

Application G-17581

## C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. 690-09-040 (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
All	Interbedded volcanic, sedimentary and volcaniclastic rocks		*

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Local well logs typically report static water levels that are above the depth that groundwater was first encountered. \*However, unconfined conditions also likely exist within the shallow portion of the aquifer and wells may need to be cased and sealed to 100 feet, or more, to prevent hydraulic connection with the nearest reaches of Silver Creek and Miller Canyon.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulic Connecte YES NO AS	Potentia Subst. Int Assum YES	erfer. ed? NO
All	1	Silver Creek	4160±	4155±	**			$\boxtimes$
All	2	Miller Canyon	4160±	4155±	**			$\boxtimes$

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The static water level reported at nearby wells ranges from 106 to 167 feet below land surface and the average is about 137 feet. The groundwater elevation is likely to range from about 4145 to 4165 feet above sea level, which is well below the elevation of the nearby reaches of Silver Creek and Miller Canyon and several miles downstream below the confluence of these creeks. Groundwater does not appear to provide baseflow to surface water within one mile of any of the proposed wells. \*\*It is not known with certainty where hydraulic connection occurs, but it is likely to be at least five miles downgradient and could be as far as the reach near Moon Reservoir, which has an elevation of 4158 feet and is over ten miles away.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: 71471, Silver Ck; 31200404, Miller Canyon

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ½ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
							_			
									_	
									•	

Version: 08/15/2003

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			<u> </u>							
Comments: This section does not apply.	Comments:	This section	on does	not apply.						

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9,
Well Q	as CFS					_							
	nce CFS												
	uted Wells												
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	<u>%</u>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q													
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS			_									
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q a	as CFS												
Interfere	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q	as CFS					_							
	nce CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q	as CFS												
	nce CFS												
		-%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	9/
Well Q a	as CFS												_
	nce CFS						_						
(A) = Tot	tal Interf.												
$(\mathbf{B}) = 80$	% Nat. Q												
(C) = 1 %	% Nat. Q												
(D) = (A	) > (C)	√′	<b>√</b>	√ _	V.	V"	√			V	v'	1	√
	/ B) x 100	%	%	- %	%	%	<del>%</del>	%	%	- %	- %	%	9/

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

olication G-17581 continued	Date: <u>December 21, 2012</u>
Basis for impact evaluation: No calculations were perfo	ormed here, due to the large distance where hydraulic
connection likely occurs with surface water. However, it	is clear that the proposed use will eventually have a negative
impact on downstream flows in Silver Creek and, ultimate	tely, with Harney Lake.
impact on downstram nows in silver of son and a comme	
690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrime Rights Section.	ntally affect the public interest is to be determined by the W
under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substant i. The permit should contain condition #(s)	
ii. The permit should contain special condition(s	as indicated in "Remarks" below:
ii.   The perint should contain special condition(s	) as marcarea in Remarks below,
	eview of water level data collected at wells in the Weaver b, by Leonard, 1970; Greene, Walker, and Corcoran, 1972, discellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-680; Harney Ba
	r the Harney County Watershed Council, November 2012;

Date: December 21, 2012

App	olicat	ion G- <u>17</u>	continued continued	Date: December 21, 2012
D. <u>Y</u>	WEI	LL CO	NSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200	
D1.		Well #:	Lo	ogid:
D2.		a.	review of the well log; field inspection by report of CWRE	onstruction standards based upon:
D3.		a.	ELL construction deficiency: constitutes a health threat under Div commingles water from more than o permits the loss of artesian head; permits the de-watering of one or m other: (specify)	one ground water reservoir;
D4.		THE W	ELL construction deficiency is des	scribed as follows:
D5.		THE W	original construc	not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of ction or most recent modification.
			b. I don't know if i	t met standards at the time of construction.
D6.				mmend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
TH	IS S	ECTIO	N TO BE COMPLETED BY I	ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
D7.		Well cor	nstruction deficiency has been correct	eted by the following actions:
				, 200
			(Enforcement Section Signature)	
D8.		Route t	to Water Rights Section (attach we	ell reconstruction logs to this page).
	_			



