

**BEFORE THE DIRECTOR
OF THE WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OF THE STATE OF OREGON**

KLAMATH BASIN GENERAL STREAM ADJUDICATION

In the Matter of the Claim of the)	PARTIAL ORDER OF
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF)	DETERMINATION
AGRICULTURE, FOREST SERVICE)	
)	
)	Water Right Claim 572

The GENERAL FINDINGS OF FACT of the FINAL ORDER OF DETERMINATION is incorporated as if set forth fully herein.

A. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On April 29, 1997, the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, FOREST SERVICE (USFS) timely submitted a Statement and Proof of Claim (Claim 572) to the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) pursuant to ORS Chapter 539 in the Klamath Basin Adjudication claiming a federal reserved water right under the Organic Administration Act of 1897, 16 U.S.C. §§ 473-478, 479-482 and 551 (Organic Act).
2. In a July 1, 1999 letter, OWRD requested clarification of Claim 572, in part, as follows: “According to your claim map, there are two separate reaches of the stream which are claimed, not one continuous reach. If, indeed, there is more than one claimed stream reach, *please provide a separate claim form for each individual stream reach being claimed as provided for in OAR 690-028-0027.*”
3. On August 3, 1999, the USFS timely amended Claim 572 as follows: (1) claimed water quantities were amended for the “[i]ntegration of water availability estimates provided by Oregon Water Resources Department pursuant to OAR 690-28-040(5)” and (2) “[f]urther analysis of fire records showed that numerous wildfires occur during the month of May, so the claim was amended to include that month.” Additionally, in response to OWRD’s request, the USFS divided Claim 572 by submitting an additional Statement and Proof of Claim that covered a portion of the original Claim 572. OWRD labeled this as Claim 727.
4. The August 3, 1999 amendment to the original claim changed the period of use from June 1 through November 15 to May 1 through November 15, and increased the natural flow limits from 70.0 cfs to 113.0 cfs for June 1 to June 30, 5.8 cfs to 21.0 cfs for July 1 to July 31, 0.0 cfs to 10.0 cfs for Aug. 1 to Aug. 31, 0.0 cfs to 13.0 cfs for Sept. 1 to

Sept. 30, 6.9 cfs to 17.0 cfs for Oct. 1 to Oct. 30, and 6.1 cfs to 22.0 cfs for Nov. 1 to Nov. 15. The amendment was a result of the exchange of correspondence between OWRD and the Claimant concerning the Claimant's need to incorporate information concerning instream flow requirements. OWRD intended to provide this information to the Claimant, but it was not available at the time of the claim filing deadline.

5. On October 4, 1999, following investigation of the evidence submitted, the Adjudicator issued a Summary and Preliminary Evaluation of Claims (Preliminary Evaluation) stating Claim 572 was approved.
6. On May 5, 2000, the Claimant, USFS, timely filed Contest 2229 to the Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
7. On May 1, 2000, William J. Rust and Ethel J. Rust timely filed Contest 241 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
8. On May 1, 2000, Leonard Baio timely filed Contest 476 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
9. On May 2, 2000, Gary Strong timely filed Contest 711 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
10. On May 2, 2000, the Flynn Brothers (Con and Nora Flynn), and Con, Nora and John Flynn timely filed Contest 946 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
11. On May 2, 2000, Robert Bartell timely filed Contest 1181 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
12. On May 2, 2000, Margaret Jacobs timely filed Contest 1415 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
13. On May 2, 2000, Carolyn Obenchain timely filed Contest 1650 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
14. On May 4, 2000, Rodney Z. James timely filed Contest 1999 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
15. On May 5, 2000, Newman Enterprise (Douglas Newman) timely filed Contest 2451 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
16. On May 5, 2000, Francis Loving Trust, Hilda Francis Trustee timely filed Contest 2688 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
17. On May 5, 2000, J.R. Simplot as Trustee of the J.R. Simplot Self-Declaration of Revocable Trust timely filed Contest 2773 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.

18. On May 8, 2000, The Nature Conservancy timely filed Contest 2805 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
19. On May 8, 2000, John Briggs timely filed Contest 4438 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
20. On May 8, 2000, Peter M. Bourdet timely filed Contest 4673 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
21. On May 8, 2000, Vincent Lee Briggs timely filed Contest 4906 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
22. On May 8, 2000, Thomas William Mallams timely filed Contest 5149 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
23. On May 8, 2000, Thomas H. Bentley timely filed Contest 5382 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
24. On May 8, 2000, Thomas E. Stephens timely filed Contest 5615 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
25. On May 8, 2000, Waterwatch of Oregon, Inc. timely filed Contest 2986 to the Claim and/or Preliminary Evaluation of Claim 572.
26. These matters were referred to the Office of Administrative Hearings for a contested case hearing. The Office of Administrative Hearings designated these matters and other like claims of the USFS as Case 009.
27. On February 11, 2002, The Nature Conservancy's Contest 2805 was dismissed. *See* ORDER TO DISMISS CONTEST NOS. 2803, 2804, 2805, 2806 AND REFERRED TO THE ADJUDICATOR FOR FINAL DISPOSITION (Feb. 11, 2002).
28. On July 2, 2002, the following contestants withdrew with prejudice their respective contest: Leonard Baio, Gary Strong, Flynn Brothers, Robert Bartell, Margaret Jacobs, Carolyn Obenchain, Rodney Z. James, Newman Enterprise (Douglas Newman), Francis Loving Trust, Hilda Francis Trustee, John Briggs, Peter M. Bourdet, Vincent Lee Briggs, Thomas William Mallams, Thomas H. Bentley, Thomas E. Stephens, and David Cowan, successor in interest to William J. Rust and Ethel J. Rust. *See* NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF BAIO *ET AL'S* CONTESTS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE CLAIMS (July 2, 2002).
29. On November 11, 2002, J.R. Simplot as Trustee of the J.R. Simplot Self-Declaration of Revocable Trust withdrew with prejudice Contest 2773. *See* STIPULATED MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF CONTESTS 2776 AND 2776. (Nov. 11, 2002).
30. On February 25, 2003, Waterwatch of Oregon, Inc. voluntarily withdrew Contest 2986. *See* WATERWATCH'S VOLUNTARY WITHDRAWAL OF CONTESTS (Feb. 25, 2003).

31. On March 18, 2003, the OWRD and USFS executed STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CONTESTS 2162, 2163, 2166, 2168, 2169, 2171, 2172, 2174, 2177, 2184, 2186, 2188, 2190, 2192, 2194, 2196, 2198, 2200, 2201, 2203, 2205, 2207, 2209, 2211, 2213, 2214, 2216, 2218, 2220, 2222, 2224, 2225, 2227, 2229, 2231, 2233, 2234, 2236, 2238, 2240, 2242, 2244, 2246, 2248, 2250, 2252, AND 2253 (Settlement Agreement) which resolved the remaining contest (Contest 2229) to Claim 572.
32. On April 2, 2003, the Adjudicator withdrew Case 009 from the Office of Administrative Hearings.

B. DETERMINATION

1. The Settlement Agreement executed between OWRD and USFS is adopted and incorporated as if set forth fully herein.
2. The instream flows recognized pursuant to this claim are not additive to any other instream flows based on other water rights, permits or claims within the same stream reach or a portion of the same stream reach.
3. The GENERAL CONCLUSIONS OF LAW CONCERNING AMENDMENT OF CLAIMS is incorporated as if set forth fully herein.
4. OWRD finds that the claim amendment described in Findings 3 and 4, above, does not constitute a new claim under ORS 539.210. This determination is based on the factors described in Findings 3 and 4, above. Because the amendment occurred prior to the beginning of open inspection, it is permissible and is incorporated into the Claimant's claim for consideration in this Findings of Fact and Partial Order of Determination.
5. The Organic Administration Act of 1897 for a federal reserved water right is a valid basis for this claim. The GENERAL CONCLUSIONS OF LAW CONCERNING CLAIMS BASED ON THE ORGANIC ADMINISTRATION ACT OF 1897 is incorporated as if set forth fully herein.
6. Based on the file and record herein, IT IS ORDERED that Claim 572 is approved as set forth in the following Water Right Claim Description.

[Beginning of Water Right Claim Description]

CLAIM NO. 572

CLAIM MAP REFERENCE:

MYLAR FILED AS CLAIM # 377, PAGE 212, PAGE 213, PAGE 223, and PAGE 244

CLAIMANT: UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FOREST SERVICE
1220 SW 3RD ROOM 1734
PORTLAND, OR 97204

SOURCE OF WATER: SYCAN RIVER, tributary to the SPRAGUE RIVER

PURPOSE OR USE:

INSTREAM USE TO MAINTAIN A NATURAL FIRE BREAK AND SOURCE OF WATER FOR FOREST FIRE CONTROL

PERIODS OF ALLOWED USE AND RATES:

THE INSTREAM FLOW ALLOCATED PURSUANT TO THIS CLAIM IS NOT ADDITIVE TO ANY OTHER INSTREAM FLOWS WITHIN THE SAME STREAM REACH OR A PORTION OF THE SAME STREAM

Period of Use:	Natural Flow is Limited to Not More than the Following Rates in Cubic Feet per Second (CFS):
May 1 to May 31	357.0
June 1 to June 30	113.0
July 1 to July 31	21.0
Aug 1 to Aug 31	10.0
Sept 1 to Sept 30	13.0
Oct 1 to Oct 31	17.0

DATE OF PRIORITY: APRIL 15, 1961

THE PLACE OF USE IS LOCATED AS FOLLOWS:

SYCAN RIVER FROM NESW, SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 33 SOUTH, RANGE 13 EAST, W.M., TO NENE, SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 34 SOUTH, RANGE 11 EAST, W.M.

[End of Water Right Claim Description]

Dated at Salem, Oregon on March 7, 2013



Dwight French, Adjudicator
Klamath Basin General Stream Adjudication