

Reviewer: Karl Wazniak

PUBLIC INTEREST REVIEW FOR GROUND WATER APPLICATIONS

TO:		Wate	er Rights S	Section		Date <u>June 14, 2013</u>							
FROM	:	Grou	and Water	/Hydrology	Section								
SUBJE	ECT:	App	lication G	- <u>17626</u>		Reviewer's Name Supersedes review of Date of Review(s)							
oar 69 welfare, to deter the pres	90-310-1 , safety a mine who umption	30 (1) nd hea ether th criteria	The Deparation of the Department of the Departme	ribed in ORS tion is establi iew is based	resume than 537.525. D shed. OAR upon avail	t a propos epartment 690-310- able infor	ed groundw t staff review 140 allows t rmation and	eater use will w ground wate the proposed d agency poli	er applica use be mo cies in pl	e preso tions u odified ace at	ervation of ander OA or condi the time	of the put R 690-3 tioned to of evalu	10-140 meet
A. <u>GE</u>	<u>NERAL</u>	INFO	<u>ORMATI</u>	ON: A	pplicant's N	lame:	<u>Bogdan Ca</u>	iceu		_ (County:	Polk	
A1.								Willamette					_ Basin,
]	North I	Fork Ash C	reek		subb	asin Qı	ıad Map: <u>D</u>	al <u>las</u>				
A2. A3.								rig season; N ork proposed					
Well	Logic	i	Applican Well #		ed Aquifer*	Prop Rate	osed (cfs)	Location (T/R-S QQ		Locat	ion, mete ' N, 1200'	s and bou	nds, e.g.
1	POLK 53		South We	ell Low-y	ield bedrock		067	8S/5W-6 NW			50 N, 1640		
3	POLK 53 No Lo		North We Sump We		eld bedrock eld bedrock)22	8S/5W-6 NW			00 N, 1600		
4	NO EX	<u>g</u>	Sump we	LOW-y	ela bealock	.0067 8S/5W-6 NE/SE			/SE	2160 N, 1000 W fr SE cor, S 6			
5 * Allowin	ım, CRB,	Dadras	J.										
- Alluvii	лп, СКВ,	Bedroc											
Well	Well Elev	First Wate	r SWL	SWL Date	Well Depth	Seal Interval	Casing Intervals	Liner Intervals	Perfora Or Scre	eens	Well Yield	Draw Down	Test Type
<u> </u>	ft msl 540	ft bls	15	12/18/2009	(ft) 295	(ft) 0-23	(ft) -1-24	(ft) -1-287	(ft)		(gpm)	(ft) NA	Air
2	560	62	59	09/10/2010	198	0-34	-1-34	57-117	187-2 0-57		1	NA	Air
								158-198	117-1				
3	440		0	01/22/2013	7	0	0	_0	0		9.6		
					_								
Use data A4.	Comme	ents: <u>T</u> ell 1, F ew app	POLK 5302	nt proposes to 22, is an appro	oved POA	on permit_	G-16630 wh	imp well to p nich also allow nicel permit C	vs irrigati	on of	30 of the	acres inc	luded
A5. □	manage (Not all Comme 690-502 Well(s)	ment o basin i nts: Tl 2-240)	f ground w rules conta he propose do not app	ater hydraulic in such provis d POAs will I	cally connections.)	undwater	face water from a low-	ules relative to are, or vield bedrock p(s) an aquife	are not,	so the	pertinent	rules (Oz	AR triction.
	Comme	nts:											

2

B. GROUND WATER AVAILABILITY CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-310-130, 400-010, 410-0070

Bas	sed upon available data, I have determined that ground water* for the proposed use:									
a.	is over appropriated, is not over appropriated, or is cannot be determined to be over appropriated during any period of the proposed use. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the over-appropriation determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
b.	□ will not or □ will likely be available in the amounts requested without injury to prior water rights. * This finding is limited to the ground water portion of the injury determination as prescribed in OAR 690-310-130;									
c.	\square will not or \square will likely to be available within the capacity of the ground water resource; or									
d.	will, if properly conditioned, avoid injury to existing ground water rights or to the ground water resource: i.									
a.	Condition to allow ground water production from no deeper than ft. below land surface;									
b.	Condition to allow ground water production from no shallower than ft. below land surface;									
c.	Condition to allow ground water production only from the ground water reservoir between approximately ft. and ft. below land surface;									
d.	Well reconstruction is necessary to accomplish one or more of the above conditions. The problems that are likely to occur with this use and without reconstructing are cited below. Without reconstruction, I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction is filed with the Department and approved by the Ground Water Section.									
	Describe injury —as related to water availability—that is likely to occur without well reconstruction (interference w/ senior water rights, not within the capacity of the resource, etc):									
of per ger are rec sur Do unl	the low-yield bedrock aquifer system found in the Coast Ranges. Production is probably from fractures and the rmeability and porosity of the unit are generally very low which results in very low well yields. This aquifer system is nerally not capable of irrigation of large acreages. The low proposed rates in the application reflect these realities. There is no local observation wells to assess water-level trends in the area but well density is very low in the section (45 wells of cord in our well log database), few irrigation wells are permitted in the surrounding area, and there are no known water oply issues in the area related to groundwater withdrawals. In addition, the surrounding area is within the Luckiamute of the accordance of the low proposed rates and the nature of the aquifer system, it is likely that the proposed use will have adverse impacts to the groundwater system or to existing groundwater users in the									
are	2a.									

Date: June 14, 2013

3

C. GROUND WATER/SURFACE WATER CONSIDERATIONS, OAR 690-09-040

C1. **690-09-040** (1): Evaluation of aquifer confinement:

Well	Aquifer or Proposed Aquifer	Confined	Unconfined
1	Low-yield bedrock		\boxtimes
2	Low-yield bedrock		\boxtimes
3	Low-yield bedrock		\boxtimes

Basis for aquifer confinement evaluation: Well logs suggest unconfined conditions but general knowledge suggests that confinement will increase with depth in the area.

C2. 690-09-040 (2) (3): Evaluation of distance to, and hydraulic connection with, surface water sources. All wells located a horizontal distance less than ¼ mile from a surface water source that produce water from an unconfined aquifer shall be assumed to be hydraulically connected to the surface water source. Include in this table any streams located beyond one mile that are evaluated for PSI.

Well	SW #	Surface Water Name	GW Elev ft msl	SW Elev ft msl	Distance (ft)	Hydraulically Connected? YES NO ASSUMED	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed? YES NO
1	1	North Fork Ash Creek	525	340-400	1750		
2	1	North Fork Ash Creek	501	340-400	1990		
2	1	North Fork Ash Creek	440	340-400	1500		

Basis for aquifer hydraulic connection evaluation: Groundwater elevations (based on static water levels reported for the three wells) indicate that groundwater heads are above the elevations of North Fork Ash Creek in adjacent reaches. This indicates groundwater flow toward, and discharge to, the creek. The general hydrogeology suggests that North Fork Ash Creek is likely to be the principal hydraulic boundary for the wells on the application.

Water Availability Basin the well(s) are located within: WAB 183 (WILLAMETTE R > COLUMBIA R - AB MILL CR AT GAGE 14191000)

C3a. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts for each well that has been determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Limit evaluation to instream rights and minimum stream flows that are pertinent to that surface water source, and not lower SW sources to which the stream under evaluation is tributary. Compare the requested rate against the 1% of 80% natural flow for the pertinent Water Availability Basin (WAB). If Q is not distributed by well, use full rate for each well. Any checked \(\subseteq \) box indicates the well is assumed to have the potential to cause PSI.

Well	SW #	Well < 1/4 mile?	Qw > 5 cfs?	Instream Water Right ID	Instream Water Right Q (cfs)	Qw > 1% ISWR?	80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	1						36.2			
2	1						36.2			
3	1				_		36.2			

Application G-17626

Page

C3b. 690-09-040 (4): Evaluation of stream impacts by total appropriation for all wells determined or assumed to be hydraulically connected and less than 1 mile from a surface water source. Complete only if Q is distributed among wells. Otherwise same evaluation and limitations apply as in C3a above.

SW #	W Ototal Qw > Ins		Instream Water Right ID			80% Natural Flow (cfs)	Qw > 1% of 80% Natural Flow?	Interference @ 30 days (%)	Potential for Subst. Interfer. Assumed?
1	0.0156					36.2			

Comments: No good method for calculating stream interference is readily available for fractured bedrock systems. Given the distance from the stream and the nature of the aquifer, interference at 30 days is likely to be much less than 25%.	
	-
	-

C4a. 690-09-040 (5): Estimated impacts on hydraulically connected surface water sources greater than one mile as a percentage of the proposed pumping rate. Limit evaluation to the effects that will occur up to one year after pumping begins. This table encompasses the considerations required by 09-040 (5)(a), (b), (c) and (d), which are not included on this form. Use additional sheets if calculated flows from more than one WAB are required.

Non-Di	stributed	Wells											
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
Distrib	uted Well								_				
Well	SW#	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%
Well Q	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfer	ence CFS												
		%	<u>%</u>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS												
	ana a	%	%		<u>%</u>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	as CFS												
Interfere	ence CFS			~	- ~				~			~	
Walle	as CFS	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%_	<u>%</u>	%
	ence CFS												
mener	chec era												
$(A) = T_0$	tal Interf.												
(B) = 80	% Nat. Q	_											
(C) = 1	% Nat. Q												
(D) = ((A) > (C)												
$(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{A})$	/ B) x 100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Woodward, Gannett and Vaccaro, 1998, Hydrogeologic Framework of the Willamette Lowland Aquifer System, Oregon and

Conlon and Others, 2005, Ground-Water Hydrology of the Willamette Basin, Oregon, Scientific Report 2005-5168, USGS.

Washington, USGS Professional Paper 1424-B

Version: 08/15/2003

6

D. <u>WELL CONSTRUCTION, OAR 690-200</u> D1. <u>Well #: _____ Logid: _____</u>

D1.	Well #:	Logid: Logid:
D2.	THE W	VELL does not meet current well construction standards based upon:
	a. 🗌	review of the well log;
	b. 🔲	· ·
		report of CWRE
	d. 🔲	other: (specify)
D3.	THEW	VELL construction deficiency:
UJ.	a.	constitutes a health threat under Division 200 rules;
	ь. 🗀	commingles water from more than one ground water reservoir;
	c. 📙	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	d. 🗆	
	e. 🔲	
D4.	THE W	VELL construction deficiency is described as follows:
D4.	11112 **	VELL construction deficiency is described as follows.
D5.	THE W	WELL a. was, or was not constructed according to the standards in effect at the time of
<i>D</i> 3.	11112 **	original construction or most recent modification.
		or game constitution of most recent modification.
		b. I don't know if it met standards at the time of construction.
	- -	
D6.		to the Enforcement Section. I recommend withholding issuance of the permit until evidence of well reconstruction
	is filed	with the Department and approved by the Enforcement Section and the Ground Water Section.
THIS	SECTIO	ON TO BE COMPLETED BY ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL
	52011	
D7. [☐ Well co	onstruction deficiency has been corrected by the following actions:
		200
	-	(Enforcement Section Signature) , 200
		(
D8. [Route	to Water Rights Section (attach well reconstruction logs to this page).

Application G-17626 Date: June 14, 2013 Page 7

Water Availability Table

Watershed ID	Exceedance Level	Month	Natural St (Consumpt	Expected	Reserverd	Instream I	Net Wate⊦l	Download Date
183	80	JAN	18400	2240	16200	0	1300	14900	6/14/2013
183	80	FEB	20100	7430	12700	0	1300	11400	6/14/2013
183	80	MAR	19600	7220	12400	0	1300	11100	6/14/2013
183	80	APR	18000	6880	11100	0	1300	9820	6/14/2013
183	80	MAY	15500	4170	11300	0	1300	10000	6/14/2013
183	80	JUN	8310	1710	6600	0	1300	5300	6/14/2013
183	80	JUL	4710	1460	3250	0	1300	1950	6/14/2013
183	80	AUG	3620	1350	2270	0	1300	974	6/14/2013
183	80	SEP	3680	1170	2510	0	1300	1210	6/14/2013
183	80	OCT	4650	753	3900	0	1300	2600	6/14/2013
183	80	NOV	9400	862	8540	0	1300	7240	6/14/2013
183	80	DEC	16700	917	15800	0	1300	14500	6/14/2013
183	80	ANN	13500000	2160000	11300000	0	942000	10400000	6/14/2013

Version: 08/15/2003

Date: June 14, 2013

Application G-17626, Caceu

