Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip

Groundwater/Hydrology Section

FILE # # G-17629

ROUTED TO: Water Rights - Kerry

TOWNSHIP/
RANGE-SECTION: 7N/1W 2 cc

CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: [ ] yes [X] no

REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:

Reviewer: Mike Zwyn

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO May 29, 2013

TO: **Application G-** 17629

FROM: **GW:** Mike Zwart
(Reviewer's Name)

SUBJECT: **Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation**

_____YES
_✓_NO
The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway

_____YES
_✓_NO
Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)

_____Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is **able** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.

_____Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is **unable** to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; **therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.**

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in _____Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date **May 29, 2013**

FROM: Ground Water/Hydrology Section **Michael Zwart**
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- **17629** Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: **Chris and Christeen Egger** County: **Multnomah**

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) **0.2** cfs from **one** well(s) in the **Willamette** Basin, _____ subbasin Quad Map: **Sauvie Island**

A2. Proposed use: **Irrigation, 7.0 acres** Seasonality: **March 1 to October 31**

A3. Well and aquifer data **(attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid)**:

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	**MULT 74240**	**1**	**Alluvium**	**0.2**	**2N/1W-2 SW-SW**	**700' N, 1150' E fr SW cor S 2**
2						
3						
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	**22**	**210**	**17.5**	**9/23/04**	**235**	**0-195**	**0-235**	**None**	**210-230**	**120**		**Air**

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments: Application requests more than the customary rate and duty due to the sandy soils in the area.**

A5. ☒ **Provisions of the Willamette** Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water ☐ **are**, *or* ☒ **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
Comments: _____

A6. ☐ **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
Name of administrative area: _____
Comments: _____

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:

a. ☐ **is** over appropriated, ☒ **is not** over appropriated, *or* ☐ **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;

b. ☐ **will not** *or* ☒ **will** likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;

c. ☐ **will not** *or* ☒ **will** likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or

d. ☐ **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
   i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
   ii. ☐ The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
   iii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

B2. a. ☐ **Condition** to allow ground water production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;

b. ☐ **Condition** to allow ground water production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;

c. ☐ **Condition** to allow ground water production only from the _____ ground water reservoir between approximately_____ ft. and_____ ft. below land surface;

d. ☐ **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Ground water availability remarks: There are no current nearby State Observation Wells that are appropriate to delineate recent water-level trends. However, given the well location, I believe that groundwater levels are relatively stable and are likely modulated by the stage of the Columbia River.**

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	**Sand and gravel (Quaternary and late Tertiary sediments)**	☐	☒
		☐	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐
		☐	☐

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: **Although the well is cased and sealed relatively deep, the fine-grained material overlying the water-bearing zone may not be very low in permeability or areally extensive. The aquifer is likely unconfined on a regional scale, but may be locally semi-confined.**

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES	NO	ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES	NO
1	1	**Columbia River**	5±	5-10±	575±	☒	☐	☐	☒	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
						☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: **The alluvial aquifer penetrated and the similar head relationship suggest that there is relatively efficient hydraulic connection.**

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: **No WAB data are available for this area.**

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% *natural* flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked ☒ box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐
		☐	☐			☐		☐		☐

Application G-17629 continued Date: May 29, 2013

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

	SW #		Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐
			☐			☐		☐		☐

Comments:

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													

Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													

(A) = Total Interf.												
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q												
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q												
(D) = (A) > (C)												
(E) = (A / B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation:

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.**

C5. ☐ **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:

i. ☐ The permit should contain condition #(s) ;

ii. ☐ The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions**

References Used: Gannett, Marshall W., and Caldwell, Rodney R., 1998, Geologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and Washington: U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32p, 8 plates.

Conlon and others, 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.

Woodward and others, 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B,

Nearby well logs.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. **Well #:** 1 **Logid:** MULT 74240

D2. **THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:**

a. ☐ review of the well log;
b. ☐ field inspection by _____;
c. ☐ report of CWRE _____;
d. ☐ other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency**:

a. ☐ constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules;
b. ☐ commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir;
c. ☐ permits the loss of artesian head;
d. ☐ permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs;
e. ☐ other: (specify) _____

D4. **THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:** I have no issues with the construction of this well.

D5. **THE WELL**

a. ☐ **was**, *or* ☐ **was not** constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.

b. ☐ I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.

D6. ☐ **Route to the Enforcement Section.** I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL

D7. ☐ Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions: _____

_____ _____, 200____.
(Enforcement Section Signature)

D8. ☐ **Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).**

MULT 74240

STATE OF OREGON
WATER SUPPLY WELL REPORT
(as required by ORS 537.765)

A.M. JANSEN WELL DRILLING CO. INC.
21075 S.W. T.V. HWY.
ALOHA, OR 97006

WELL I.D. # L 72743
START CARD # 170322

Instructions for completing this report are on the last page of this form.

(1) LAND OWNER Well Number
Name CHRIS EGGER
Address 19430 N.W. REEDER RD.
City PORTLAND State OR Zip 97231

(2) TYPE OF WORK
☒ New Well ☐ Deepening ☐ Alteration (repair/recondition) ☐ Abandonment

(3) DRILL METHOD:
☐ Rotary Air ☒ Rotary Mud ☐ Cable ☐ Auger
☐ Other

(4) PROPOSED USE:
☒ Domestic ☐ Community ☐ Industrial ☐ Irrigation
☐ Thermal ☐ Injection ☐ Livestock ☐ Other

(5) BORE HOLE CONSTRUCTION:
Special Construction approval ☐ Yes ☒ No Depth of Completed Well 235 ft.
Explosives used ☐ Yes ☒ No Type Amount

HOLE Diameter	From	To	SEAL Material	From	To	Sacks or pounds
12¼	0	235	Cem/Gel	0	195	65 sacks

How was seal placed: Method ☐A ☐B ☒C ☐D ☐E
☐ Other
Backfill placed from ft. to ft. Material
Gravel placed from 195 ft. to 235 ft. Size of gravel 1C Sand

(6) CASING/LINER:

	Diameter	From	To	Gauge	Steel	Plastic	Welded	Threaded
Casing:	8	+1	210	250	☒	☐	☒	☐
	8	230	235	.250	☒	☐	☒	☐
					☐	☐	☐	☐
					☐	☐	☐	☐
Liner:					☐	☐	☐	☐
					☐	☐	☐	☐

Drive Shoe used ☐ Inside ☐ Outside ☐ None
Final location of shoe(s)

(7) PERFORATIONS/SCREENS:
☐ Perforations Method
☒ Screens Type Wound Wire Material Stainless

From	To	Slot size	Number	Diameter	Tele/pipe size	Casing	Liner
						☐	☐
210	230	.020		8	Pipe	☒	☐
						☐	☐
						☐	☐

(8) WELL TESTS: Minimum testing time is 1 hour
☐ Pump ☐ Bailer ☒ Air ☐ Flowing Artesian

Yield gal/min	Drawdown	Drill stem at	Time
70		40	1 hr.
90		50	"
120		60	"

Temperature of water 56°F Depth Artesian Flow Found
Was a water analysis done? ☐ Yes By whom
Did any strata contain water not suitable for intended use? ☐ Too little
☐ Salty ☐ Muddy ☐ Odor ☐ Colored ☐ Other
Depth of strata

(9) LOCATION OF WELL by legal description:
County Multnomah Latitude Longitude
Township 2N N or S Range 1W E or W WM
Section 2 SW 1/4 NW 1/4
Tax Lot 500 Lot Block Subdivision
Street Address of Well (or nearest address) N.W. Gillihan Rd

(10) STATIC WATER LEVEL:
17.5 ft. below land surface. Date 9-23-04
Artesian pressure lb. per square inch Date

(11) WATER BEARING ZONES:
Depth at which water was first found 210

From	To	Estimated Flow Rate	SWL
210	230	120 GPM	17.5

(12) WELL LOG:
Ground Elevation

Material	From	To	SWL
Topsoil	0	1	
Brn silty cly w/wood	1	31	
Gry silty cly w/wood	31	79	
Fine gry muddy sand	79	196	
Med gravel w/sand	196	215	17.5
Coarse gravel	215	224	17.5
Med gravel	224	235	17.5

RECEIVED
SEP 28 2004
WATER RESOURCES DEPT
SALEM, OREGON

Date started 9-14-04 Completed 9-23-04

(unbonded) Water Well Constructor Certification:
I certify that the work I performed on the construction, alteration, or abandonment of this well is in compliance with Oregon water supply well construction standards. Materials used and information reported above are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
WWC Number
Signed Date

(bonded) Water Well Constructor Certification:
I accept responsibility for the construction, alteration, or abandonment work performed on this well during the construction dates reported above. All work performed during this time is in compliance with Oregon water supply well construction standards. This report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
WWC Number 1266
Signed Date 09/24/04

ORIGINAL – WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT FIRST COPY – CONSTRUCTOR SECOND COPY – CUSTOMER

Application G-17629, Chris and Christeen Egger