

Water Right Conditions Tracking Slip

Groundwater/Hydrology Section

FILE # # 6-17596

ROUTED TO: Water Rights

TOWNSHIP/
RANGE-SECTION: 11S/5W-14

CONDITIONS ATTACHED?: yes no

REMARKS OR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS:

see conditions on p 2.

Reviewer: J. Hackett

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMO

May 20, 2013

TO: Application G- 17596
FROM: GW: J. Hackett (Reviewer's Name)
SUBJECT: Scenic Waterway Interference Evaluation

YES

The source of appropriation is within or above a Scenic Waterway

NO

YES

Use the Scenic Waterway condition (Condition 7J)

NO

Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is able to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a Scenic Waterway. The calculated interference is distributed below.

Per ORS 390.835, the Ground Water Section is unable to calculate ground water interference with surface water that contributes to a scenic waterway; therefore, the Department is unable to find that there is a preponderance of evidence that the proposed use will measurably reduce the surface water flows necessary to maintain the free-flowing character of a scenic waterway.

DISTRIBUTION OF INTERFERENCE

Calculate the percentage of consumptive use by month and fill in the table below. If interference cannot be calculated, per criteria in 390.835, do not fill in the table but check the "unable" option above, thus informing Water Rights that the Department is unable to make a Preponderance of Evidence finding.

Exercise of this permit is calculated to reduce monthly flows in Scenic Waterway by the following amounts expressed as a proportion of the consumptive use by which surface water flow is reduced.

Table with 12 columns: Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, May, Jun, Jul, Aug, Sep, Oct, Nov, Dec. All cells are empty.

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO: Water Rights Section Date May 20th, 2013

FROM: Ground Water/Hydrology Section J. Hackett
Reviewer's Name

SUBJECT: Application G- 17596 Supersedes review of _____
Date of Review(s)

PUBLIC INTEREST PRESUMPTION; GROUNDWATER

OAR 690-310-130 (1) *The Department shall presume that a proposed groundwater use will ensure the preservation of the public welfare, safety and health as described in ORS 537.525.* Department staff review ground water applications under OAR 690-310-140 to determine whether the presumption is established. OAR 690-310-140 allows the proposed use be modified or conditioned to meet the presumption criteria. **This review is based upon available information and agency policies in place at the time of evaluation.**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: Applicant's Name: Jackson Ck. Water Association County: Benton

A1. Applicant(s) seek(s) 0.089 cfs from 1 well(s) in the Willamette Basin,
 _____ subbasin Quad Map: Corvallis

A2. Proposed use Quasi-municipal Seasonality: Year-round

A3. Well and aquifer data (**attach and number logs for existing wells; mark proposed wells as such under logid**):

Well	Logid	Applicant's Well #	Proposed Aquifer*	Proposed Rate(cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ-Q)	Location, metes and bounds, e.g. 2250' N, 1200' E fr NW cor S 36
1	BENT 3498	1	Bedrock	0.089	11S/5W-14 NE-NE	813' S, 387' E fr N1/4 cor, S 14
2						
3						
4						
5						

* Alluvium, CRB, Bedrock

Well	Well Elev ft msl	First Water ft bls	SWL ft bls	SWL Date	Well Depth (ft)	Seal Interval (ft)	Casing Intervals (ft)	Liner Intervals (ft)	Perforations Or Screens (ft)	Well Yield (gpm)	Draw Down (ft)	Test Type
1	265	33	20	6/17/1976	205	0-25	0-205		?	20		A

Use data from application for proposed wells.

A4. **Comments:** _____

A5. **Provisions of the Willamette** _____ Basin rules relative to the development, classification and/or management of ground water hydraulically connected to surface water **are**, or **are not**, activated by this application. (Not all basin rules contain such provisions.)
 Comments: The applicant's well produces from a confined aquifer, so the pertinent basin rules do not apply.

A6. **Well(s) #** _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, tap(s) an aquifer limited by an administrative restriction.
 Name of administrative area: _____
 Comments: _____

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

B1. **Based upon available data**, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:

- a. is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or **cannot be determined to be** over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- b. **will not** or **will likely** be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;
- c. **will not** or **will likely** be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or
- d. **will, if properly conditioned**, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource:
 - i. The permit should contain condition #(s) 7B, 7N;
 - ii. The permit should be conditioned as indicated in item 2 below.
 - iii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in item 3 below;

- B2. a. **Condition** to allow ground water production from no deeper than _____ ft. below land surface;
- b. **Condition** to allow ground water production from no shallower than _____ ft. below land surface;
- c. **Condition** to allow ground water production only from the _____ ground water reservoir between approximately _____ ft. and _____ ft. below land surface;
- d. **Well reconstruction** is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.

Describe injury –as related to water availability– that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc): _____

B3. **Ground water availability remarks:** The subject property lies at the break in slope between Coast Range foothills on the west and the Willamette Valley lowland to the east. The foothills of the Coast Range are composed of older marine sedimentary and volcanic rocks whereas the lowland is underlain by younger alluvial and lacustrine sediments which are underlain by the older marine unit at depth. The marine sedimentary rocks are part of a low-yield bedrock aquifer system that generally has low porosity, low permeability, and low well yield. Most of the available pore space in this unit is likely to occur in fractures where groundwater is confined by the low-permeability matrix. An unconfined to semi-confined aquifer occurs in the younger sediments of the valley lowland. Productive water-bearing zones in the alluvial aquifer are generally composed of porous sand and gravel beds.

The proposed POA (BENT 3498) is completed in the low-yield bedrock aquifer which is overlain by about 21 feet of alluvial sediments at the well site. Limited water-level data show no evidence of long-term declines. Well density in the bedrock aquifer is relatively low so impacts to other wells should be minor.

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040 (1):** Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Low-yield bedrock	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: General experience indicates that the low-yield bedrock aquifer is typically confined. Also, the well log indicates first water at 33 feet and a static water level of 20 feet consistent with confined conditions.

C2. **690-09-040 (2) (3):** Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected?			Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?	
						YES	NO	ASSUMED	YES	NO
1	1	Willamette River	245	200	17000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: The water table in the vicinity of the applicant's well is 20 feet below the elevation of local reaches of Jackson and Frazier creeks. The nearest hydraulically connected stream is the Willamette River.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R – AB PERIWINKLE CR AT GAGE 14174

C3a. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < ¼ mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

C3b. **690-09-040 (4):** Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be **hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile** from a surface water source. **Complete only if Q is distributed among wells.** Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments: _____

C4a. **690-09-040 (5):** Estimated impacts on **hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile** as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
Distributed Wells													
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Well Q as CFS													
Interference CFS													
(A) = Total Interf.													
(B) = 80 % Nat. Q													
(C) = 1 % Nat. Q													
(D) = (A) > (C)													
(E) = (A / B) x 100		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

(A) = total interference as CFS; (B) = WAB calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (C) = 1% of calculated natural flow at 80% exceed. as CFS; (D) = highlight the checkmark for each month where (A) is greater than (C); (E) = total interference divided by 80% flow as percentage.

Basis for impact evaluation: Pumping impacts to the Willamette River were not evaluated because the pumping rate (0.089 cfs) is less than 1% of the natural flow in the river every month of the year.

C4b. **690-09-040 (5) (b) The potential to impair or detrimentally affect the public interest is to be determined by the Water Rights Section.**

C5. **If properly conditioned**, the surface water source(s) can be adequately protected from interference, and/or ground water use under this permit can be regulated if it is found to substantially interfere with surface water:
 i. The permit should contain condition #(s) _____;
 ii. The permit should contain special condition(s) as indicated in "Remarks" below;

C6. **SW / GW Remarks and Conditions**

References Used:

Conlon, T.D., Wozniak, K.C., Woodcock, D., Herrera, N.B., Fisher, B.J., Morgan, D.S., Lee, K.K., and Hinkle, S.R., 2005, Ground-water hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5168.

Frank, F.J., 1976, Ground Water in the Harrisburg-Halsey Area, southern Willamette Valley, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 2040, 45p.

Gannett, M.W. and Caldwell, R., 1998, Geologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-A, 32p.

Woodward, D.G., Gannett, M.W., and Vaccaro, J.J., 1998, Hydrogeologic framework of the Willamette Lowland aquifer system, Oregon and Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1424-B, 82p.

D. WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200

D1. Well #: _____ Logid: _____

D2. **THE WELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:**

- a. review of the well log;
- b. field inspection by _____;
- c. report of CWRE _____;
- d. other: (specify) _____

D3. **THE WELL construction deficiency:**

- a. constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules;
- b. commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir;
- c. permits the loss of artesian head;
- d. permits the de-watering of one or more ground water reservoirs;
- e. other: (specify) _____

D4. **THE WELL construction deficiency is described as follows:** _____

- D5. **THE WELL**
- a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of original construction or most recent modification.
 - b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.

D6. **Route to the Enforcement Section.** I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL

D7. Well construction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions: _____

_____, 200_____
(Enforcement Section Signature)

D8. **Route to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).**

Water Availability Tables

WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R - AB PERIWINKLE CR AT GAGE 14174
 WILLAMETTE BASIN

Water Availability as of 5/20/2013

Watershed ID #: 30200321 Exceedance Level: 80% 50%

Date: 5/20/2013 Time: 1:57 PM

Water Availability Calculation

Monthly Streamflow in Cubic Feet per Second

Annual Volume at 50% Exceedance in Acre-Feet

JAN	10,100.00	1,370.00	8,730.00	0.00	1,750.00	6,980.00
FEB	11,600.00	4,290.00	7,310.00	0.00	1,750.00	5,560.00
MAR	11,000.00	4,570.00	6,430.00	0.00	1,750.00	4,680.00
APR	9,760.00	4,260.00	5,500.00	0.00	1,750.00	3,750.00
MAY	8,430.00	2,550.00	5,880.00	0.00	1,750.00	4,130.00
JUN	5,360.00	872.00	4,490.00	0.00	1,750.00	2,740.00
JUL	3,270.00	678.00	2,590.00	0.00	1,750.00	842.00
AUG	2,560.00	617.00	1,940.00	0.00	1,750.00	193.00
SEP	2,540.00	533.00	2,010.00	0.00	1,750.00	257.00
OCT	2,860.00	275.00	2,590.00	0.00	1,750.00	835.00
NOV	4,170.00	359.00	3,810.00	0.00	1,750.00	2,060.00
DEC	8,150.00	381.00	7,770.00	0.00	1,750.00	6,020.00
ANN	7,460,000.00	1,240,000.00	6,220,000.00	0.00	1,270,000.00	4,950,000.00

Detailed Report of Instream Flow Requirements

Instream Flow Requirements in Cubic Feet per Second

Application # Status Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec

MF184A APPLICATION 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00

1,750.00 1,750.00

Maximum 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.00 1,750.

Well Location Map

G-17596, Jackson Creek Water Association

1:24,000 scale

